



[Home](#) /

## EDITORIAL BOARD

### Chief In Editor

Dr. Ir. Sarwani, M.M., M.T., Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia

Profil: [Scopus](#) | [Sinta](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

### Editors

1. Udin ahidin, Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
2. Umi Rusilowati, Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
3. Hariyaty Ab Wahid, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
4. Chris Rowley, University of Oxford (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
5. Ng Sek Hong, HKU Business School, Hong Kong (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
6. Muhamad Abduh, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei Darussalam (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
7. Yasushi Suzuki, Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University, Japan (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
8. Abdul Kadim, Universitas Persada Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
9. Nanu Hasanuh, Universitas Singaperbangsa, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
10. Herdiyana, Universitas Pakuan, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
11. Ade Suherlan, UIN Syarif Hidayatullah, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
12. Agus Zainul Arifin, Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
13. Samuel Akpan Basse, University of Calabar, Nigeria (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))
14. Zainol Mustafa, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (Profil: [Scopus](#), [Google Scholar](#))

# SERTIFIKAT

Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia




Kutipan dari Keputusan Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia

Nomor 204/E/KPT/2022  
Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah periode II Tahun 2022

Nama Jurnal Ilmiah  
**Inovasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen**  
E-ISSN: 25984950  
Penerbit: Universitas Pamulang

Ditetapkan Sebagai Jurnal Ilmiah

**TERAKREDITASI PERINGKAT 4**

Akreditasi Berlaku selama 5 (lima) Tahun, yaitu  
Volume 8 nomor 2 tahun 2021 sampai volume 13 nomor 1 tahun 2026  
Jakarta, 03 October 2022  
Plt. Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi,  
Riset, dan Teknologi



Prof. Ir. Nizam, M.Sc., DIC, Ph.D., IPU, ASEAN Eng  
NIP. 196107061987101001




- [Focus & Scope](#)
- [Author Guidelines](#)
- [Article Processing Charges](#)
- [Publication Ethics](#)
- [Open Access Policy](#)
- [Peer Review Process](#)
- [Screening Plagiarism](#)
- [Journal License](#)
- [Copyright](#)
- [Privacy Statement](#)
- [Publication Frequency](#)
- [Indexing](#)



Journal  
Template



## TOOL



## SUPERVISED BY:



## VISITOR

[View My Stats](#)

## Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

### Publisher

Pamulang University

### Principal Contact

[Moh. Sutoro](#) | [inovasi@unpam.ac.id](mailto:inovasi@unpam.ac.id)

### Address

Jl. Surya Kencana No.1, Pamulang Bar., Kec. Pamulang, Kota Tangerang Selatan, Banten 15417



This Journal is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

Platform &  
workflow by  
**OJS / PKP**



[Home](#) / [Reviewer](#)

## Reviewer

1. Prita Prasetya, Universitas Prasetya Mulya (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
2. Neneng Susanti, Universitas Widyatama (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
3. Putra, Ivan Gumilar Sambas, Universitas Widyatama (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
4. Nandan Limakrisna, Universitas Persada Indonesia (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
5. Kasmir, Universitas Mercubuana (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
6. Anoesyirwan Moeins, Universitas Persada Indonesia (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
7. Ismuhadjar, Universitas Persada Indonesia, (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
8. Harries Madiistriyatno, Sekolah Tinggi Manajemen IMMI (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
9. Yuli Zain, Universitas Persada Indoensia (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
10. Hamdy Hady, University of Pendidikan (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
11. Ahmad Rodoni, UIN Jakarta (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
12. Uman Suherman, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
13. Afzal Sayed Munna, University of Wales Trinity Saint David, UK (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
14. Avinash Pawar, University of Pune, India (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
15. Duratul Ain Tholibon, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))
16. Jewel Kumar Roy, Jatiya Kabi Kazi Nazrul Islam University, Bangladesh (Profil: [SCOPUS](#), [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#))

# SERTIFIKAT

Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia




Kutipan dari Keputusan Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia

Nomor 204/E/KPT/2022  
Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah periode II Tahun 2022

Nama Jurnal Ilmiah  
**Inovasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen**  
E-ISSN: 25984950  
Penerbit: Universitas Pamulang

Ditetapkan Sebagai Jurnal Ilmiah

**TERAKREDITASI PERINGKAT 4**

Akreditasi Berlaku selama 5 (lima) Tahun, yaitu  
Volume 8 nomor 2 tahun 2021 sampai volume 13 nomor 1 tahun 2026  
Jakarta, 03 October 2022  
Plt. Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi,  
Riset, dan Teknologi



Prof. Ir. Nizam, M.Sc., DIC, Ph.D., IPU, ASEAN Eng  
NIP. 196107061987101001




[Focus & Scope](#)

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Article Processing Charges](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

- [Open Access Policy](#)
- [Peer Review Process](#)
- [Screening Plagiarism](#)
- [Journal License](#)
- [Copyright](#)
- [Privacy Statement](#)
- [Publication Frequency](#)
- [Indexing](#)



## TOOL



## SUPERVISED BY:



## VISITOR

[View My Stats](#)

## Information

- [For Readers](#)
- [For Authors](#)
- [For Librarians](#)

### **Publisher**

Pamulang University

### **Principal Contact**

[Moh. Sutoro](#) | [inovasi@unpam.ac.id](mailto:inovasi@unpam.ac.id)

### **Address**

Jl. Surya Kencana No.1, Pamulang Bar., Kec. Pamulang, Kota Tangerang Selatan, Banten 15417



This Journal is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

Platform &  
workflow by  
**OJS / PKP**



[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 12 No. 2 (2025): Inovasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen

## Vol. 12 No. 2 (2025): Inovasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen

**INOVASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen**, published by Master of Management Study Program, Pamulang University Postgraduate periodically with the aim of disseminating research results, scientific study results, and development in the management science, especially in the fields of marketing, finance, and human resources. The first edition was published in June 2014 (Volume 1, Number 1), obtaining the ISSN number [2356-2005](#) (Print) on July 10, 2014. On October 24 (2017), it acquired the ISSN number [2598-4950](#) (Online - Electronic), effective for the Volume 4, Number 2, December (2017). On [October 03 \(2020\)](#), it received [Sinta 4](#) accreditation from the Ministry of Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia valid for [5 years](#). Each year, the Inovasi journal is published 2 (two) times, namely in June and December. The journal is managed by an [editorial team](#) comprising members from various universities in Indonesia, and publications undergo a review process by both domestic and international peer [reviewers](#).

**Published:** 2025-12-01

### Articles

#### [Analisis Pengaruh Marketing Mix 7P terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Bibit Alpukat di “Bibit Buahku” Kelurahan Patemon Kecamatan Gunungpati](#)

M Fatkhul Mujib, Hilmi Arija Fachriyan, Istanto Istanto, Rossi Prabowo  
394-406

[Download](#)

#### [Pengelolaan Keuangan Gen Z yang Dipengaruhi Inkusi Keuangan, Dompot Digital dan Literasi Keuangan sebagai Moderasi](#)

Putu Arya Krisna Weda, I Gusti Ngurah Oka Ariwangsa, I Gusti Ayu Tirtayani, Putu Purnama Dewi  
407-419

[Download](#)

#### [Analisis Kepatuhan Perpajakan PT Pandawa Wolu Pitu: Evaluasi Perhitungan, Pembayaran, dan Pelaporan PPh Badan Tahun 2024](#)

Nida Hayin Fadillah, Sherena Wahyutari  
420-433

[Download](#)

#### [Memahami Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen melalui Brand Image pada Burger Bangor di Denpasar Selatan](#)

Putu Ayu Titha Paramita Pika, Komang Bagus Ariwangsa Mannu Mahaputra Surya, Ni Ketut Arniti, Ni Wayan Lasmi  
434-449

[Download](#)

#### [Literature Review on Crowdsourcing for Local Tourism Development](#)

Fatah Mario Andaru, Dika Puspitaningrum  
450-462

[Download](#)

### **Peran Perceived Intercativity dalam Memediasi Live Streaming Attractiveness dan Content Marketing terhadap Purchase Intention pada Tiktok Shop**

Nilna Muna, Ni Putu Jelita Permata Putri  
463-472

[Download](#)

### **Fraud Triangle dan Etika Bisnis Sebagai Determinan Risiko Fraud pada LPD di Bali (Studi Empiris pada LPD di Kota Denpasar)**

Ketut Sri Puspita Yanti, Putu Putri Prawitasari  
473-482

[download](#)

### **Analysis of the Board of Directors' Leadership in Carrying Out Professional Business Transformation at PT Garam (Persero)**

Budiyono Budiyono, Denok Sunarsi, Wahyudi Wahyudi  
483-494

[DOWNLOAD](#)

### **Manajemen Tata Kelola Administrasi Pekerjaan Pemeliharaan Fasilitas di Lingkungan Pelabuhan Benoa, Badung - Bali**

Rika Puspita, Ni Ketut Arniti, Dewi Sucitawathi Pinatih, Ni Putu Bayu Widhi Antari  
495-503

[Download](#)

### **Peran Customer Engagement Memediasi Augmented Reality Features, Product Personalization terhadap Customer Satisfaction pada Konsumen Pria Gen Z Pengguna Skincare**

Desak Made Febri Purnama Sari, Muhammad Ilham Syamputra, I Putu Dharmawan Pradhana, Putu Dyah Permatha Korry  
504-513

[Download](#)

### **Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance, Leverage dan Risiko Kredit terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan Perbankan BEI**

I Gede Arta Jaya Wididana, Putu Pande R. Aprilyani Dewi  
514-524

[Download](#)

### **The Effect of Profitability, Liquidity, Leverage, Firm Size and Firm Age on Sustainability Report: Evidence from Energy and Healthcare Companies Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange**

Audrey Felicia Suroso, Sufiyati Sufiyati  
525-533

[Download](#)

### **Pengaruh UGC, Brand Trust, dan Perceived Value dalam Mendorong Repurchase Intention MakeOver di TikTok**

Komang Sri Widiartari, Kadek Ayu Nirmala Sari, I Made Suidarma, I Gusti Ngurah Widya Hadi Saputra  
534-543

[Download](#)

## Pengembangan Sistem Otomatisasi Manajemen Stok dan Pelaporan Gudang menggunakan n8n dan Chat Bot

Deta Rahma Jaya Direza, Ilham Fannani

544-557

[Download](#)

## Formulation of Objective and Key Result and Key Performance Indicator Framework as a Performance Measurement System in Yayasan Perdamaian Indonesia

Khisi Kinanti, Dwi Hartanti

558-569

[Download](#)

**SERTIFIKAT**

Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia

Kutipan dari Keputusan Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi  
Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia

Nomor 204/E/KPT/2022  
Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah periode II Tahun 2022

Nama Jurnal Ilmiah  
**Inovasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen**  
E-ISSN: 25984950  
Penerbit: Universitas Pamulang

Ditetapkan Sebagai Jurnal Ilmiah

**TERAKREDITASI PERINGKAT 4**

Akreditasi Berlaku selama 5 (lima) Tahun, yaitu  
Volume 8 nomor 2 tahun 2021 sampai volume 13 nomor 1 tahun 2026  
Jakarta, 03 October 2022  
Plt. Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi,  
Riset, dan Teknologi

Prof. Ir. Nizam, M.Sc., DIC, Ph.D., IPU, ASEAN Eng  
NIP. 196107061987101001

[Focus & Scope](#)[Author Guidelines](#)[Article Processing Charges](#)[Publication Ethics](#)[Open Access Policy](#)[Peer Review Process](#)[Screening Plagiarism](#)[Journal License](#)[Copyright](#)[Privacy Statement](#)

Publication Frequency

Indexing



TOOL



SUPERVISED BY:



VISITOR

[View My Stats](#)

Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

**Publisher**

Pamulang University

**Principal Contact**

[Moh. Sutoro](#) | [inovasi@unpam.ac.id](mailto:inovasi@unpam.ac.id)

**Address**

Jl. Surya Kencana No.1, Pamulang Bar., Kec. Pamulang, Kota Tangerang Selatan, Banten 15417



This Journal is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

Platform &  
workflow by  
**OJS / PKP**

# The Effect of Profitability, Liquidity, Leverage, Firm Size and Firm Age on Sustainability Report: Evidence from Energy and Healthcare Companies Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange

Audrey Felicia Suroso<sup>1</sup>, Sufiyati<sup>2</sup>  
Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia  
sufiyati@fe.untar.ac.id<sup>2</sup>

E-mail Korespondensi : audrey.125220055@stu.untar.ac.id

**Submitted:** 11<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025 | **Edited:** 27<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025 | **Issued:** 01<sup>st</sup> Dec 2025

**Cited on:** Suroso, A. F., & Sufiyati, S. (2025). The Effect of Profitability, Liquidity, Leverage, Firm Size and Firm Age on Sustainability Report: Evidence From Energy and Healthcare Companies Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange. *INOVASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen*, 12(2), 525-533.

## Abstract

Sustainability reporting has gained increasing importance as companies face growing environmental, social, and governance expectations, particularly in sectors with substantial social and environmental impacts such as energy and healthcare. However, empirical evidence regarding the financial and organizational determinants of sustainability report disclosure in Indonesia remains inconclusive. This study aims to examine the effect of profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and firm age on sustainability report disclosure among energy and healthcare companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during the 2022–2024 period. Using a purposive sampling approach, 44 companies were selected, resulting in 132 firm-year observations. The data were analyzed using multiple linear regression with SPSS. The results indicate that profitability and firm size have a positive and significant influence on sustainability report disclosure, suggesting that financially strong and larger firms are more inclined to provide broader sustainability information to meet stakeholder expectations. In contrast, liquidity, leverage, and firm age show no significant effect, indicating that short-term financial capacity, capital structure, and corporate longevity do not necessarily drive sustainability disclosure practices. These findings contribute to the sustainability reporting literature by clarifying the role of firm characteristics within the Indonesian context.

**Keywords:** Profitability; Firm Size; Sustainability Report; Energy Sector; Healthcare Sector

## INTRODUCTION

As global awareness of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues continues to rise, sustainability has become a critical aspect of modern corporate practices. Climate change, environmental degradation, and increasing social expectations have prompted companies to shift their focus beyond financial performance toward broader responsibilities related to social and environmental impacts. In this context, sustainability reporting serves as an important communication tool that enables companies to demonstrate

accountability, transparency, and long-term commitment to sustainable development (Ruhana & Hidayah, 2020).

Sustainability reports provide stakeholders with comprehensive information regarding a company's economic, social, and environmental activities. The adoption of internationally recognized reporting frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), enhances the comparability and reliability of sustainability disclosures across firms and industries (Hidayah & Raihan, 2024). In Indonesia, sustainability reporting practices have been formally strengthened through the implementation of Financial Services Authority (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan/OJK) Regulation No. 51/POJK.03/2017, which requires public companies and financial institutions to publish sustainability reports starting in 2019.

Despite the existence of regulatory requirements, the level of sustainability report disclosure among Indonesian companies remains relatively low compared to other Southeast Asian countries. According to the KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting (2024), Indonesia lags behind countries such as Singapore and Malaysia in terms of sustainability reporting adoption. This condition suggests that regulatory pressure alone is insufficient to encourage companies to disclose sustainability information, and that internal firm characteristics play a significant role in shaping disclosure decisions.

Previous empirical studies have examined various determinants of sustainability report disclosure, including profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and firm age. However, the findings of these studies remain inconclusive. Several studies indicate that profitability positively influences sustainability reporting, as financially strong firms possess greater resources to support sustainability initiatives and disclosure activities (Maryana & Carolina, 2021; Yohana & Suhendah, 2023). In contrast, other studies report insignificant or even negative relationships between profitability and sustainability disclosure (Handayani et al., 2024). Similar inconsistencies are found in studies examining liquidity and leverage, where some research suggests these financial indicators affect sustainability reporting, while others find no significant influence (Islamiati & Suryandari, 2020; Nathasia & Indrayeni, 2023).

Firm size is often considered a consistent predictor of sustainability disclosure, as larger firms tend to face greater public scrutiny and stakeholder pressure, leading to more extensive disclosure practices (Yunan et al., 2021; Afrina et al., 2024). Nevertheless, empirical evidence regarding the impact of firm age remains mixed, with some studies suggesting that older firms disclose more sustainability information due to accumulated experience and legitimacy, while others find no significant relationship (Hanna et al., 2023).

Given these mixed findings, further investigation is needed to clarify the role of firm characteristics in influencing sustainability report disclosure, particularly within specific industry contexts. This study focuses on energy and healthcare companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, as these sectors are closely associated with environmental impact and social responsibility. By examining the effect of profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and firm age on sustainability reporting during the 2022–2024 period, this study aims to provide empirical evidence that contributes to the sustainability reporting literature and offers insights relevant to regulators, companies, and stakeholders in Indonesia.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Stakeholder Theory**

Stakeholder Theory emphasizes that companies are responsible not only to shareholders but also to a broader group of stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and regulators. According to this perspective, corporate sustainability practices are driven by the need to balance diverse stakeholder interests in order to maintain long-term business viability. Sustainability reporting functions as a strategic mechanism through which firms communicate their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) commitments, thereby strengthening stakeholder trust and legitimacy (Freeman et al., 2021). Companies that effectively address stakeholder expectations are more likely to engage in transparent sustainability disclosures to demonstrate accountability and responsiveness.

### **Signaling Theory**

Signaling Theory explains how companies convey private information to external stakeholders through observable actions or disclosures. In the context of sustainability reporting, firms with strong financial performance may use sustainability disclosures as a positive signal of superior management quality, ethical responsibility, and long-term stability (Connelly et al., 2019). By voluntarily disclosing sustainability information, companies aim to reduce information asymmetry and enhance investor confidence. Firms with favorable financial indicators are therefore expected to disclose sustainability reports more extensively as a signal of strength and credibility.

### **Legitimacy Theory**

Legitimacy Theory posits that organizations seek to ensure their operations are perceived as legitimate by aligning corporate activities with societal norms and expectations. Sustainability reporting serves as an important legitimizing tool, particularly for firms operating in industries with significant environmental and social impacts. Through sustainability disclosures, companies attempt to justify their existence and activities within the broader social system (Deegan, 2019). Firms that face higher public scrutiny are more likely to publish comprehensive sustainability reports to maintain social acceptance and organizational legitimacy.

### **Profitability and Sustainability Report Disclosure**

Profitability reflects a firm's ability to generate earnings from its resources and is commonly associated with financial strength and operational efficiency. Profitable firms are better positioned to allocate resources toward sustainability initiatives and reporting activities. Prior studies suggest that higher profitability increases stakeholder expectations regarding transparency and corporate responsibility, thereby encouraging firms to disclose sustainability information more extensively (Alipour et al., 2019; Buallay, 2020). Accordingly, profitability is expected to have a positive influence on sustainability report disclosure.

### **Liquidity and Sustainability Report Disclosure**

Liquidity represents a company's capacity to meet short-term financial obligations and reflects its financial flexibility. Firms with strong liquidity positions may be perceived as financially stable, which could motivate greater transparency in sustainability reporting. However, empirical evidence regarding the role of liquidity remains inconclusive, as some firms prioritize operational needs over voluntary disclosures when managing liquid resources (Kuzey &

Uyar, 2020). As a result, the relationship between liquidity and sustainability reporting warrants further empirical examination.

### **Leverage and Sustainability Report Disclosure**

Leverage indicates the extent to which a firm relies on debt financing relative to equity. Highly leveraged firms may face greater pressure from creditors and higher financial risk, potentially limiting resources available for sustainability disclosure activities. Some studies suggest that firms with high leverage tend to reduce voluntary disclosures in order to avoid additional scrutiny from lenders and investors (Nguyen et al., 2021). Therefore, leverage is often expected to have a negative relationship with sustainability report disclosure.

### **Firm Size and Sustainability Report Disclosure**

Firm size is commonly associated with visibility, public exposure, and resource availability. Larger firms typically face stronger stakeholder pressure and regulatory attention, which encourages broader sustainability disclosure. Additionally, large firms possess greater financial and organizational capacity to collect, manage, and report sustainability information (Ali et al., 2020). Consequently, firm size is widely regarded as a significant determinant of sustainability report disclosure.

### **Firm Age and Sustainability Report Disclosure**

Firm age reflects organizational maturity and accumulated operational experience. Older firms are generally perceived as more stable and legitimate, which may increase expectations for transparency and accountability. Established firms may adopt sustainability reporting as a means of reinforcing their reputation and demonstrating long-term commitment to responsible business practices (Zaid et al., 2020). However, empirical findings regarding firm age remain mixed, highlighting the need for further investigation.

## **METHOD**

This study employs a quantitative research approach using secondary data obtained from publicly available sources. The population consists of energy and healthcare companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) during the 2022-2024 period. These sectors were selected due to their significant exposure to environmental and social issues, which increases the relevance of sustainability reporting practices within these industries. A purposive sampling technique was applied to ensure the selection of firms that met specific criteria relevant to the research objectives.

The sampling criteria included companies that were consistently listed on the IDX throughout the observation period, published complete annual reports and sustainability reports consecutively from 2022 to 2024, conducted their initial public offering prior to 2022, and presented financial statements in Indonesian Rupiah. Based on these criteria, 44 companies were selected, resulting in 132 firm-year observations. The use of purposive sampling is appropriate for sustainability disclosure research, as it ensures data completeness and comparability across firms and years (Sekaran & Bougie, 2020).

The dependent variable in this study is sustainability report disclosure, which is measured using a sustainability disclosure index based on the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards. Each disclosure item is assigned a score of one if disclosed and zero if not disclosed, and the total score is divided by the

maximum possible score to obtain a disclosure ratio. This approach allows for an objective and systematic assessment of sustainability reporting practices and has been widely used in prior empirical studies (Michelon et al., 2020).

The independent variables consist of profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and firm age. Profitability is measured using Return on Assets (ROA), calculated as net income divided by total assets, reflecting a firm's efficiency in generating profits from its resources. Liquidity is measured using the Current Ratio (CR), which represents a company's ability to meet short-term obligations. Leverage is measured using the Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), indicating the extent to which a firm relies on debt financing. Firm size is measured as the natural logarithm of total assets to reduce data dispersion and enhance normality, while firm age is measured as the number of years since the company's establishment.

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software. The analytical procedure began with descriptive statistical analysis to summarize the characteristics of the data, followed by classical assumption tests, including normality, multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation tests, to ensure the validity of the regression model. Multiple linear regression analysis was then employed to examine the effect of the independent variables on sustainability report disclosure. This method is appropriate for assessing the simultaneous and partial influence of multiple predictors on a single dependent variable (Hair et al., 2021).

## RESULT

This section presents the empirical findings of the study based on statistical analysis conducted using SPSS. The results include descriptive statistics, classical assumption tests, and multiple linear regression analysis to examine the relationship between firm characteristics and sustainability report disclosure.

The descriptive statistics indicate that the average sustainability report disclosure index is 0.544, suggesting that, on average, companies disclose slightly more than half of the sustainability items measured. Profitability, as measured by Return on Assets (ROA), shows a relatively low mean value, indicating modest earnings performance among the sampled firms. Liquidity displays substantial variation, reflecting differences in firms' short term financial capacity. Firm size, measured by the natural logarithm of total assets, demonstrates relatively low dispersion, indicating a comparable scale of operations among energy and healthcare firms. Firm age ranges widely, suggesting variation in organizational maturity across the sample.

Prior to hypothesis testing, classical assumption tests were performed to ensure the validity of the regression model. The normality test using the Kolmogorov Smirnov method shows that the residuals are normally distributed, as indicated by a significance value above the 0.05 threshold. Multicollinearity diagnostics reveal tolerance values above 0.01 and variance inflation factor (VIF) values below 10 for all independent variables, indicating the absence of multicollinearity. The heteroscedasticity test using the Glejser method shows that all independent variables have significance values greater than 0.05, suggesting homoscedastic residuals.

The Durbin–Watson statistic yields a value below 1, indicating the presence of positive autocorrelation. However, given that the data structure consists of

pooled firm-year observations and the model remains statistically significant, the regression results are considered acceptable for interpretation, consistent with prior panel-style sustainability studies using similar approaches.

Multiple linear regression analysis reveals that profitability and firm size have positive and statistically significant effects on sustainability report disclosure. Profitability demonstrates a positive coefficient, indicating that firms with higher earnings capacity tend to disclose sustainability information more extensively. Firm size shows the strongest positive effect among the independent variables, suggesting that larger firms are more likely to engage in comprehensive sustainability reporting. In contrast, liquidity, leverage, and firm age do not exhibit statistically significant effects on sustainability report disclosure.

The coefficient of determination (Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>) indicates that approximately 20% of the variation in sustainability report disclosure can be explained by the independent variables included in the model, while the remaining variation is influenced by other factors not examined in this study. The F-test result confirms that the regression model is statistically significant, indicating that the independent variables collectively influence sustainability report disclosure.

### **Discussion**

The empirical findings demonstrate that profitability has a positive and significant effect on sustainability report disclosure. This result suggests that financially profitable firms possess greater resources and incentives to invest in sustainability initiatives and reporting practices. Firms with strong financial performance may also face higher stakeholder expectations, prompting them to disclose sustainability information as part of their accountability strategy. This finding is consistent with prior studies that identify profitability as a key driver of sustainability disclosure (Buallay, 2020; Alipour et al., 2019).

Firm size is found to have a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure, indicating that larger firms tend to disclose sustainability information more extensively. Larger companies typically experience greater public visibility, regulatory scrutiny, and stakeholder pressure, which encourages more comprehensive disclosure practices. In addition, larger firms generally have more established reporting systems and financial capacity to support sustainability reporting activities. This result aligns with previous research emphasizing firm size as a consistent determinant of sustainability disclosure (Ali et al., 2020; Afrina et al., 2024).

Conversely, liquidity does not show a significant effect on sustainability report disclosure. This finding suggests that short term financial flexibility does not necessarily motivate firms to increase sustainability disclosures. Companies may prioritize operational and financial stability over voluntary reporting activities, even when liquidity levels are high. This result supports studies that find liquidity to be an insignificant predictor of sustainability reporting (Kuzey & Uyar, 2020).

Leverage is also found to have no significant effect on sustainability report disclosure. Although highly leveraged firms may face financial constraints and creditor pressure, this condition does not appear to systematically influence sustainability reporting decisions in the sampled sectors. Firms may perceive sustainability reporting as a long term strategic activity rather than a short-term financial decision, resulting in an insignificant relationship. This finding is

consistent with research that reports mixed or insignificant effects of leverage on sustainability disclosure (Nguyen et al., 2021).

Firm age does not significantly affect sustainability report disclosure, indicating that organizational longevity alone does not determine the extent of sustainability reporting. While older firms may possess greater experience and legitimacy, sustainability disclosure practices appear to be more closely linked to strategic priorities and resource availability rather than the length of corporate existence. This result is in line with studies suggesting that firm age does not consistently influence sustainability disclosure behavior (Zaid et al., 2020).

Overall, the findings highlight that financial strength and organizational scale play a more critical role in sustainability reporting practices than short-term financial conditions or corporate maturity. These results contribute to the sustainability reporting literature by providing sector-specific evidence from energy and healthcare companies in Indonesia.

## CONCLUSION

This study examines the effect of profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and firm age on sustainability report disclosure among energy and healthcare companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during the 2022–2024 period. Based on the empirical analysis, the findings indicate that profitability and firm size have a positive and significant influence on sustainability report disclosure. These results suggest that firms with stronger financial performance and larger organizational scale are more capable and more inclined to disclose sustainability information as part of their accountability and transparency efforts toward stakeholders.

In contrast, liquidity, leverage, and firm age are found to have no significant effect on sustainability report disclosure. This indicates that short-term financial flexibility, capital structure, and corporate longevity do not necessarily determine a firm's decision to engage in sustainability reporting. The findings imply that sustainability disclosure is more closely associated with strategic considerations and resource availability rather than short-term financial conditions or the length of time a firm has operated.

Overall, this study contributes to the sustainability reporting literature by providing sector-specific evidence from energy and healthcare companies in Indonesia, where sustainability issues are highly relevant. The results reinforce the importance of profitability and firm size as key drivers of sustainability disclosure while highlighting the other firm characteristics may play a less decisive role. Future research is encouraged to incorporate additional variables, such as corporate governance mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, or environmental performance indicators, as well as to extend the research period and industry coverage in order to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of sustainability reporting practices.

## REFERENCES

- Afrina, S., Sari, L. L., Oktavia, M., & Wendari, N. (2024). The effect of profitability, leverage, and firm size on sustainability report disclosure in Indonesian listed companies. *Journal of Management and Innovation Entrepreneurship*, 1(3), 674-683.

- Ali, W., Frynas, J. G., & Mahmood, Z. (2020). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure in developed and developing countries: A literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(1), 1-17.
- Alipour, M., Ghanbari, M., Jamshidinaid, B., & Taherabadi, A. (2019). The relationship between environmental disclosure quality and firm performance: Evidence from Iran. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(5), 57-65.
- Buallay, A. (2020). Sustainability reporting and firm performance: Comparative study between manufacturing and banking sectors. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 69(3), 431-445.
- Connelly, B. L., Certo, S. T., Ireland, R. D., & Reutzel, C. R. (2019). Signaling theory: A review and assessment. *Journal of Management*, 45(2), 780-813.
- Deegan, C. (2019). Legitimacy theory: Despite its enduring popularity and contribution, time is right for a necessary makeover. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 32(8), 2307-2329.
- Freeman, R. E., Phillips, R., & Sisodia, R. (2021). Tensions in stakeholder theory. *Business & Society*, 60(2), 213-231.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2021). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Handayani, B. D., Widyaningsih, A., Supriyono, E., & Pamungkas, I. D. (2024). Types of industries, financial performance, and corporate governance on sustainability report disclosure: Evidence from Indonesia. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 20(1), 27-36.
- Hanna, L. A., Prihatni, R., & Purwohedi, U. (2023). The effect of firm age, leverage, and tax aggressiveness on corporate social responsibility disclosure. *Journal of Accounting, Taxation and Auditing*, 4(2), 325-341.
- Hidayah, E., & Raihan, M. (2024). Sustainability report disclosure of Indonesian mining companies. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 13(3), 336-349.
- Islamiati, A., & Suryandari, D. (2020). The impact of firm size, leverage, and liquidity on sustainability report disclosure. *Journal of Business Accounting*, 18(2), 197-215.
- KPMG. (2024). *KPMG survey of sustainability reporting 2024: The move to mandatory reporting*. KPMG International.
- Kuzey, C., & Uyar, A. (2020). Determinants of sustainability reporting: Evidence from the emerging market of Turkey. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 243, 118-136.
- Maryana, & Carolina, Y. (2021). The impact of firm size, leverage, firm age, media visibility, and profitability on sustainability report disclosure. *Journal of Finance and Banking*, 25(1), 36-47.
- Michelon, G., Pilonato, S., & Ricceri, F. (2020). CSR reporting practices and the quality of disclosure: An empirical analysis. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 33, 59-78.
- Nathasia, P., & Indrayeni. (2023). Profitability, liquidity, and firm size as determinants of sustainability reporting in mining companies. *Journal of Financial Accounting and Business*, 1(3), 281-290.

- Nguyen, T. H. H., Elmagrhi, M. H., Ntim, C. G., & Wu, Y. (2021). Environmental performance, sustainability, governance and financial performance: Evidence from heavily polluting industries in China. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(5), 2318-2331.
- Ruhana, A., & Hidayah, N. (2020). The effect of liquidity, firm size, and corporate governance on sustainability report disclosure. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Business*, 120, 279-284.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2020). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (8th ed.). Wiley.
- Siew, R. Y. J. (2021). A review of corporate sustainability reporting tools (SRTs). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 286, 112193.
- Tilt, C. A., & Soh, D. S. B. (2021). Sustainability reporting: A review of evidence and implications. *Accounting & Finance*, 61(1), 1-40.
- Yohana, S., & Suhendah, R. (2023). The effect of profitability, leverage, and firm size on sustainability report disclosure. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 27(3), 525-545.
- Yunan, N., Kadir, & Anwar, K. (2021). Financial performance, firm characteristics, and sustainability report disclosure. *Journal of Accounting and Finance Research*, 4(1), 171-193.
- Zaid, M. A. A., Wang, M., & Abuhijleh, S. T. F. (2020). The impact of corporate governance practices on corporate sustainability disclosure. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 11(4), 343-358.