



### **SURAT TUGAS**

Nomor: 712-R/UNTAR/PENELITIAN/II/2024

Rektor Universitas Tarumanagara, dengan ini menugaskan kepada saudara:

1. ALISTER GARCIA HIMAWAN

2. YOANITA WIDJAJA, dr., M.Pd.Ked.

Untuk melaksanakan kegiatan penelitian/publikasi ilmiah dengan data sebagai berikut:

Judul SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND ACADEMIC STRESS IN MEDICAL

SCHOOL: ARE THEY RELATED?

Nama Media INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES RESEARCH AND

**DEVELOPMENT (IJHSRD)** 

Penerbit Universitas Mandala Waluya

Volume/Tahun 5/2/2023

https://doi.org/10.36566/ijhsrd/Vol5.lss2/161 **URL** Repository

Demikian Surat Tugas ini dibuat, untuk dilaksanakan dengan sebaik-baiknya dan melaporkan hasil penugasan tersebut kepada Rektor Universitas Tarumanagara

14 Februari 2024

Rektor





Prof. Dr. Ir. AGUSTINUS PURNA IRAWAN

Print Security: 1db0071178a991b44adc8951b707778e

Disclaimer: Surat ini dicetak dari Sistem Layanan Informasi Terpadu Universitas Tarumanagara dan dinyatakan sah secara hukum.






• Penjaminan Mutu dan Sumber Daya

- Ekonomi dan Bisnis
- Hukum
- Teknologi Informasi
- Teknik

Psikologi

- Seni Rupa dan Desain
- Ilmu Komunikasi Kedokteran
  - Program Pascasarjana



P: 021 - 5695 8744 (Humas)

E: humas@untar.ac.id

Jl. Letjen S. Parman No. 1, Jakarta Barat 11440



# e-ISSN 2715-4718



# INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJHSRD)

Volume 5, Issue 2, December 2023

# SINOPSIS

Indonesian journal of health sciences research and development is a double blind peer reviewed international journal. The frequency is monthly from 2019. It deals with all aspects of health sciences including Community Medicine, Public Health, Epidemiology, Occupational Health, Environmental Hazards, Clinical Research, and Public Health Laws, pharmachology biotechnology, health instruments, nursing, clinical psychologi and covers all medical specialties concerned with research and development for the masses. The journal strongly encourages reports of research carried out within Indonesian continent and South East Asia.

UNIVERSITAS MANDALA WALUYA

Addres: Jend A. H. Nasution Street No. G-37 Kambu, Kendari City, Sulawesi Tenggara, Indonesia (93232)

Web: www.ijhsrd.com

e-ISSN: 2715-4718


DOI: 10.36566/ijhsrd/Vol5.Iss2/X https://ijhsrd.com/index.php/ijhsrd



# **CONTENTS**

Volume 5, Issue 2 December 2023

1.	SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND ACADEMIC STRESS IN MEDICAL SCHOOL: ARE THEY RELATED?  Alister Garcia Himawan <sup>1</sup> , Yoanita Widjaja <sup>2</sup>	1
2.	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOGISTICS PLANNING AND LOGISTICS PROCUREMENT FUNCTIONS WITH THE AVAILABILITY OF MEDICAL DEVICES IN COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS IN KOLAKA DISTRICT Dwi Ayu Hartati <sup>1</sup> , Tasnim Tasnim <sup>2</sup> , Sunarsih <sup>3</sup>	8
3.	AN OVERVIEW OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS WITH GUT EPSILON TOXICITY IN HUMAN: SISTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW Mohammad Salim, Shagufta Parween, Mohammad Shahid Masroor	15
4.	THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNAL FACTORS ON THE INCIDENCE OF IRRITANT CONTACT DERMATITIS (ICD) IN FISHERMAN IN NIITANASA VILLAGE, LALONGGASUMEETO SUB-DISTRICT, KONAWE DISTRICT  Titi Saparina.L <sup>1</sup> , Achmad Saiful <sup>2</sup> , Asfani Yuhadi <sup>3</sup> ,	
	Muhammad Ikhsan Akbar <sup>4</sup>	25
5.	ANALYSIS OF THE HEALTHY LIVING COMMUNITY MOVEMENT PROGRAM WHICH WAS CARRIED OUT WITHOUT ANY POLICY IN THE INDUSTRIAL AREA IN KONAWE REGENCY	
	Desriatin <sup>1*</sup> , Tasnim <sup>2</sup> , Sartini Riksy <sup>3</sup> , Anry Hariadhin Depu <sup>4</sup>	33
6.	PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS SUFFERING FROM SKIN DISORDERS  Ishika Ghosh <sup>1</sup> & Dr. Suchismita Sinha <sup>2</sup>	42
_		43
7.	RISK FACTORS FOR HYPERTENSION IN THE COASTAL AREA OF KONAWE REGENCY  Nurul Aisyiah Rizal <sup>1</sup> *, Tasnim <sup>2</sup> , Erwin Azizi Jayadipraja <sup>3</sup>	54
8.	THE PREVENTIVE MODEL FOR CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE IN COASTAL AREA ABELI HEALTH CENTRE IN KENDARI CITY Tasnim Tasnim <sup>1</sup> , Sunarsih <sup>2</sup> , Timbul Supodo <sup>3</sup>	63
9.	CONTROL OF DEAD STOCK AND SLOW-MOVING DRUGS IN THE PHARMACY INSTALLATION OF THE KENDARI CITY REGIONAL GENERAL HOSPITAL	
	Mulyadi Prasetyo <sup>1</sup> *, Tasnim <sup>2</sup> , Sartini Riski <sup>3</sup>	73





# Research Article



# SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND ACADEMIC STRESS IN MEDICAL SCHOOL: ARE THEY RELATED?

# Alister Garcia Himawan<sup>1</sup>, Yoanita Widjaja<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2,</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia

# **Corresponding Author:**

Yoanita Widjaja dr., M.Pd.Ked. Faculty of Medicine, Tarumanagara University, Jakarta, Indonesia E-mail: yoanitaw@fk.untar.ac.id, Phone: +62-896-6666-1618

### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** During the transition to higher education, many students experience stress due to the process of adapting to a new educational and social environment. Excessive or negative stress may lead to physical and psychological reactions, such as persistent lack of energy, loss of appetite, headaches, gastrointestinal problems or signs of emotional distress. It may be theorized that students who are more proficient at self-regulated learning would display problem solving coping strategies when dealing with academic demands, therefore reducing perceived levels of academic stress. This study aims to explore any statistically significant relationship between the levels of self-regulated learning and academic stress.

**Methods:** The study is a cross-sectional, observational analytic study with a sample size of 222 respondents obtained from medical students at Tarumanagara University.

**Results:** The majority of respondents (80.6%) experienced moderate academic stress, although statistical analysis shows no statistically significant relationship between self-regulated learning and academic stress.

**Conclusions:** Further research should be done on the cross-cultural applicability of the concepts of self-regulated learning. Medical educational institutions should implement learning and lecture strategies that promote emotional and mental wellness among students as an approach to reduce the levels of academic stress.

Keywords: Self-Regulated, Learning strategy, Academic Stress, Medical Student

# **Indonesian Journal Of Health Sciences Research and** Development e- ISSN: 2715-4718



### INTRODUCTION

higher During the transition education, many students experience stress due to the process of adapting to a new educational and social environment [1]. A meta-analysis conducted in 2019 shows that around a third of medical students worldwide experience anxiety, with medical students in the Middle East and Asia having the highest prevalence of anxiety. Aggregated data from 18 studies shows that 35.2% of medical students in Asia experience anxiety [2]. Excessive or negative stress may lead to physical and psychological reactions, such as persistent lack of energy, loss of appetite. headaches, gastrointestinal problems or signs of emotional distress [1].

Coping is an effort to manage stress through cognitive and behavioral changes. Coping consists of the process of analyzing and evaluating strategies that an individual can use to avoid the negative effects of a stressor. The coping strategies may be classed into two broad classifications which include problem focused coping and emotion focused coping. Individuals who apply problem focused coping strategies are more likely to take an active role in solving problems in an effort to deal with stress, while individuals who apply emotion focused coping strategies deal with stressful situations with efforts to regulate, reduce, or emotional eliminate the discomfort associated with stress, usually by implementing strategies such as avoidance, minimizing the significance of a situation, distancing and selective attention [3].

The theoretical framework behind selfregulated learning is very similar to coping Self-regulated learning is a strategies. cognitive process that allows students to identify academic demands, make appropriate strategies to deal with the demands, and adapt through a feedback process. Both self-regulated learning and coping involve the perception of a challenge, interpretation of its significance, emotional reaction, and strategies implemented to manage the challenge [4]. It may be theorized that students who are more proficient at self-regulated learning would display problem solving coping strategies when dealing with academic demands, therefore reducing perceived levels of academic stress.

There is evidence from several studies. such as those from Spain, China and Iran, that show that higher levels of self-regulated learning are related to lower levels of academic stress [5,6,7]. Although some studies show that self-regulated learning is associated with lower academic stress, most of the studies on self-regulated learning have been conducted in a Western cultural background [8]. In this regard, further research should be conducted in Eastern cultural backgrounds, where there is a diversity of norms and values.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

study is a cross-sectional, observational analytic study with a sample size of 222 respondents obtained from medical students at Tarumanagara University with a cluster random sampling method. The sample size was obtained by the equation to determine sample size for hypothesis testing of two independent groups [9]. The inclusion academic stage medical criteria were Tarumanagara University, students at ranging from the class of 2018 to 2022. The exclusion criteria were medical students who have a history of diagnosis of psychiatric disorders before entering medical school, as



e- ISSN: 2715-4718

their mental state may not represent academic stress caused by studying at medical school. The Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) was used to measure the level of self-regulated learning. The MSLQ is composed of two components which include the motivation scale and the learning strategy scale [10]. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) was used as a measure of academic stress [11]. Statistical analysis was done with IBM® SPSS® Statistics using the Chi-squared test. The results of the Chi-squared test were considered significant if the  $\rho$  value <0.05.

### **RESULTS**

The results of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire are interpreted on a quartile basis. Scores below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentiles are considered "low/poor", scores between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile are considered "average", and scores that are above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile are considered "high/good".

Table 1 Results of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Ouestionnaire

Learning Questionnaire					
Characteristic	Number	of	Percentage		
	Respondent	ts			
Self-Regulated					
Learning					
(SRL)					
Low	52		23.4%		
Average	115		51.8%		
High	55		24.8%		
Motivation					
Low	53		23.9%		
Average	115		51.8%		
High	54		24.3%		
Learning					
Strategy					
Poor	53		23.9%		
Average	117		52.7%		
Good	52		23.4		

Source: Primary Data

The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) consists of 10 items arranged in a 5-point Likert scale, with the highest possible score being 40. Respondents with a score between 0-13 were classified as having low academic stress, scores between 14-26 were classified as moderate academic stress, and scores from 27-40 are classified as high academic stress.

**Table 2 Academic Stress** 

Academic	Number of	Percentage
stress	Respondents	
Low	26	11.7%
Moderate	179	80.6%
High	17	7.7%

Source: Primary Data

The majority of respondents (80.6%) experienced moderate amounts of academic stress, while 26 respondents (11.7%) experienced low academic stress, and 17 respondents (7.7%) experienced high amounts of academic stress. The Chi-squared test was used to investigate if there is an association between the levels of self-regulated learning and the levels of academic stress.

Linear-by-Linear Association for self-regulated learning and academic stress yielded an Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) of 0.283. The significance value is greater than the threshold of 0.05, meaning that the results obtained are not statistically significant. The epidemiological association was calculated to investigate if respondents with low levels of self-regulated learning were more likely to experience high academic stress.



Table 3 prevalence ratio of self-regulated learning and academic Stress

learning and academic Stress			
Self-Regulated	Academic Stress		
Learning			
	High	Moderate -	
		Low	
Low	6	46 (20.7%)	
	(2.7%)	, ,	
Average – High	11	159 (71.6%)	
5 8	(5.0%)	, ,	

Source: Primary Data

The prevalence ratio was found to be 1.783 with a 95% confidence interval between 0.693 – 4.588. The results show that respondents with low self-regulated learning scores are 1.783 times more likely to experience high academic stress compared to respondents who have average—high self-regulated learning scores. This result cannot be considered statistically significant because the confidence interval includes the value 1 [12,13].

Linear-by-Linear Association for motivation and academic stress yielded an asymptotic significance (2-sided) of 0.126. The significance value is greater than the threshold of 0.05, therefore the results obtained are not statistically significant. The epidemiological association was also calculated for motivation and academic stress.

Table 4 prevalence ratio of motivation and academic stress

academic stress			
Motivation	Academic Stress		nic Stress
		High	Moderate – Low
Low		5	48 (21.6%)
Average	_	(2.3%)	
High		12	157 (70.7%)
		(5.4%)	, , ,

Source: Primary Data

The prevalence ratio was found to be 1.329 with a 95% confidence interval between 0.490 - 3.599. The results show that respondents with low motivation are 1.329

times more likely to experience high academic stress compared to respondents with average—high motivation. This result cannot be considered statistically significant because the confidence interval includes the value 1.

Linear-by-Linear Association for learning strategy and academic stress yielded an asymptotic significance (2-sided) of 0.180. The significance value of 0.180 is greater than the threshold of 0.05 therefore the results obtained are not statistically significant. Similarly, the epidemiological association was also calculated.

Table 5 prevalence ratio of learning strategy

and academic stress			
Learning Strategy	Academic Stress		
	High	Moderate – Low	
Poor	6	47 (21.1%)	
Average – Good	(2.7%)		
	11	158 (71.2%)	
	(5.0%)		

Source: Primary Data

The prevalence ratio was found to be 1.739 with a 95% confidence interval between 0.676 – 4.478. The results show that respondents with poor learning strategy are 1.739 times more likely to experience high academic stress compared to students with average–good learning strategy. This result cannot be considered statistically significant because the confidence interval includes the value 1

Based on table 2, it is known that of the 11 students who showed the positive impact of smoking on health (no effect) there were 9 students (81%) who had good knowledge and 2 students (18.8%) who had less knowledge. While of the 24 students who showed the negative impact of smoking on health all (100%) had less knowledge. This shows that there is a relationship

# Indonesian Journal Of Health Sciences Research and Development



e- ISSN: 2715-4718

between the impact of smoking for health on the knowledge of Sragen Central Java high school students as evidenced by the acquisition of Chi-Square test results which is 0.00 < 0.05 with a value of 26.434b%. This shows that there is a relationship between the impact of smoking and the knowledge of male students in Sragen High School, Central Java.

# **DISCUSSION**

The results of statistical analysis show that the levels self-regulated learning, motivation and learning strategies are not associated with the levels of academic stress. The results of this study also indicate that there is no statistically significant epidemiological association between self-regulated learning, motivation, learning strategies and academic stress.

The results of this study are not in line with previous studies on self-regulated learning and academic stress, such as those mentioned in the introduction. These results may be explained by the theory that the sources of academic stress are multifactorial and that student-centred factors such as self-regulated learning do not fully predict the levels of academic stress.

External factors can be a source of academic stress. Previous research shows that medical students reported that the main causes of stress were exams, falling behind on study schedules, heavy workloads, and lack of time [14].

Self-regulated learning is a cognitive process that allows students to identify academic demands, make appropriate strategies to deal with demands, and adapt strategies through a feedback process. Students with a high level of self-regulated learning can process information and plan

learning strategies well and should respond to academic demands by adopting problem solving strategies in such a way that these demands can be handled. Theoretically, problem solving would cause these students to experience lower levels of academic stress, but this study is inconsistent with previous theories.

One possible explanation is that external factors may play a greater role in students' perceptions of academic stress. Students with a high level of self-regulated learning should be better able to deal with academic demands, but continuous academic demands over a long period of time can deplete students' ability to adapt to stressors.

Another possible explanation is that cultural factors may have a significant impact on self-regulated learning and academic stress. Most research done on selfregulated learning have been done in a Western cultural background [8], however educational and cultural differences between Western and Asian societies can contribute to differences in self-regulated learning. Cultural values and beliefs directly and indirectly influence students' motivation and behaviour. Previous studies have found that collectivist cultures such as Japan emphasize group cohesiveness through collective identity, solidarity and harmony within groups, duties and obligations, regulation of behaviour by norms within groups, and family integrity. Japanese students are also considered to be more dependent on external sources of control than American students due to cultural characteristics [15].

According to Hofstede, Indonesia has a high Power Distance score, meaning there is a strong dependence on hierarchy, unequal rights between power holders and non-power holders, and a centralized power structure. Leaders are directors and employees expect

# **Indonesian Journal Of Health Sciences Research and** Development e- ISSN: 2715-4718



to be told what to do. Students may be more inclined to defer to authority figures such as professors or parents when making decisions. This can result in a lack of autonomy and self-direction in the learning process, which can hinder the development of self-regulated learning skills. These cultural differences may help explain the finding that external factors seem to play a greater role in students' perceptions of academic stress [16].

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Statistical analysis shows statistically significant relationship between the levels self-regulated learning, motivation, learning strategies and academic stress. The majority of respondents (80.6%) experienced moderate amounts of academic stress, which may prompt medical educational institutions to implement learning and lecture strategies that promote emotional and mental wellness among students as an approach to reduce the levels of academic stress. The results of this study may be furthered with more research on external sources of academic stress as well as the cultural impact on self-regulated learning and perceptions of academic stress, especially in medical schools in Eastern cultures such as Indonesia.

# **REFERENCES**

- Misra R, Castillo LG. Academic stress among college students: Comparison of American and international students. International Journal Stress Management. 2004;11(2):132-48.
- Quek, Tam, Tran, Zhang, Zhang, Ho, et al. The global prevalence of anxiety medical students: among Α metaanalysis. International Journal Environmental Research and Public Health. 2019;16(15):2735.
- Matthews G, Schwean VL, Campbell SE, Saklofske DH, Mohamed AAR.

- Personality, self-regulation. and adaptation. Handbook Self-Regulation. 2000::171-207.
- Zeidner M, Boekaerts M, Pintrich PR. Self-regulation. Handbook of Self-Regulation. 2000::749-68
- Fuentes MC, García-Ros R, Pérez-González F. Sancerni D. Effects of styles self-regulated parenting on learning and academic stress in Spanish adolescents. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2019;16(15):2778.
- Zheng J, Jiang N, Dou J. Autonomy 6. Support and Academic Stress: Relationship Mediated by Regulated Learning and Mastery Goal Orientation. New Waves-Educational Research and Development Journal. 2020;23:43-63.
- Siddiqui F, Khan R. Correlation between stress scores and self-regulated learning perception scores in Pakistani students. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2020;:1.
- 8. Akbar MI, Nurmaladewi N, Aspian P, Pagala I, Rustam M. Assessing the service quality at health service facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic in North Buton District, Indonesia. Public Health of Indonesia. 2022 Dec 13;8(4):116-22.
- 9. S. Sastroasmoro Perkiraan Besar Sampel. In: Dasar-Dasar Metodologi Penelitian Klinis. 4th ed. Jakarta: Bagian Ilmu Kesehatan Anak, **Fakultas** Kedokteran, Indonesia: Universitas 1995. p. 348-83.
- 10. Pintrich PR, Smith DAF, Garcia T, McKeachie WJ. A Manual for the Use of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ). 1991
- 11. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. Perceived stress scale. Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists. 1994 Jul 15;10(2):1-2.
- 12. Hoffman MR TS. Odds ratio statpearls - NCBI bookshelf [Internet]. [cited 2023 Available Jun 13]. from:

# Indonesian Journal Of Health Sciences Research and Development



- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NB K431098
- 13. Kim H-Y. Statistical notes for clinical researchers: Chi-Squared Test and Fisher's exact test. Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics. 2017;42(2):152.
- 14. de la Fuente J, Peralta-Sánchez FJ, Martínez-Vicente JM, Sander P, Garzón-Umerenkova A, Zapata L. Effects of self-regulation vs. external regulation on the factors and symptoms of academic stress in undergraduate students. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020;11. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01773
- 15. Purdie N, Hattie J. Cultural differences in the use of strategies for self-regulated &nbsp
- 16. Hofstede G, Hofstede GJ, Minkov M. Measuring the Degree of Inequality in Society: The Power Distance Index. In: Cultures and organizations software of the mind; Intercultural Cooperation and its importance for survival. New York u. a: McGraw-Hill; 2010. p. 57–62.