



Rehabilitation through Reading: Evaluating Prisoners' Right to Literacy as a Tool to Reduce Recidivism

Ade Adhari

Faculty of Law, Universitas Tarumanagara

Author

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9547-502X>

Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi

Faculty of Law, Universitas Tarumanagara

Author

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8343-1735>

Anis Widyawati

Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang

Author

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4621-3178>

Darius Andana Haris

Faculty of Law, Universitas Tarumanagara

Author

Dwiky Chandra

Chicago Law School

Author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v10i2.29687>

Keywords: Correctional Facilities, Literacy Programs, Reading Material, Recidivism, Rehabilitation

Abstract

Fulfilling inmates' right to reading materials is crucial for effective rehabilitation within Indonesia's correctional system, despite robust legal guarantees—from the 1945 Constitution to specific laws and regulations—practical implementation faces significant hurdles. High recidivism rates in Indonesia underscore the urgent need for genuine rehabilitation beyond mere punitive measures. Using a doctrinal

legal research method, the analysis draws on statutory and conceptual approaches, supported by case studies from Class IIB Pinrang, LPKA Pekanbaru, and Class IIA Kupang. Findings highlight there is a persistent gap between legal mandates and on-the-ground reality. A fundamental shift is needed to bridge this: reading must be seen not just as a formal right, but as a core educational and rehabilitative tool for intellectual and personal development. Strategic improvements require stronger cross-sector collaboration, enhanced officer training, and outcome-based evaluation to ensure reading genuinely transforms inmates and supports their successful reintegration into society.

References

- American Library Association. "Prisoners' Right to Read: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights." Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/prisonersrightoread>.
- Anjani, Regalia Mala, and Padmono Wibowo. "Mengatasi Lingkaran Residivisme: Pemberdayaan Narapidana melalui Pembinaan Kemandirian di Lembaga Pemasarakatan." *Triwikrama: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*. Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://ejournal.warunayama.org/index.php/triwikrama/article/view/296>.
- Ardy, Hanin Kusuma. "Peran Pembinaan Literasi terhadap Perubahan Sikap dan Tingkah Laku Narapidana di Lembaga Pemasarakatan Kelas IIB Tuban." *Widya Yuridika* 4, no. 2 (2021): 549–580. <https://doi.org/10.31328/wy.v4i2.2380>.
- Codingest. "Optimalisasi Peran Bapas dan Pokmas Lipas pada Residivisme." Direktorat Jenderal Pemasarakatan. Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://www.ditjenpas.go.id/optimalisasi-peran-bapas-dan-pokmas-lipas-pada-residivisme>.
- Database Peraturan | JDIH BPK. "Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 tentang Pemasarakatan." Accessed July 5, 2025. <http://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Details/218804/uu-no-22-tahun-2022>.
- Dewi, Fransisca Iriani R., Rita Markus Idulfilastri, and Meylisa Permata Sari. "Pengembangan Modul Pelatihan untuk Meningkatkan Resiliensi Remaja." *Jurnal Muara Ilmu Sosial, Humaniora, dan Seni* 6, no. 1 (2022): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jmishumsen.v6i1.13478.2022>.
- Johar, Olivia Anggie, Fahmi Fahmi, and Dewi Sartika. "Pelaksanaan Hak Pendidikan terhadap Narapidana Anak di Lembaga Pembinaan Khusus Anak Pekanbaru." *Jurnal Hukum Respublica* 22, no. 1 (2022). <https://journal.unilak.ac.id/index.php/Respublica/article/view/12102>.
- Justia Law. *Procunier v. Martinez*, 416 U.S. 396 (1974). Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/416/396/>.
- Kementerian Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia Republik Indonesia. *Hak Asasi Manusia*. n.d.
- Lehmann, Vibeke. "Challenges and Accomplishments in U.S. Prison Libraries." *Library Trends* 59, no. 3 (2011): 490–508. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0001>.

McCall-Smith, Kasey. "Introductory Note to the United Nations Revised Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules)." *International Legal Materials* 55, no. 6 (2017): 1180–1182.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020782900030898>.

Muslimin, Muhammad Fadli, Iswandy Rani Saputra, and Amir Amir. "Menebus Kesalahan, Meraih Hak: Kisah Narapidana Narkotika di Balik Jeruji." *Jurnal Litigasi Amsir*, October 31, 2024, 188–201.

Napu, Papy Michael, Rudepel Petrus Leo, and Heryanto Amalo. "Implementasi Hak-Hak Narapidana Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 serta Hambatannya di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Kelas IIA Kupang." *Petitem Law Journal* 1, no. 1 (2023): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.35508/pelana.v1i1.13349>.

Purwanda, Sunardi. *Hakikat Pemenuhan Hak atas Buku Bacaan untuk Masyarakat di Daerah Terdepan, Terluar, dan Tertinggal*. Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Hasanuddin, 2020.

<https://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/3222/>.

"Teaching Literacy to Turn the Page on Recidivism." Accessed September 16, 2025.

<https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2998&context=jled>.

Truong, Lauren. "Books Behind Bars: The Right to Read in Prison." *National Coalition Against Censorship*, May 9, 2019. <https://ncac.org/news/blog/books-behind-bars-the-right-to-read-in-prison>.

UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning. "How Prison Libraries Support Rehabilitation Efforts." *UIL Policy Brief* no. 11 (2020). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED612492>.

Widyawati, Anis, and Ade Adhari. *Hukum Penitensier di Indonesia: Konsep dan Perkembangannya*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers, 2020. <http://repository.untar.ac.id/40436/>.

ISSN 2548-1568 (Print) 2548-1576 (Online)

Indonesian Journal *of*
CRIMINAL
LAWSTUDIES

An international peer-reviewed journal for contemporary issues on criminal law

IJCLS

The journal is published biannually by the Faculty of Law,
Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

Available online at <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/ijcls>

 PDF

Published
2025-11-05

Article ID
29687

Issue
[Vol. 10 No. 2 \(2025\): Indonesia J. Crim. L. Studies \(November, 2025\)](#)

Section
Articles

How to Cite

Ade Adhari, Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi, Anis Widyawati, Darius Andana Haris, and Dwiky Chandra. 2025. "Rehabilitation through Reading: Evaluating Prisoners' Right to Literacy As a Tool to Reduce Recidivism". *Indonesian Journal of Criminal Law Studies* 10 (2): 659-84. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v10i2.29687>.

More Citation Formats 

Menu

About the Journal

Focus and Scope

Editorial Team

Peer Reviewer

Peer Review Policy

Publication Frequency

Publication Ethics

[Plagiarism Policy](#)

[Journal Policy](#)

[Open Access Policy](#)

[Copyright Policy](#)

[Archiving Policy](#)

[Article Processing Charges](#)

[Citedness in Scopus](#)



[Accreditation Ranking](#)



Accredited

SINTA 1



Submission Preparation



**Author
Guideline**




**Manuscript
Template**

Statement of Authenticity



**Statement of
Authenticity**

Tools



MENDELEY





grammarly

Visitor



statcounter

00025361 [View My Stats](#)



Dimensions

A Digital Science Solution



Journal & Publication Development Center
Universitas Negeri Semarang

Indonesian Journal of Criminal Law Studies
ISSN 2548-1568 (Print) 2548-1576 (Online)
Vol. 10 Issue 2 (2025) 659-684
The title has been indexed by **SCOPUS**, **SINTA**
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v10i2.29687>
Online since: November 5, 2025

Indonesian Journal of
CRIMINAL
LAW STUDIES

A peer-reviewed journal published by **Faculty of Law**
Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia.
Online at <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/ijcls/index>

Rehabilitation through Reading: Evaluating Prisoners' Right to Literacy as a Tool to Reduce Recidivism

Ade Adhari^a✉, Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi^a, Anis Widyawati^b,
Darius Andana Haris^a, Dwiky Chandra^c

^aFaculty of Law, Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia

^bFaculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

^cChicago Law School, United States

✉ Corresponding Email: adea@fh.untar.ac.id

Abstract

Fulfilling inmates' right to reading materials is crucial for effective rehabilitation within Indonesia's correctional system, despite robust legal guarantees—from the 1945 Constitution to specific laws and regulations—practical implementation faces significant hurdles. High recidivism rates in Indonesia underscore the urgent need for genuine rehabilitation beyond mere punitive measures. Using a doctrinal legal research method, the analysis draws on statutory and conceptual approaches, supported by case studies from Class IIB Pinrang, LPKA Pekanbaru, and Class IIA Kupang. Findings highlight there is a persistent gap between legal mandates and on-the-ground reality. A fundamental shift is needed to bridge this: reading must be seen not just as a formal right, but as a core educational and rehabilitative tool for intellectual and personal development. Strategic improvements require stronger cross-sector collaboration, enhanced officer



Copyrights © Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). All writings published in this journal are personal views of the author and do not represent the views of this journal and the author's affiliated institutions.

training, and outcome-based evaluation to ensure reading genuinely transforms inmates and supports their successful reintegration into society.

Keywords

Correctional Facilities; Literacy Programs; Reading Material; Recidivism; Rehabilitation.

HOW TO CITE:

Chicago Manual of Style Footnote:

¹ Ade Adhari, Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi, Anis Widyawati, Darius Andana Haris, and Dwiky Chandra. "Rehabilitation through Reading: Evaluating Prisoners' Right to Literacy as a Tool to Reduce Recidivism." *Indonesian Journal of Criminal Law Studies* 10, no 2 (2025): 659-684. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v10i2.29687>.

Chicago Manual of Style for Reference:

Adhari, Ade, Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi, Anis Widyawati, Darius Andana Haris, and Dwiky Chandra. "Rehabilitation through Reading: Evaluating Prisoners' Right to Literacy as a Tool to Reduce Recidivism." *Indonesian Journal of Criminal Law Studies* 10, no 2 (2025): 659-684. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v10i2.29687>.

Introduction

The high recidivism rate in Indonesia, reaching 18.12% of 268,001 inmates in 2020, indicates that the concept of rehabilitation, as outlined in Law Number 22 of 2022 concerning Corrections (Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 tentang Pemasyarakatan) (“**Correctional Law**”), has not been effectively implemented.¹ This concept aims to improve the personality and independence of inmates so they realize their mistakes, reform themselves, refrain from re-offending, and live as law-abiding and responsible citizens,² as well as to protect society from repeated criminal acts.³

Through the lens of the *ultimum remedium* principle, punishment should be a last resort in protecting society from crime.⁴ This protection shouldn't rely solely on punishment, but also on rehabilitation as a means of prevention and social reintegration.⁵ The limitations in facilities and support for the rehabilitation process signal the need for evaluation and strategic steps to achieve sustainable behavioral change. Without the success of this program, the correctional system becomes a futile endeavor that

¹ Codigest, “Optimalisasi Peran Bapas Dan Pokmas Lipas Pada Residivisme,” Ditjenpas, diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://www.ditjenpas.go.id/optimalisasi-peran-bapas-dan-pokmas-lipas-pada-residivisme>; “MENGATASI LINGKARAN RESIDIVISME: PEMBERDAYAAN NARAPIDANA MELALUI PEMBINAAN KEMANDIRIAN DI LEMBAGA PEMASYARAKATAN | Triwikrama: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial,” diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://ejournal.warunayama.org/index.php/triwikrama/article/view/296>.

² Anis Widyawati dan Ade Adhari, *Hukum Penitensier Di Indonesia: Konsep Dan Perkembangannya* (Rajawali Pers, 2020), <http://repository.untar.ac.id/40436/>.

³ Widyawati dan Adhari; Hanin Kusuma Ardy, “Peran Pembinaan Literasi Terhadap Perubahan Sikap Dan Tingkah Laku Narapidana Di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Kelas IIB Tuban,” *Widya Yuridika* 4, no. 2 (1 Desember 2021): 549880, <https://doi.org/10.31328/wy.v4i2.2380>.

⁴ Ade Adhari dkk., “Position for the Formulation of the Principle of *Ultimum Remedium* in the Criminal Law Codes in Various Countries,” *Lex Scientia Law Review* 8, no. 1 (22 September 2024): 223–60, <https://doi.org/10.15294/lslr.v8i1.14061>.

⁵ “THE ULTIMUM REMEDIUM PRINCIPAL FORMULATION POLICY’ by Ade Adhari, Pujiyono Pujiyono et al.,” diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/ilrev/vol14/iss1/1/>.

should ideally return inmates to society as constructive, not destructive, members.⁶

The rehabilitation of inmates and their successful reintegration into society are fundamental goals of the criminal justice system.⁷ Fulfilling basic rights during this process plays a crucial role in building the resilience of inmates, enhancing their self-confidence and ability to cope with stress, all of which are critical for social reintegration.⁸

One important aspect supporting the success of rehabilitation is providing the right to reading materials. Article 9 (h) of the Correctional Law states that inmates have the right to receive reading materials and follow media broadcasts that are not prohibited. This provision is further strengthened by the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules), specifically Rule 64, which asserts that every prison must have a library with an adequate collection to support inmate learning and recreation.⁹

However, the reality on the ground shows that fulfilling this right is far from ideal. Many correctional facilities in Indonesia face insufficient funding for libraries, a lack of attention from administrators, limited collections of relevant reading materials, and a shortage of human resources to manage these facilities.¹⁰ The books available are often poorly sorted, irrelevant to the needs of inmates, and not regularly updated.

⁶ Lukas Muntingh, "Tackling recidivism : what is needed for successful offender reintegration," *Track Two : Constructive Approaches to Community and Political Conflict* 11, no. 2 (April 2002): 20–24, <https://doi.org/10.10520/EJC111548>.

⁷ "Introductory Handbook on the Prevention of Recidivism and the Social Reintegration of Offenders," t.t.

⁸ Fransisca Iriani R. Dewi, Rita Markus Idulfilastri, dan Meylisa Permata Sari, "PENGEMBANGAN MODUL PELATIHAN UNTUK MENINGKATKAN RESILIENSI REMAJA," *Jurnal Muara Ilmu Sosial, Humaniora, Dan Seni* 6, no. 1 (30 April 2022): 99–106, <https://doi.org/10.24912/jmishumsen.v6i1.13478.2022>.

⁹ Kasey McCall-Smith, "Introductory Note to the United Nations Revised Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules)," *International Legal Materials* 55, no. 6 (27 Februari 2017): 1180–82, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020782900030898>.

¹⁰ "A Day in the Life of a Prison Librarian - Public Libraries Online," diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://publiclibrariesonline.org/2017/10/a-day-in-the-life-of-a-prison-librarian/>.

On the other hand, overcapacity means inmates have to queue to read or may not get a turn at all.¹¹ These limitations directly hinder the rehabilitation and social reintegration process, which heavily relies on access to reading materials to support changes in mindset and behavior.¹²

Given the suboptimal fulfillment of the right to reading materials in correctional facilities, this research will address the following questions:

1. How are legal regulations related to the right to reading materials for inmates structured?
2. What is the current state of implementation regarding the fulfillment of the right to reading materials in Indonesian correctional facilities?
3. What are the obstacles and potential areas for improvement in implementing the fulfillment of the right to reading materials?

Method

This study employs a doctrinal legal research method to assess whether Indonesia's correctional system fulfills the rehabilitative ideals articulated in Law No. 22 of 2022 on Corrections, with particular attention to the right to access reading materials and literacy. The analysis draws on primary legal sources such as the Correctional Law, the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules), and ministerial regulations, supported by secondary materials including scholarly works and prior empirical research. Given the limited availability of empirical studies on fulfilling inmates' rights, particularly regarding reading materials, the researcher selected the existing case studies conducted in Class IIB Pinrang, LPKA Pekanbaru, and Class IIA Kupang. These studies provide the most relevant data available, though still limited, on how

¹¹ Widyawati dan Adhari, *Hukum Penitensier Di Indonesia*.

¹² "E-Marketing Konsep Dan Transformasi Digital / Miguna Astuti, Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi, Anastasia Bernadin Dwi Mardiatmi, Dienni Ruhjiatini | PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITAS TERBUKA," diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://opac.ut.ac.id/detail-opac?id=42464>.

correctional facilities implement literacy-related rights, offering insights into both normative and practical aspects of the system.

The research applies statutory and conceptual approaches to build the normative and theoretical framework, while comparative perspectives highlight practical lessons from other jurisdictions. Qualitative content analysis is employed to identify recurring themes, including the adequacy of legal guarantees, obstacles to implementation, and the link between literacy provision and reduced recidivism. This method enables a systematic normative assessment of how literacy can serve as a strategic entry point for advancing correctional reform in Indonesia.

Result and Discussion

A. Normative and Practical Alignment of Indonesia's Correctional System with Rehabilitative Ideals

1. Legal Regulations Regarding the Right to Reading Materials for Inmates

People often view reading with skepticism, seeing it as a time-consuming activity with no clear tangible outcome. As a result, reading is frequently not a preferred choice for those aiming to use their time effectively. However, this perspective is challenged by John W. Miller and his team, whose 12-year research refutes this notion.¹³

Their findings indicate that literacy is a crucial force in the era of globalization. Miller and his team discovered that the greater a society's awareness of the importance of literacy—as the foundation of adequate knowledge—the greater their opportunities for success, both

¹³ "World Literacy: How Countries Rank and Why It Matters - 1st Edition -," diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://www.routledge.com/World-Literacy-How-Countries-Rank-and-Why-It-Matters/Miller-McKenna/p/book/9781138909564?srsId=AfmBOopThAxxgJ8LoQDXnl4TkppWY6UvlOfmfH6r6C6mGm9vu3d2WwwR>.

economically and in other aspects of life.

The understanding of literacy's importance was recognized by Indonesia's founding fathers from the very beginning of the nation's formation. This awareness is reflected in the formulation of the country's fundamental goals, two of which are "to advance the general welfare" and "to enlighten the nation." This was then concretized in the state's constitutional formulation as stipulated in Article 31 paragraph (1) of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia ("UUD 1945"), which states: "Every citizen has the right to education."

Although the wording of this regulation does not explicitly mention the word 'reading material,' this aspect is inherently inseparable from the intended meaning of education. Furthermore, the same spirit is also enshrined in the following articles:

Article 28C Paragraphs (1) and (2) UUD 1945

- a. Every person has the right to self-development through fulfilling their basic needs, education, and the right to benefit from science and technology, art and culture, to improve their quality of life and for the welfare of humankind.*
- b. Every person has the right to advance themselves by collectively striving for their rights to build their society, nation, and country.*

Article 28F UUD 1945

Every person has the right to communicate and obtain information for the development of their person and social environment, and has the right to seek, acquire, possess, store, process, and convey information by utilizing all available channels.

Through the articles outlined previously, the presence of reading materials is implicitly reflected in phrases such as “right to education,” “science and technology,” and “obtaining information.” These rights, guaranteed in the 1945 Constitution, affirm that these aspects play a crucial role in human development. Ultimately, these rights can only be fulfilled if adequate and easily accessible reading materials are available to all segments of society.

At the legislative level, the enactment of Law Number 3 of 2017 concerning the Book System (“UU Sisbuk”) again underscores the importance of reading and the availability of reading materials. This law aims to develop literacy, particularly in book publishing, and foster a reading culture among the public.¹⁴ The formation of the UU Sisbuk was driven by the desire to advance the national literacy culture while simultaneously boosting the nation’s global competitiveness. This is clearly stated in the consideration letter (b) of the UU Sisbuk, which reads:

“that books, as a means of building and enhancing the literacy culture of Indonesian society, need special attention to encourage society to play a role at the global level.”

The emphasis on literacy is also clearly reflected in the main body of the UU Sisbuk. This is evident in the following articles:

Article 4(c) of the UU Sisbuk

“The implementation of the Book System aims to: ... c. foster a culture of literacy among all Indonesian citizens;”

¹⁴ Sunardi Purwanda, “HAKIKAT PEMENUHAN HAK ATAS BUKU BACAAN UNTUK MASYARAKAT DI DAERAH TERDEPAN, TERLUAR, DAN TERTINGGAL” (doctoral, Universitas Hasanuddin, 2020), <https://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/3222/>.

Article 8(b) of the UU Sisbuk

"Society has the right to: ... b. Obtain easy access to Quality Books and book-related information."

Along with recognizing the various rights outlined previously, the government is responsible for ensuring their fulfillment. This responsibility is formulated in Article 36(a) and Article 39(a) of UU Sisbuk, which respectively state:

"The Central Government is responsible for guaranteeing the implementation of the Book System through a healthy book ecosystem to ensure the availability of Quality Books that are affordable and equally distributed without discrimination."

"The provincial Regional Government is responsible for guaranteeing the availability of Quality Books that are affordable and equally distributed without discrimination in its territory."

Understanding the legal norms outlined above makes it clear how important the state considers it to foster a reading culture and provide quality reading materials for the community. The high urgency placed on this matter indicates that if these efforts are carried out optimally, they will positively impact individuals, societal groups, and even the nation.

The right to reading materials is not excluded for those serving prison sentences in correctional facilities, whether for a specific period, life

imprisonment, or the death penalty.¹⁵ The importance of access to reading materials and intellectual freedom for inmates is echoed globally. The American Library Association asserts that participation in a democratic society demands unrestricted access to current social, political, legal, economic, cultural, scientific, and religious information. Information and ideas available outside of prison are essential for inmates to prepare for their return to society, as life in a free society requires broad skills and knowledge. Even for those incarcerated for life, access to information and literature remains vital as a window to understand the world and maintain their intellectual dignity.¹⁶ This aligns with the view of U.S. Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall, who stated that when someone enters prison, they do not lose their humanity; their mind is not closed to ideas; and precisely in a dehumanizing environment, the need for identity and self-respect becomes even more urgent.¹⁷

The administration of corrections, as part of Indonesia's integrated criminal justice system, is based on a framework known as the Correctional System (Sistem Pemasyarakatan). Article 2 of the Correctional Law stipulates that this system aims to protect inmates' rights while improving their personality and independence. In its implementation, the Correctional System clearly outlines and regulates the rights of inmates.

¹⁵ "UU No. 22 Tahun 2022," Database Peraturan | JDIH BPK, diakses 5 Juli 2025, <http://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Details/218804/uu-no-22-tahun-2022>.

¹⁶ "Prisoners' Right to Read: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights | ALA," diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/prisonersrightoread>.

¹⁷ "Procunier v. Martinez, 416 U.S. 396 (1974)," Justia Law, diakses 5 Juli 2025, <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/416/396/>.

The rights of inmates, as stated in Article 9 of the Correctional Law, are as follows:¹⁸

Rights of Inmates	
a.	The right to worship according to their religion or beliefs;
b.	The right to receive both physical and spiritual care;
c.	The right to receive education, instruction, and recreational activities, as well as opportunities to develop their potential;
d.	The right to receive health services and proper food according to nutritional needs;
e.	The right to access information services;
f.	The right to receive legal counseling and legal assistance;
g.	The right to submit complaints and/or grievances;
h.	The right to receive reading materials and follow mass media broadcasts that are not prohibited;
i.	The right to humane treatment and protection from torture, exploitation, neglect, violence, and all actions that endanger physical and mental well-being;
j.	The right to guaranteed work safety, wages, or premiums from work performed;
k.	The right to receive social services; and
l.	The right to accept or refuse visits from family, advocates, companions, and the public.

¹⁸ Database Peraturan | JDIH BPK, "UU No. 22 Tahun 2022."

Among inmates' various rights, letter (h) explicitly states their right to obtain reading materials. The explanation of Article 9 of the Correctional Law further clarifies that the reading materials referred to must not contain elements of pornography, radicalism, terrorism, provocation, or violence. These exclusions can be understood as a form of filtering, aimed at ensuring that the provided reading materials are positive and constructive, and capable of supporting the inmates' rehabilitation process. Here, access to books is crucial and normatively required to ensure the fulfillment of inmates' rights, as it serves a vital function in the rehabilitation process by supporting behavioral improvement and reducing recidivism.¹⁹

The Correctional Law is supplemented by implementing regulations, namely Government Regulation Number 32 of 1999 concerning the Requirements and Procedures for the Exercise of Rights of Correctional Inmates (“PP 32/1999”). The provisions regarding reading materials are detailed in Article 27 and Article 28 of PP 32/1999, which state:

Article	Provision
Art. 27	<p>1) <i>Every Correctional Facility must provide reading materials, mass media in the form of print media, and electronic media.</i></p> <p>2) <i>The reading materials and mass media referred to in article (1) must support the personality and independence development programs for Inmates and Correctional Children and not contradict prevailing laws and regulations.</i></p>

¹⁹ Lauren Truong, “Books Behind Bars: The Right to Read in Prison,” *National Coalition Against Censorship*, 9 Mei 2019, <https://ncac.org/news/blog/books-behind-bars-the-right-to-read-in-prison>.

	3) <i>Procedures regarding the lending and/or use of reading materials and mass media shall be further regulated by the Head of the Correctional Facility.</i>
Art. 28	1) <i>Every Inmate and Correctional Child who wishes to bring in and obtain reading materials or information from mass media outside the Correctional Facility must obtain permission from the Head of the Correctional Facility.</i> 2) ...

Based on all the regulations outlined, from the national constitution grounded in fundamental state objectives to the level of specific laws and their implementing regulations, it's clear that Indonesia has established a comprehensive legal framework to support the availability of reading materials and encourage a reading culture for all its citizens, without exception, including inmates.

2. Current State of Fulfillment of the Right to Reading Materials in Correctional Facilities in Indonesia

The fulfillment of the right to reading materials in correctional facilities has been comprehensively regulated in various national legal instruments. One such instrument is the provision of libraries and access to mass media within detention centers or prisons. However, on-the-ground implementation shows that the mere presence of facilities does not automatically guarantee the achievement of the correctional system's rehabilitative goals.

a. Class IIB Pinrang Detention Center, South Sulawesi

A case study at the Class IIB Pinrang State Detention Center (Rutan) indicates that a library has been provided, and the procedures for borrowing reading materials are relatively straightforward.²⁰ The Head of the Detention Services Sub-section, Mr. Andy Prajakarana, S.H., affirmed that every inmate has the right to access reading materials, and officers administratively supervise the library management system. The reading materials provided have also been selected to support inmate development programs.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of utilizing these facilities has not been optimal. As revealed by inmate Suharmin, some inmates stated that although the library is available, reading interest remains low. Many are more interested in outdoor activities than reading. This indicates a gap between the provision of facilities and meaningful utilization.

This condition suggests that merely providing physical means is insufficient to fulfill the right to reading materials. Reading materials must be quality-controlled, relevant content curated, and guided so that reading truly becomes part of the development and character-building process. Without a directed approach, the function of corrections to rebuild the inmate's personality will be challenging, and the fulfillment of literacy rights will only be formalistic.

In other words, a detention center may fulfill the formal mandate of legal regulations by providing a library and reading materials. Still, if it is not accompanied by quality control and proper guidance on how reading can be a means of development, then the inmates' rights are not truly fulfilled. Correctional facilities should not merely be places to house inmates and fulfill administrative obligations, but must genuinely be spaces for moral and intellectual reconstruction.

²⁰ Muhammad Fadli Muslimin, Iswandy Rani Saputra, dan Amir Amir, "Menebus Kesalahan, Meraih Hak: Kisah Narapidana Narkotika di Balik Jeruji," *Jurnal Litigasi Amsir*, 31 Oktober 2024, 188–201.

b. Pekanbaru Special Children's Development Institute (LPKA), Riau

The condition of fulfilling the right to reading materials at LPKA Pekanbaru shows that although there are initiatives to provide a library as a self-study facility, this has not been accompanied by a complete understanding from the institution regarding the importance of adequate learning facilities as part of the rehabilitation process for child inmates. This is reflected in the minimal collection of reading materials and the limited space and operating hours of the library, which is only open until 12:00 PM WIB.²¹

Furthermore, the lack of adequate educational facilities and literacy materials also indicates that policymakers at LPKA—both the institution's head and the officers—have not fully realized that reading and learning are not merely rights but also fundamental means of reshaping the character of correctional children. Reading activities tend to be positioned as supplementary, not as a core element in the development process.

This situation confirms that despite good intentions and efforts from LPKA to provide reading materials and supporting facilities, without a strong awareness of their urgency, adequate structural support, and resource availability, the fulfillment of literacy rights for child inmates will remain symbolic and partial. Consequently, correctional efforts fail to touch the rehabilitative essence that should be the main foundation of the child development system in correctional facilities.

²¹ Johar dkk., "Pelaksanaan Hak Pendidikan Terhadap Narapidana Anak Di Lembaga Pembinaan Khusus Anak Pekanbaru."

c. Class IIA Correctional Facility Kupang, East Nusa Tenggara

Class IIA Correctional Facility Kupang has shown initial commitment to fulfilling inmates' right to reading materials and access to unrestricted mass media. One form of implementation is providing a mini-library that contains various types of books, including books on Pancasila, civics, motivation, and religion. Additionally, access to mass media is provided through televisions available in the residential blocks, with supervision to ensure that the broadcasts watched comply with applicable regulations.²²

However, fulfilling this right has not effectively promoted the inmate development process. Although facilities have been provided, not all inmates are interested in reading or utilizing media broadcasts to expand their knowledge. This indicates that providing facilities alone is insufficient if a more personal and educational approach is not accompanied.

In practice, correctional officers have endeavored to actively guide inmates, even providing explanations when inmates have difficulty understanding the content of reading materials or broadcasts. Nevertheless, without a more systematic development strategy supported by the inmates' internal motivation, the potential of literacy as part of the rehabilitation process cannot be maximized.

This condition again emphasizes that providing reading materials and media should not stop at quantitative aspects alone but must be supported by a qualitative approach through guidance, motivation, and appropriate content curation. Without these, the correctional system

²² Olivia Anggie Johar, Fahmi Fahmi, dan Dewi Sartika, "Pelaksanaan Hak Pendidikan Terhadap Narapidana Anak Di Lembaga Pembinaan Khusus Anak Pekanbaru," *Jurnal Hukum Respublica* 22, no. 1 (30 November 2022), <https://journal.unilak.ac.id/index.php/Respublica/article/view/12102>; Napu dkk., "IMPLEMENTASI HAK-HAK NARAPIDANA BERDASARKAN UNDANG-UNDANG NOMOR 22 TAHUN 2022 SERTA HAMBATAN-HAMBATANNYA DI LEMBAGA PEMASYARAKATAN KELAS IIA KUPANG."

risks becoming merely an administrative routine rather than a space for inmates' human transformation.

The three case studies analyzed—Rutan Class IIB Pinrang, LPKA Pekanbaru, and IIA Kupang Correctional Facility—generally indicate that the fulfillment of inmates' right to reading materials is still suboptimal, both in terms of quality and quantity, and in its rehabilitative utilization. Yet, the right to reading materials is inseparable from the right to education and self-development explicitly guaranteed within the national legal framework.

The fact that libraries and mass media access are provided shows institutional intent to fulfill legal obligations. However, when the facilities provided are not accompanied by decent reading materials, meaningful content curation, and educational guidance, the fulfillment of these rights tends to be formalistic and symbolic, not yet reaching the essence of the right itself.

This condition is not unique to Indonesia. In the United States, libraries in almost all federal and state correctional facilities reflect similar institutional efforts to provide inmates with access to reading materials. As explained by Lehmann,²³ Correctional libraries in the US are developed following the public library model and function in various capacities—from self-learning centers to supporting rehabilitation and recreational activities. However, behind this diversity of functions, challenges arise that reflect similar problems: the quality of library services is often difficult to measure, access to information and reading materials is usually delayed, and not all institutions have adequate library space or are managed by professional librarians.

Furthermore, although philosophically, library services in the US

²³ Vibeke Lehmann, "Challenges and Accomplishments in U.S. Prison Libraries," *Library Trends* 59, no. 3 (2011): 490–508, <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0001>.

uphold the right to freedom of reading and equal access to information for the general public, their implementation remains limited by strict security regulations. Some states even prohibit inmates from using standalone computers, even though such technology is crucial for education and personal development. Additionally, state regulations prohibiting reading materials containing elements of violence, hatred, and pornography are often inconsistently applied. Curation policies that should prevent misuse or arbitrary restrictions have not been uniformly enforced across all jurisdictions.²⁴


Thus, the fulfillment of the right to reading materials should not merely be viewed as a symbolic administrative obligation, but rather as an essential element in actualizing the true purpose of the correctional system—namely, the dignified and productive rehabilitation and social reintegration of inmates. In Indonesia and other countries like the United States, the availability of facilities does not always guarantee the effectiveness of their utilization.

Therefore, comprehensive improvements are needed, including enhancing the quality and relevance of reading materials, strengthening library management capacity, and adopting a more directed and participatory literacy approach. Without a literate and integrated development strategy, the correctional system will continue to be trapped in administrative routines, failing to touch the core of human transformation that forms the foundation of a modern criminal justice system—rebuilding better, empowered individuals ready to rejoin society.

²⁴ “Challenges and Accomplishments in U.S. Prison Libraries,” diakses 5 Juli 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236789743_Challenges_and_Accomplishments_in_US_Prison_Libraries.

B. Obstacles and Opportunities for Enhancing Literacy and Access to Reading Materials in Correctional Facilities

Although the existing legal framework explicitly guarantees inmates' right to reading materials, the reality shows that the substantial fulfillment of this right has not been fully realized. The obstacles that arise are not only technical aspects of providing facilities but also a lack of orientation towards the purpose of the right itself, which is to enlighten inmates as part of the development and rehabilitation process.



One of the main obstacles is the minimal availability of relevant, quality, and varied reading materials in many correctional facilities. The available reading collections are often limited, not updated regularly, and not tailored to the needs and backgrounds of the inmates. Yet, Article 27 of PP 32/1999 explicitly mandates that every correctional facility provide reading materials and mass media, in both print and electronic forms. In this regard, the utilization of electronic media could be a significant breakthrough in addressing the limitations of physical reading materials—

due to their easy accessibility, quick update capability, and ability to present a broader and more adaptive variety of content to the needs of inmates. However, this potential has not been maximized due to limited infrastructure and the absence of integrated policies in many correctional facilities.

Furthermore, there is still an administrative-only approach to fulfilling this right, where the mere existence of a library or provision of reading materials is treated as a formality to comply with regulations, rather than a real instrument in the social reintegration process. The lack of training for officers, the absence of a curriculum or integrated literacy program, and the suboptimal involvement of external parties such as literacy communities, NGOs, and academics further exacerbate this situation.

Nevertheless, this situation is not without hope. Potential improvements can be found through several strategic approaches:

First, it is crucial to adopt a new paradigm in viewing the right to reading materials—not merely as fulfilling an entertainment need or legal formality, but as an educational right that addresses the spiritual, intellectual, and social aspects of inmates. By positioning reading as an integral part of the development program, the provision of reading materials must be directed to support the development of critical thinking skills, empathy, and a future orientation for inmates.

Second, cross-sector collaboration is a vital key to optimizing the fulfillment of this right. The government can establish cooperation with publishers, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and literacy communities to supply diverse, relevant, and contextual reading materials. Additionally, correctional officers' training on literacy management and reading guidance methods needs to be developed to impact the development process truly.

Third, comprehensive monitoring and evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of fulfilling the right to reading materials must be done. Success indicators are no longer sufficiently measured by the number of books available, but must include aspects of inmate participation, behavioral changes, and intellectual achievements that arise through reading activities. Only in this way can the principle of corrections as a rehabilitation process be fully realized.

Thus, fulfilling the right to reading materials for inmates should not stop at an administrative level or merely symbolize regulatory compliance. It must be positioned as an essential means of education, character building, and restoring human dignity for those serving sentences, as a true reflection of the constitutional mandate and civilized values of justice. In this context, libraries in correctional facilities are not just places to store books, but serve as community spaces that support lifelong learning. There, inmates can find constructive ways to interpret and utilize the one thing they certainly have: time.²⁵

Conclusion

Providing inmates with access to reading materials is a fundamental right and a strategic instrument for realizing a rehabilitation-oriented correctional system in Indonesia, as mandated by the 1945 Constitution and reinforced in Correctional Law. This study confirms that, despite normative guarantees, implementation remains limited: correctional facilities often lack relevant and quality reading materials, and literacy initiatives are predominantly administrative rather than transformative. The right to read should be operationalized as an educational tool targeting inmates' intellectual, spiritual, and social development to address this gap. Strategic measures include expanding electronic media to diversify content,

²⁵ *How Prison Libraries Support Rehabilitation Efforts. UIL Policy Brief 11* (UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning, 2020), <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED612492>.

strengthening cross-sector collaboration, enhancing officer capacity, and systematically evaluating program outcomes to measure the reduction in recidivism. By embedding reading as a core component of rehabilitation, the correctional system can fulfill its constitutional mandate to prepare inmates for reintegration into society as responsible and self-reliant citizens.

References

- American Library Association. "Prisoners' Right to Read: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights." Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/prisonersrightoread>.
- Anjani, Regalia Mala, and Padmono Wibowo. "Mengatasi Lingkaran Residivisme: Pemberdayaan Narapidana melalui Pembinaan Kemandirian di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan." *Triwikrama: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*. Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://ejournal.warunayama.org/index.php/triwikrama/article/view/296>.
- Ardy, Hanin Kusuma. "Peran Pembinaan Literasi terhadap Perubahan Sikap dan Tingkah Laku Narapidana di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Kelas IIB Tuban." *Widya Yuridika* 4, no. 2 (2021): 549–580. <https://doi.org/10.31328/wy.v4i2.2380>.
- Codingest. "Optimalisasi Peran Bapas dan Pokmas Lipas pada Residivisme." Direktorat Jenderal Pemasyarakatan. Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://www.ditjenpas.go.id/optimalisasi-peran-bapas-dan-pokmas-lipas-pada-residivisme>.
- Database Peraturan | JDIH BPK. "Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 tentang Pemasyarakatan." Accessed July 5, 2025. <http://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Details/218804/uu-no-22-tahun-2022>.
- Dewi, Fransisca Iriani R., Rita Markus Idulfilastri, and Meylisa Permata Sari. "Pengembangan Modul Pelatihan untuk Meningkatkan Resiliensi Remaja." *Jurnal Muara Ilmu Sosial, Humaniora, dan Seni*

6, no. 1 (2022): 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.24912/jmishumsen.v6i1.13478.2022>.

Johar, Olivia Anggie, Fahmi Fahmi, and Dewi Sartika. “Pelaksanaan Hak Pendidikan terhadap Narapidana Anak di Lembaga Pembinaan Khusus Anak Pekanbaru.” *Jurnal Hukum Respublica* 22, no. 1 (2022).

<https://journal.unilak.ac.id/index.php/Respublica/article/view/12102>.

Justia Law. *Procunier v. Martinez*, 416 U.S. 396 (1974). Accessed July 5, 2025. <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/416/396/>.

Kementerian Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia Republik Indonesia. *Hak Asasi Manusia*. n.d.

Lehmann, Vibeke. “Challenges and Accomplishments in U.S. Prison Libraries.” *Library Trends* 59, no. 3 (2011): 490–508.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0001>.

McCall-Smith, Kasey. “Introductory Note to the United Nations Revised Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules).” *International Legal Materials* 55, no. 6 (2017): 1180–1182.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020782900030898>.

Muslimin, Muhammad Fadli, Iswandy Rani Saputra, and Amir Amir. “Menebus Kesalahan, Meraih Hak: Kisah Narapidana Narkotika di Balik Jeruji.” *Jurnal Litigasi Amsir*, October 31, 2024, 188–201.

Napu, Papy Michael, Rudepel Petrus Leo, and Heryanto Amalo. “Implementasi Hak-Hak Narapidana Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 serta Hambatannya di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Kelas IIA Kupang.” *Petitum Law Journal* 1, no. 1 (2023): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.35508/pelana.v1i1.13349>.

Purwanda, Sunardi. *Hakikat Pemenuhan Hak atas Buku Bacaan untuk Masyarakat di Daerah Terdepan, Terluar, dan Tertinggal*. Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Hasanuddin, 2020.
<https://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/3222/>.

“Teaching Literacy to Turn the Page on Recidivism.” Accessed September 16, 2025.
<https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2998&context=jled>.

Truong, Lauren. “Books Behind Bars: The Right to Read in Prison.” National Coalition Against Censorship, May 9, 2019.
<https://ncac.org/news/blog/books-behind-bars-the-right-to-read-in-prison>.

UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning. “How Prison Libraries Support Rehabilitation Efforts.” *UIL Policy Brief* no. 11 (2020).
<https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED612492>.

Widyawati, Anis, and Ade Adhari. *Hukum Penitensier di Indonesia: Konsep dan Perkembangannya*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers, 2020.
<http://repository.untar.ac.id/40436/>.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The authors states that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology (Kemendikbudristek) through a research grant in 2025.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

None

HISTORY OF ARTICLE

Submitted : July 7, 2025
Revised : August 5, 2025
Accepted : September 30, 2025
Published : November 5, 2025

*This page intentionally
left blank*