



Research.Publish.Connect.

Search by key title, abstract, author

Proceedings Papers Authors

Advanced Search

Proceedings

Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Entrepreneurship and Business Management

November 2-9, 2018, In Jakarta, Indonesia



Editors: Supriy Aidas Munzari<sup>1</sup>, Nuryan Th. Juana Aidi<sup>2</sup>, Isda Al'ring<sup>3</sup>, Agustina Nurul Hawan<sup>4</sup> and Shamsul Han Isah<sup>5</sup>  
 Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Universitas de Valensiya, Indonesia; <sup>2</sup>Harapan Indah Universitas, Indonesia; <sup>3</sup>Universiti Sains, Malaysia, Indonesia; <sup>4</sup>Kah San University (KSU), Indonesia

ISBN: 978-995-798-569-0

Conference Link: <http://www.scitepress.org>

The seventh edition of the international conference is organized by Universitas Arumayasa, this year the International Conference on Entrepreneurship and Business Management (ICEBM) is on its seventh years of arrangement. ICEBM was firstly held at Campus 1 Unpar Jakarta in 2011. Expanding the success of the first event, the second ICEBM was held in Bali in 2012. In 2014, ICEBM began collaborating with other universities around the world such as Malaysia, from 2011 to 2017, more universities joined to the activities, and 10,000 were held overseas in Penang, Malaysia; Bangkok, Thailand; Tainan, Taiwan; and in Hanoi, Vietnam last year. This year, ICEBM are co-hosted by Kah San University from Taiwan, along with several universities in Indonesia: Universitas Jember, UNI, Inuak, School of Management,

Ukrain, Universitas Bunda Mulia, as well as STIE YKIM. The seventh ICEBM event, this year is held in Nusa Dua, Bali. The main reason for organizing the seventh ICEBM in Indonesia is because over the (More)

Volumes:

Vol. 1 - 978-995-798-569-0

Papers Authors

Show 50 papers

<b>Free Cash Flow, Firm Characteristic, Corporate Governance on Earnings Management</b> Yulius Kurnia Susanto and Elizabeth Boera	P. 1 - 10 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000010
<b>Assessing Mobile Content "Mobeet" Business In Indonesia: For Case Study Data 2007 - 2014</b> Yusuf Rizki Setyawan and Thul'ul Umami	P. 11 - 20 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000011
<b>Kalibiru Ecotourism: The Implementation of Sustainable Development in Rural Kulon Progo, Yogyakarta</b> Korika Nurhikmah, Nuryadman and Colik	P. 15 - 25 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000012
<b>Impact of Financial Literacy on Financial Inclusion</b> Ningsih, M. M. and Satrio	P. 26 - 31 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000013
<b>Employees Perceptions of Hotel Employment in Indonesia</b> Sherry Tito and Poddawati	P. 32 - 37 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000014
<b>Culinary Experience of International Tourists In Indonesia: A Study on Denpasar and Yogyakarta Tourist Destinations</b> Deborah L. Koljaja, Sari Wijaya, Regina Kurni and Monika Kusumanti	P. 38 - 43 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000015
<b>Company Financial Ratios, Company Ownership and Company Conditions on Earnings Management</b> Inda Andri Dhadia and Ade Hana	P. 44 - 49 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000016
<b>Managing the Integrated Tourism Area through Local Community Empowerment: A Qualitative Study in Pantai Matahari Terbit Sanur</b> Putri Ayu Anjani and Ni Made Ida Mahadewa	P. 45 - 52 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000017
<b>Lembang Asri Resort's Competitive Advantage</b> Evin Cahyaningrum and Irene Davita Titik	P. 53 - 58 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000018
<b>The Effects of Financial Attitude, Locus of Control, and Income on Financial Behavior</b> Muhammad Afifa, Yusef Anwar, Yuliana, Rajat Satrio and Sherry	P. 59 - 66 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000019
<b>The Impact of Destination Image and Perceived Quality on Tourist Loyalty in the Indonesian Tourism Industry</b> Keni Keni, Ferry Oktora and Nicholas Wilcox	P. 67 - 72 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000020
<b>Tourism In Cisarua Changes the Culture of Local People: Study of Culture Acculturation between Local Community and Arab Tourist In Cisarua</b> Nay Acharya and Rio Harry Susanto	P. 73 - 80 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000021
<b>Creating Value through Authenticity and Social eWOM: Evidence from Authentic Traditional Yogyakarta Cuisines</b> Vita Srihana	P. 81 - 86 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000022
<b>Investigating the Determinants of Green Entrepreneurial Intention: A Conceptual Model</b> Chao Wei Loon and Norrahmah Noridin	P. 87 - 90 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000023
<b>Effects of Ownership Structure and Company Conditions on Earnings Management</b> Dyandra Irena and Priska Timam	P. 91 - 98 DOI:10.5220/00034873000000024

<b>Measuring Financial Performance of State-Owned Enterprises under Aviation In Indonesia: Case Study: PT. Angkasa Pura I and PT. Angkasa Pura II</b> Wiwiek Mardawati Daryanti	0.99 - 104 DOI:10.5220/0008488500100107
<b>The Impact of Capital Structure Determinant on Investment Opportunity: Evidences from Manufacturing Companies in ASEAN Countries</b> Fedeo Adiputra and Along Hermawan	0.100 - 112 DOI:10.5220/0008488500100112
<b>When the East Meets the West: A Comparative Study of Belize's and Taiwan's Business Culture for Effective and Successful Business Communication and Opportunities in Belize</b> Chong-Lang Li and Nicole A. Corosio	0.101 - 114 DOI:10.5220/00084885001100113
<b>Acceptance of Trade Finance Digitalization among SMEs in Malaysia: A Conceptual Model</b> Wan Nur Kheng J., Isah Akhlag and Hussaini Binayah	0.110 - 123 DOI:10.5220/00084885001100123
<b>The Influence of Information Technology (IT) Knowledge on Organization Performance with Adaptability to Change as a Moderator among Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Malaysia: A Conceptual Paper</b> Lo-Lung Kheng and Rajendran Mahendran	0.124 - 129 DOI:10.5220/00084885001240129
<b>The Influence of Organizational Commitment and Conflict Resolution Skills on Safety Climate and Its Impact on Accidents with Moderation of High-performance Work System in Steel Manufacturing Industries in East Java Province</b> M Yusuf Arneli, Ida Ayu, Echmasari and Rizki Nugroho	0.130 - 133 DOI:10.5220/00084885001300133
<b>Brand Awareness, Brand Modesty, Consumer Involvement, and Perceived Quality of Modest Sportswear in Greater Jakarta, Indonesia</b> Gerald, Gita Anggela Alifan and Denny	0.135 - 139 DOI:10.5220/00084885001350139
<b>The Influence of Sustainable Leadership and Strategic Agility on Business Sustainability</b> Yu-Yin Lim and Teoh Ai-Ling	0.139 - 144 DOI:10.5220/00084885001390144
<b>Fostering a City Farm to Start an Organic Enterprise</b> Tee Shikuan Lim	0.145 - 150 DOI:10.5220/00084885001450150
<b>The Potential Moderating Factors in International Student's Choice of Study Destination</b> Rahya Hendriana	0.151 - 156 DOI:10.5220/00084885001510156
<b>The Relationship between Servant Leadership, Perceived Organizational Climate, and Knowledge Sharing Quality in Public Sector: The Moderating Effect of Enjoyment in Sharing Knowledge</b> Imbat Indentari, Nugyen, Thi Hong Nhung and Phuong Thao	0.157 - 162 DOI:10.5220/00084885001570162
<b>The Conceptual Model of Spiritual Leadership and Spirit at Work as Organizational and Individual Capabilities in Supporting Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction</b> Anesia Ram Indriyanti, Simba Yenni, Wiweek Mardawati Daryanti and Gal Spahn G	0.163 - 167 DOI:10.5220/00084885001630167
<b>Application of GARCH Model In Forecasting IDR/USD Exchange Rate</b> Khairina Nafir	0.168 - 177 DOI:10.5220/00084885001680177
<b>An Analysis of Push and Pull Travel Motivations of Local Tourists to Salatiga: A Case Study</b> Sriwi Palla	0.173 - 179 DOI:10.5220/00084885001730179
<b>The Effect of Tourism Sectors on ASEAN Countries' Economic Growth: Analysis Panel Regression</b> Hary S. Sindoro and Mkhinurdiana S. Soeparto	0.180 - 187 DOI:10.5220/00084885001800187
<b>The Effects of Visual Merchandising, Layout, Store Facility, Store Atmosphere on Store Patronage</b> Andreas Wawa and Utsky Alex Sander	0.183 - 190 DOI:10.5220/00084885001830190
<b>The Effectiveness of Social Media and Online Marketing in Promoting Indonesian Tourism: Case of Wonderful Indonesia Brand</b> Tita Priscila	0.191 - 196 DOI:10.5220/00084885001910196
<b>A Study of Problem-based Learning using Practical-based Approach in Management Education</b> Hilfal Ju	0.197 - 202 DOI:10.5220/00084885001970202

<b>Capital Structure, Corporate Governance, and Agency Costs</b> Bisa Imeldi and Dewi Ayu Febriola	P 206 - 207 DOI:10.5220/500849020202027
<b>Consumer Decision Making Process on Various Local Products of Banten Specialized in Anyer Tourism Area</b> Medha, Tulugun Samudra and Ayu Fitriani	P 208 - 210 DOI:10.5220/500849020202015
<b>Analysis of Macro Fundamental and Micro Fundamental Effect on Ownership Structure, Auditor Opinion and Value of the Firm in State-Owned Enterprises Companies in Indonesia Stock Exchange</b> Rofanony, Ir. Harnasah and Indriwati Subandana	P 214 - 218 DOI:10.5220/50084902020210179
<b>The Factors Influencing Intention to Retire: A Perspective on Working Women</b> Ean Wen Huey and Rajneran Muthuveloo	P 220 - 226 DOI:10.5220/5008490202020220
<b>The Role of Local Potential-based Entrepreneurship through Community Empowerment in Tourism Village Development: Case Study: Ponggok Village, Polanharjo Subdistrict, Klaten Agency, Indonesia</b> Anggi Rahajeng and Rahih, Fitriandani Supripto	P 227 - 232 DOI:10.5220/5008491002700280
<b>Corporate Governance, Tax Planning and Firm Value</b> Miky Clara and Nur Anwarini	P 259 - 267 DOI:10.5220/5008491002700214
<b>Case Study on Job Stress and Adapting Strategy of Construction Workers</b> Chih-Chuan Lin, M. Raii Sul, Chang-Jiang Lee and Yi-Chang Chen	P 268 - 285 DOI:10.5220/5008491002800248
<b>The Moderating Effect of LMX between Salesperson Competencies and Work Performance: A Case of Taiwanese Department Store</b> Yu-Chang Chen	P 267 - 279 DOI:10.5220/5008491002800249
<b>Influential Aspects of Family Vacation Decision-making: Evidence from Indonesia</b> Herliana Nur	P 250 - 256 DOI:10.5220/5008491402500256

<b>Institutional Ownership, Profitability, Tangibility, and Liquidity on Firms' Capital Structure</b> Reny and Fritta	P 277 - 282 DOI:10.5220/5008491502700262
<b>The Potential of Halal Tourism in Bandung City</b> Veny Oktawaty, Ilin Andri and Dendi Gunesti	P 269 - 288 DOI:10.5220/5008491602800250
<b>Some Antecedents and Effects of using Mobile Apps in Tourism Marketing</b> Wita Ertanto and Anton Bryan Prasito	P 270 - 275 DOI:10.5220/5008491702700275
<b>Shifting the Role of the Government Internal Auditors, How to Achieve It?</b> An Bekaya, Yuli and Indogo	P 276 - 291 DOI:10.5220/5008491802800270
<b>The Effect of Company Characteristics and Corporate Governance on Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: A Study on SRI-KEHATI Index Listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange</b> Denta Fauziah and Ika Destiana	P 282 - 288 DOI:10.5220/5008491902800288
<b>The Effect of Leadership and Organizational Climate on Turnover Intention Mediated by Job Satisfaction</b> Nancy Ratniwijaya, PM Budi Harjono, Supriyo and Heri Winoto	P 289 - 295 DOI:10.5220/5008492002800295
<b>Parenting Style, Risk Propensity, and Entrepreneurial Interest</b> Nubandana Devi Satrio Wajaya and Eka Nurmalita	P 296 - 301 DOI:10.5220/5008492102800301
<b>The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education and Family Environment: On Entrepreneurship Motivation of College Students</b> Aulya Nurmalasari and Nuning Kristian	P 309 - 327 DOI:10.5220/5008492203020327

Prev 1 2 Next

#### RESOURCES

Proceedings  
Papers  
Authors  
Ontology

#### CONTACTS

Science and Technology Publications, Lda  
Avenida de S. Francisco Xavier, Torre 7, C.A.,  
2800-610 Setúbal, Portugal  
Phone: +351 210 520 187  
Fax: +351 210 520 180  
E-mail: info@scitepress.com

#### EXTERNAL LINKS

ERICORIS  
INSPEC  
SCIPUBS  
CROSSREF

#### PROCEEDINGS SUBMITTED FOR INDEXATION BY:

dblp  
El Compence  
SCOPUS  
Scholar, Scholar  
Google Scholar  
Microsoft Academic

# Kalibiru Ecotourism: The Implementation of Sustainable Development in Rural Kulon Progo, Yogyakarta

Kartika Nuringsih, Nuryasman and Cokki

*Economic Faculty, Tarumanagara University, 1<sup>st</sup> Tanjung Duren Utara, West Jakarta, Indonesia*

**Keywords:** SWOT, QSPM, Ecotourism, Sustainable Development, Kalibiru.

**Abstract:** The purpose of the article is for evaluating strategies of the developing Kalibiru destination. Since has been established by communal forest farmers association in 2010, the visitor arrivals growing up until 2016. However, the performance tends to down in 2017. Therefore, the managing of ecotourism in Kalibiru needs to evaluate the competitiveness of attraction in the destination. SWOT and QSPM analysis were used to make the decisions and suggest strategies planning in ecotourism sector. The results find that the implementation of sustainable development in Kalibiru was frugal. Thus, to improve competitiveness, the manager should be able to use the output of SWOT and QSPM approach as a tool for managing destination and promoting sustainable development. Determining the strategies should not be in contradiction to regulation in Kulon Progo. The choice of decision must consider the priority of duration, with specialization in the short, medium, or long term. By using these strategies, the Kalibiru developers can pay attention to the stability of the triple bottom line strategy. Thereafter, they are able to maintain the competitiveness and to achieve target number of visitors at the Kalibiru destination.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Ecotourism emphasizes conservation and natural resources as tourist attraction. Some prior studies have been conducted about Indonesia's tourist destination, such as Kelimutu National Park (Josef et al., 2016), Mount Leuser National Park (Patana, 2012), Habitat of Birds in Papua (Pangau-Adam, 2012), and development of sustainable rural tourism in Banyuwangi (Indarti and Munir, 2016). Other studies were done in Kaji Namaksar Wetland (Ghorbani et al., 2015), Todooreh National Park (Sayyed et al., 2013), Penang National Park (Hong and Chan, 2010), Etna Park (Patti, 2013). The shifting commitment happened in the 90s, Whight (1993) specified nine principles of fundamental sustainable ecotourism. Ross and Wall (1999) emphasized the balancing of biodiversity conservation and development.

Formerly, Elkington introduced triple bottom line as pillars of sustainable development (Taylor and Walley, 2004). Ideas were relevant to OECD's statements about sustainable development (Strange and Bayley, 2008). To develop the sustaining tourism growth creativities are required to synchronize the nature conservation commitment

and local wisdom preservation. Thereafter, it will increase earning from tourism sector.

Kalibiru, as a tourist attraction, is located on the Menoreh Hills, Hargowilis Village, Kokap Sub-district, Kulon Progo Regency. The communal forest has been developed to Kalibiru and it has become famous since 2015 as one of the top self-photography spots in Yogyakarta. The people's commitment has successfully established a tourist attraction with the scenery of communal forest and local wisdom. The local government shows a high enthusiasm to expose the local wisdom and the nature biodiversity as assets to improve the economics while conserving culture and ecosystem. Being the icon of Wisata Menoreh makes Kalibiru as tourism village that gives a positive impact towards increasing micro-small businesses and job opportunities. In accordance with sustainable development, the study appreciates the collaboration of stakeholder to develop the potential of Kalibiru.

Generally, there are many challenges in managing the ecotourism community base. The effects of ecotourism are not always relevant to the sustainability. Excessive number of visitors increases the amount of waste in the area. The process of building infrastructure might cover the water absorption area. Visitors arriving irresponsibly

might perturb the tradition of local community. Outside investors might trump the local business. Tourists and local people might not fully comprehend the importance of sustainability. The problems are as a depiction of unsustainable ecotourism activities that require strategy to build sustainable development on ecotourism. For the reasons, adjustments to environmental support, accommodation, facilities, and program with market perspective need to be done properly.

According to Local Regulation No. 1 of 2012 on Neighborhood Unit and Community Unit of Kulon Progo Regency from 2012 to 2032, the commitment towards development and conservation of culture is shown in the regulation. To appreciate the innovation and regulation, the article evaluates the potential of Kalibiru. The SWOT approach (Mondal, 2017, Ghorbani et al., 2015, Ganjali et al., 2014, Sayyed et al., 2013, Saeb et al., 2012) is performed to develop Kalibiru as tourist attraction based on sustainable development.

Relevant to practices, the questions of the study as follows: (1) How is the result of the analysis of Kalibiru's potential as tourist attractions? (2) Which design strategy shall be shared with government and developer in Kalibiru destination? The benefit is to recommend strategies for developing sustainability in Kalibiru. The goals are to create equal welfare, minimize the risk of visitors degrading the nature of Kalibiru, and anticipate natural disaster risk on the safety of visitors.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

According to Koeman, ecotourism as a new idea in tourism sector and considered as a strength to preserve the sustainability of natural resources. Relevant to sustainable development, OECD defines Development as meeting current needs without reducing the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Strange and Bayley, 2008). Ghorbani et al., (2015) respect the sustainable way, ecotourism becomes a noticeable strategy for protecting the environment and creating income for communities. A study conducted by Tomic and Bozic (2015) said that heritage attractiveness is related to choice destination. OECD required the natural resources, biodiversity, cultural and creative resources as the indicators for measuring the tourism competitiveness (Dupeyras and MacCallum, 2013). Considering in principles, Barua (2012) said that ecotourism focused on conservation, environmental education, ecodevelopment and rural employment.

Tourism Act No. 10 of 2009 establish 10 goals where four of them are: (1) Improving economic growth, (2) General welfare, (3) Conserving nature, environment, and resources, and (4) Developing culture. The aim is to illustrate Indonesian's commitment to sustainable tourism and in line to UNWTO.

Primary data were collected by using observation, interview, and questionnaires which were related to SWOT of Kalibiru. Questionnaires were distributed to 100 visitors of Kalibiru in April 2018. Respondents included experts, public figure, visitors, and local residents. The profiles consist of 12% students, 25% business person, 15% civil servants, 25% private servants, and 13% others. 54% of the respondents were from Kulon Progo and other 46% from other regions. Those who got the information about Kalibiru from social media were 58% and other answers were 42%. There were 34% of respondents who were first-time arrivals in Kalibiru. More people (40%) have visited the site 2-3 times, and 26% visited more than 4 times.

Attributes of SWOT are developed with experts from tourism department, mass media, online media, and local regulation of Kulon Progo. Weights are determined based on the questionnaires with scores ranging from 1-5 from not too important to very important. Rating is determined by a 1-5 range from bad to good. Based on the SWOT analysis, the Internal Factor Analysis Strategy Matrix (IFAS) and External Factor Analysis Strategy Matrix (EFAS) are identified and calculated into Quantitative Strategic Planning Matrix (QSPM).

According to Ghorbani et al. (2015) if the total score value of IFAS was less than 2.5, it means that the strengths is smaller than weaknesses. The same criteria were required in EFAS. If the total score value of was less than 2.5, it meant that the opportunities were less than the threats. The analysis of QSPM provides the calculation of final score for each strategy, so the results of QSPM are used to make decisions or suggest strategies planning of ecotourism sector.

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Description of Kalibiru

Kalibiru Tourism Village is one of the 32 nature attractions in Kulon Progo offering attractions such as, photography spots, out bonds, flying fox, and trekking through 2-6 kilometers track of pine-hills. It is located 450 meters above the sea level and 35

kilometers from the west of Yogyakarta. Based on Indonesia Statistics, population of Hargowilis Village reached up to 5.737 people in 2016. The locals have been preserving several of traditional art communities. Prominent products of farms in the location are durian and mangosteen. Local community’s activities are making palm or brown sugar. Kalibiru is managed by an independent communal forest farmers association which has been utilizing communal forest as tourism destination. The vegetation in the area includes sonokeling, cengkeh, jati, waru, duwet trees, and others. Therefore, the local community both conserves forest and gains earnings from it.

Numbers of tourists in 2015 reach 309.541 visitors, then 443,070 visitors in 2016, and 355.498 visitors in 2017. The condition serves as a challenge for management to achieve sustainable creativity in developing Kalibiru. Based on primary and secondary data, SWOT and QSPM were performed to assess the feasibility of sustainable in the destination. The results are used to determine priorities of strategy for the development of Kalibiru in line wit sustainable development. The mechanism can be used to achieve target number of visitors.

### 3.2 Internal Factor Analysis Strategy

Table 1 shows the matrix of internal factor analysis strategy that consists of six factors as the strengths and weaknesses in the Kalibiru destination. The weight allocated for these factors from 0.077 to 0.093 and the effective score ranged from 3 to 5. Inversely, the weight of weakness allocated for these factors from 0.068 to 0.087 and the effective score ranged from 3 to 5. The calculation of IFAS Matrix is summarized below:

Table 1: Internal Factor Analysis Strategy Matrix.

	Weight	Score	Final Score
<b>STRENGTH</b>			
Beauty and biodiversity of nature (S1)	0.092	5	0.460
Uniqueness of local culture (S2)	0.088	5	0.441
Attraction of the culture of local community (S3)	0.077	3	0.232
Promotion of nature attraction in social media (S4)	0.093	5	0.466
Tourist guide service provide (S5)	0.085	4	0.339
Photography service in tourist attractions (S6)	0.084	4	0.336
<b>WEAKNESS</b>			
Availability of social facilities (W1)	0.078	3	0.235
Availability of public facilities (W2)	0.084	4	0.334
Responses of local community (W3)	0.087	5	0.434
Availability of souvenir shops (W4)	0.068	4	0.271
Representative restaurants (W5)	0.077	4	0.309
Environmental hygiene (W6)	0.087	4	0.346
	1.000		4.204

Finally, the results identified on the highest score of strength is 0.466 on “Promotion of nature

attraction in social media”, then it was followed by 0.460 (S1), 0.441 (S3), 0.335 (S5), 0.336 (S6). Inversely, the smallest was 0.232, about “Attraction of the culture of local community”. The highest score of weakness was 0.434 on “Responses of local community”, which was followed by 0.346 (W6), 0.334 (W2), 0.309 (W5), 0.271 (W4). In the contrary, the smallest is 0.235, specially on “Availability of social facilities in the destination”. According to final score of IFAS Matrix, the value of total final score (4.204) was more than 2.5. It meant strengths outweigh the weaknesses. Therefore, the potential of Kalibiru is able to grow up by using sustainable tourism.

### 3.3 External Factor Analysis Strategy

Table 2 shows the matrix of external factor analysis strategy consist of 6 factors as opportunities and 5 factors as threats in the Kalibiru destination. The weight of opportunity allocated for these factors from 0.088 to 0.103 and the effective score ranged from 3 to 5. The calculation of EFAS Matrix are summarized below:

Table 2: External Factor Analysis Strategy Matrix.

	Weight	Score	Final Score
<b>OPPORTUNITY</b>			
Change interest in nature attraction (O1)	0.103	5	0.515
Development social media as promotional (O2)	0.101	4	0.404
Local regulation of <i>Bedah Menoreh</i> (O3)	0.099	3	0.296
Cooperation with travel agents (O4)	0.093	3	0.280
Cooperation with universities (O5)	0.088	4	0.351
Creating employment & earnings (O6)	0.099	3	0.297
<b>THREAT</b>			
Access to tourist attractions (T1)	0.082	3	0.245
Access to public transportation (T2)	0.067	3	0.200
Resemblance to other tourist attractions (T3)	0.095	4	0.380
Visitors’ knowledge on sust. development (T4)	0.086	3	0.258
Risk of natural disaster (T5)	0.088	3	0.263
	1.000		3.490

Finally, the results identify on the highest score of opportunity is 0.515, specially “Change of interest in nature attraction” then are followed by 0.404 (O2) and 0.351 (O5). Inversely, the smallest is 0.280, specially “Cooperation with travel agents”. The weight of threat allocated for these factors from 0.067 to 0.095 and the effective score ranged from 3 to 4. Finally, the results identify on the highest score of the threat is 0.380, specially “Resemblance to other tourist attractions”, then are followed by 0.263 (T5), 0.258 (T4), 0.245 (T1). The contrary the smallest is 0.200, specially “Access to public transportation”. According to final score of EFAS Matrix, the value of total final score (3.490) was more than 2.5. It meant the opportunities overweight than threats, so the management of Kalibiru able to

capture the new opportunities by implementing the sustainable tourism.

### 3.4 Strategy Development

After calculating the IFAS and EFAS Matrix, the information are used to determine the priorities of strategy for development in Kalibiru destination. Table 3 summarizes 20 items strategic development consist of SO, ST, WO, WT. Totally, priorities of strategies will be calculated by using the QSPM. The detailed computation for strategy are illustrated in appendix. The QSPM analysis provides the calculation of final score for each strategy, so results of QSPM are used to make decisions in development competitively in Kalibiru destination.

Table 3: The Priority of Strategic Development.

	STRENGTH SO Strategy	WEAKNESS WO Strategy
OPPORTUNITY	S4-O1 : (0.240) = SO1	W3-O1 : (0.224) = WO1
	S1-O1 : (0.237) = SO2	W6-O1 : (0.178) = WO2
	S2-O1 : (0.227) = SO3	W3-O2 : (0.176) = WO3
	S4-O2 : (0.188) = SO4	W2-O1 : (0.172) = WO4
	S1-O2 : (0.186) = SO5	W3-O5 : (0.152) = WO5
THREAT	ST Strategy	WT Strategy
	S4-T3 : (0.177) = ST1	W3-T3 : (0.165) = WT1
	S1-T3 : (0.175) = ST2	W6-T3 : (0.132) = WT2
	S2-T3 : (0.168) = ST3	W2-T3 : (0.127) = WT3
	S5-T3 : (0.129) = ST4	W5-T3 : (0.118) = WT4
	S6-T3 : (0.128) = ST5	W3-T5 : (0.114) = WT5

Refer to computation as in appendix, are identified the ranking of 5 items of the priority strategies. The priorities consist of SO1 (5.511), SO5 (5.141), SO2 (5.093), SO3 (5.064), SO4 (4.235), which strategies suggested for management are:

- SO1:** Arranging campaign programs to preserve nature biodiversity and local genius in ecotourism destination with involving visitors, students, researchers, and environmental activist such as *Wahana Lingkungan Hidup*.
- SO5:** Upgrading promotion to explore the nature of Menoreh Hill with involving the social media.
- SO2:** Collaboration with other ecotourism destination around the Kalibiru Tourism Village for developing the other type of ecotourism.
- SO3:** Involving the local culture such as traditional art show as attraction in the destination.
- SO4:** Creating website system to update the news of ecotourism attractions, nature of biodiversity and local genius in Kalibiru destination.

With same computation the priorities of strength-threat consist of ST1 (4.503), ST5 (4.416), ST3 (4.260), ST2 (4.085), ST4 (3.729) which strategies suggested for management are:

- ST1:** Developing and improving the information tourism networking and service in Kalibiru destination.
- ST5:** Training programs for photographer and arranging the photography competition for exploring the Kalibiru ecotourism destination.
- ST2:** Maintaining the greening and naturally of the forest as ecotourism asset in Kalibiru, including expose the durian, mangosteen, and other for visitors
- ST3:** Empowering community and keeping the naturally of local genius in Kalibiru Tourism Village.
- ST4:** Providing the training about foreign languages, the cultural knowledge, and good character for tourist guides in ecotourism destination.

With same computation the priorities of weakness-opportunity consist of WO2 (5.316), WO1 (5.310), WO5 (4.955), WO3 (4.953), WO4 (4.295), which strategies suggested for management are:

- WO2:** Developing the managing of ecotourism based on eco-friendly destination in Kalibiru, including encouraging people to respect environment impact from tourism.
- WO1:** Increasing the role of local community for preparing services, local culinary, souvenir, homestay, and attraction in the Kalibiru Tourism Village.
- WO5:** Collaboration with university for developing program to accompany the activities of local community in the Kalibiru Tourism Village.
- WO3:** Increasing the content of the roles local genius or wisdom when promoting the destination through social media.
- WO4:** Increasing the quantities and qualities of public facilities, including people with special needs.

With same computation the priorities consist of WT1 (4.308), WT2 (4.308), WT5 (4.230), WT3 (4.148), and WT4 (3.790), the strategies suggested for management are:

- WT2:** Increasing the utilization of simple technology for trash recycling in the tourism destination.
- WT1:** Arranging the training program for entrepreneurs, craftman, and artists for

developing creativities in local economic or becoming an art-entrepreneurs professionally.

3. **WT5:** Involving and training the local people as a team of search and rescue in the destination areas. Program to encourage people to respect the safety of visitors from environmental tourism.
4. **WT3:** Increasing the public facilities, such as parking areas and health care centers in the destination.
5. **WT4:** Collaborating with investors or CSR for creating the representative restaurants and prototyping the sustainable entrepreneurial in culinary sector.

The priorities of the strategies for developer the ecotourism Kalibiru are: SO1, WO1, WO2, SO5, SO2, SO3, WO5, WO3, ST1, ST5, WT1, WT2, WO4, ST3, SO4, WT5, WT3, ST2, WT4, and ST4. The determining strategy should not conflict with the development regulations in Kulon Progo Regency. Moreover, the decision must be in accordance with duration priorities, with specialization in the short, medium or long term. The special program of Bedah Menoreh will support the performance of ecotourism along the Menoreh Hill. Therefore, the management requires strategy to utilize the facilities. By using these strategies, the developer in Kalibiru can concern about the stability of the triple bottom line strategy.

For managing destination, the local government and communal forest must collaborate with stakeholder. Priorities of action consist of (1) Identify people's traditions and maintain the local wisdom which is relevant to the current situation. The goal is to reduce the potential conflict among communities. (2) Involve the cultural observers in Yogyakarta for preparing the cultural and environmental events in national or international scale. (3) Increase the social or public facilities and safety in the location. Therefore, visitors are more interested to stay in the destination. (4) Immediately design the standards operation procedure for managing the waste in the destination. Implementation of standards will protect the environment in Kalibiru.

Although in practices there are still many limitations in the implementation of sustainability in the managing of Kalibiru, the commitment among communities and developer to defend the continuity of the communal forest is strong. To improve competitiveness of ecotourism, the manager should able to use the output of SWOT and QSPM analysis

for managing destination in line with sustainable development.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

By using the SWOT analysis, the matrix of IFAS and EFAS can be identified and then are calculated by QSPM analysis for the value of final score. The combination among strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats are directed to become the strategies suggested for developing the destination. The results find that the implementation of sustainable development in Kalibiru was still frugal. Moreover, to improve competitiveness of ecotourism, the manager should able to use the output for managing destination in line with sustainable development.

The results calculated 20 alternative strategies for developing the destination. The determining strategies should not be in contradiction to regulation and must be appropriate to duration priorities. To pursue the sustainability progress, the management of Kalibiru ecotourism can collaborate with government, company's CSR, university, NGO, or other private institution. The willingness to adopt these strategies will improve the competitiveness of attraction in destination. Finally, the implementation strategies in developing attraction involves the triple bottom line aspects which relevant to sustainable development.

As a suggestion, we need to find the risk perception among visitors. Because the place is located 450 meters above the sea level, there is a perception of risk that may occur, such as landslide disaster, specifically in the rainy season. So, to reduce or overcome the potential of risk, the management needs to inform about the change of climate and weather, including when the rain falls, around the destination areas. To make it true, it requires cooperation with the Meteorological, Climatological, and Geophysical Agency to observe the nature phenomena and inform about the risk potential in every moment. In addition, it needs to inform the tourists about the assembly point in the areas of Kalibiru, in case of emergency.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia which funded the research. Lastly, we



would like to thank the respondents from Kalibiru ecotourism destination.

## REFERENCES

- Barua, K. 2012. Potential for Sustainable Tourism in Northeast India. *Proceeding of The International Germany Alumni Summer School Program, Biodiversity Management and Tourism Development*. Conference Paper November. 87-92.
- Bhargava, D. 2012. Integrating Ecotourism and Biodiversity Conservation in Kanha Tiger Reserve, India. *Proceeding of The International Germany Alumni Summer School Program, Biodiversity Management and Tourism Development*. Conference Paper November. 185-194.
- Dupeyras, A., and MacCallum, N. 2013. Indicators for measuring competitiveness in tourism: A Guidance document., OECD Tourism Papers., 2013/02., *OECD Publishing*.
- Indarti, W., and A. Munir. 2016. The implementation of community based ecotourism concept in using tourism village development strategy of Banyuwangi Regency Indonesia., Asia Tourism Forum, *The 12th Biennial Conference of Hospitality and Tourism Industry in Asia (ATF-16)*., 0068-0073.
- Ganjali, S., Shayesteh, K., Ghasemi, A., and Mohammadi, H. 2014. Environmental and strategic assessment of ecotourism potential in Anzali Wetland using SWOT analysis., *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*., vol. 12, No 12., 155-164.
- Ghorbani, A., Raufirad, V., Rafiaani, P., and Azadi, H. 2015. Ecotourism sustainable development strategies using SWOT and QSPM model: A case study of Kaji Namaksar Wetland, South Khorasan., *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 16, 290-297.
- Hong, C.W., and Chan, N.W. 2010. Strength-weakness-opportunities-threats analysis of Penang National Park for strategic ecotourism management., *World Applied Sciences Journal 10 (Special Issue of Tourism & Hospitality)*., 136-145.
- Josef, A., G. Djau., M. Baiquni, T. Widodo., and C. Fandeli., 2016. The diversity of ecotourism potentials in Kelimutu National Park of Ende Regency. *Journal of Business on Hospitality and Tourism*, vol. 02 Issue 1, 318-338.
- Mondal, M.S.H. 2017. SWOT analysis and strategies to develop sustainable tourism in Bangladesh., *Journal of Economics*., 8 (2)., 159-167.
- Koeman, A. Sustainable tourism and eco-tourism., 1-7.
- Pangau-Adam, M. 2012. Papuan Bird Diversity and Its Potential for Wildlife Tourism in Papua. *Proceeding of The International Germany Alumni Summer School Program, Biodiversity Management and Tourism Development*. Conference Paper November. 111-119.
- Patana, P. 2012. Can Initiatives of Local Community in Developing Ecotourism Offer a Better Livelihood Opportunity and Sustain Biodiversity Conservation of Gunung Leuser National Park?: Lessons from Tangkahan, North Sumatera. *Proceeding of The International Germany Alumni Summer School Program, Biodiversity Management and Tourism Development*. Conference Paper November. 176-184.
- Patti, S. 2013. Sustainability and support for the ecotourism within Etna Park Area., *American Journal of Tourism Research*., Vol. 2., No. 1., 124-129.
- Perda No. 1 Tahun 2012 tentang RTRW Kab. Kulon Progo tahun 2012-2032
- Ross, S., and Wall., G. 1999. Evaluating ecotourism: The case of North Sulawesi Indonesia, *Tourism Management 20*, 673-682.
- Saeb, K., Hajati, R.J., and Rizai. 2012. An investigation into eco-tourism potential of the Alamut Region of Iran using SWOT analysis model., *Ecologia Balkanica*., Vol. 4., Issue 1., June., 9-20.
- Sayyed, M.R.G., Mansoori, M.S., and Jaybhaye. 2013. SWOT analysis of Todooreh National Park (NE Iran) for sustainable ecotourism., *Proceeding of the International Academy of Ecology and environmental Sciences*., 3 (4)., 296-305.
- Strange, T., and A. Bayley. 2008. Sustainable Development Linking Economy, Society, Environment, retrieve <http://www.sjalfbarni.is/media/frodleikur/OECD-skysrsla.pdf>.
- Taylor, D.W., and Walley, E.E (Liz). 2004. The Green Entrepreneur: Opportunist, Moverick or Visionary?., *International Journal Entrepreneurship and Small Business*., vol. 1 Nos. ½., 56-69.
- Tomic, N., and Bozic, S. 2015. Factors effecting city destination choice among young people in Serbia., *Journal of Tourism-Studied and Research in Tourism*., Issue 9., 15-22.
- UU No. 10 Th 2009 tentang Kepariwisataaan
- Whight, P.A. 1993. Sustainable ecotourism: Balancing economic, environmental and social goals within an ethical framework., *The Journal of Tourism Studies*., Vol. 4. No. 2. Dec., 54-66.

## APPENDIX

The illustration of the computation of QSPM:  
 In Table 3, SO1 is identified as priority of development strategy. SO1 is developed from Strength (S4) and Opportunity (Q1). The final score of SO1 is computed by weight x effective score, then the value of each indicator are summed up. Therefore, the total value of SO1 is 5.511. The computation is summarized below: The other items of QSPM are computed with same process, using the data from Table 1 and 2.

Indicators	SO1 (S4 – O1)		
	Weight	Effective Score	Final Score
S1	0.092	5	0.460
S2	0.088	5	0.441
S3	0.077	5	0.386
S4	0.093	5	0.466
S5	0.085	5	0.424
S6	0.084	5	0.420
O1	0.103	5	0.515
O2	0.101	5	0.505
O3	0.099	5	0.493
O4	0.093	5	0.466
O5	0.088	5	0.439
O6	0.099	5	0.496
		Total	5.511

