



Current Issue

Vol. 3 No. 3 (2025): Agustus 2025



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLICATION ON ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS
IJAEB

Graduate Program of Universitas Tarumanagara
Address: Graduate Program of Universitas Tarumanagara
Universitas Tarumanagara
Kampus 1, Jl. Letjen S. Parman No. 1
Jakarta 11440, Indonesia

ISSN 2987-1972
9 772987 197004

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLICATION ON ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS
IJAEB

VOL. 3 NO. 3
AUGUST 2025

(<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/issue/view/873>)

Published: 2025-08-31

Articles

THE ROLE OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION ON THE PERFORMANCE OF FOOD AND BEVERAGE SMEs (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35230>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1155-1163 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1155-1163>)

Michael Dwitama (search?authors=Michael Dwitama) , Ida Puspitowati (search?authors=Ida Puspitowati)
page 1155-1163

📄 Abstract : 154 | 📄 PDF : 216


PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35230/21199>)

THE EFFECT OF SELF-CONTROL, FINANCIAL LITERACY, AND RISK TOLERANCE TOWARD INVESTMENT DECISIONS AMONG STUDENTS (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35231>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1164-1174 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1164-1174>)

Yeo Wei Ming (search?authors=Yeo Wei Ming) , Keni Keni (search?authors=Keni Keni)

page 1164-1174

 Abstract : 407 |  PDF : 292



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35231/21200>)

EXPLORING HOW GENDER MODERATES THE EFFECT OF PERSONALITY TRAITS ON OVERCONFIDENCE BIAS AMONG INVESTORS IN THE INDONESIAN STOCK MARKET (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35232>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1175-1188 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1175-1188>)

Khairina Natsir (search?authors=Khairina Natsir) , Agus Zainul Arifin (search?authors=Agus Zainul Arifin) , Fanny Wijoyo (search?authors=Fanny Wijoyo)

page 1175-1188

 Abstract : 118 |  PDF : 123



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35232/21201>)

THE EFFECT OF PROFITABILITY, LIQUIDITY, COMPANY SIZE, AND SALES GROWTH ON CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN CONSUMER NON-CYCLICALS COMPANIES LISTED ON THE INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE 2021-2023 PERIOD (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35234>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1189-1195 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1189-1195>)

Selvia Putri Patricia (search?authors=Selvia Putri Patricia) , Sofia Prima Dewi (search?authors=Sofia Prima Dewi)

page 1189-1195

 Abstract : 129 |  PDF : 141



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35234/21202>)

SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING AND CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT IMPACT ON PURCHASE INTENTION MEDIATED BY BRAND AWARENESS (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35235>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1196-1207 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1196-1207>)

Angelica Laurence (search?authors=Angelica Laurence) , Sanny Ekawati (search?authors=Sanny Ekawati)

page 1196-1207

 Abstract : 149 |  PDF : 210



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35235/21203>)

FINANCIAL DISTRESS, AUDIT COMMITTEE, INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONER, AND AUDIT QUALITY'S IMPACT ON BANKING TAX AVOIDANCE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35369>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1208-1221 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1208-1221>)

Herni Kurniawati (search?authors=Herni Kurniawati) , Yustina Peniyanti Jap (search?authors=Yustina Peniyanti Jap)

page 1208-1221

 Abstract : 90 |  PDF : 83

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35369/21365>)



DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE: EVIDENCE FROM FOOD AND BEVERAGE COMPANIES IN INDONESIA (

<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35370>

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i4.1222-1233 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i4.1222-1233>)

Clarissa Eunike Kusumajaya (search?authors=Clarissa Eunike Kusumajaya) , Merry Susanti (search?authors=Merry Susanti)

page 1222-1233

 Abstract : 130 |  PDF : 152



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35370/21366>)

THE INFLUENCE OF SALES GROWTH, LEVERAGE, COMPANY SIZE, AND PROFITABILITY ON TAX AVOIDANCE IN CONSUMER NON-CYCLICALS COMPANIES FROM 2021 TO 2023 (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35371>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1234-1244 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1234-1244>)

Cecilia Dintia (search?authors=Cecilia Dintia) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1234-1244

 Abstract : 125 |  PDF : 133



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35371/21367>)

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES DURING AND AFTER THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35372>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1245-1259 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1245-1259>)

Khairina Natsir (search?authors=Khairina Natsir) , Nurainun Bangun (search?authors=Nurainun Bangun)

page 1245-1259

 Abstract : 114 |  PDF : 250

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35372/21368>)

IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING ON JOB SATISFACTION, COMMITMENT, AND PERFORMANCE OF PRIVATE BANK EMPLOYEES (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35373>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1260-1268 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1260-1268>)

Joyce A. Turangan (search?authors=Joyce A. Turangan) , Herman Ruslim (search?authors=Herman Ruslim)

page 1260-1268

 Abstract : 77 |  PDF : 80



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35373/21369>)

THE INFLUENCE OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION, WORK-LIFE BALANCE, AND CAREER CHOICE ON THE ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTION OF GENERATION Y (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35374>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1269-1276 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1269-1276>)

Mei le (search?authors=Mei le) , Rorlen Rorlen (search?authors=Rorlen Rorlen) , Salsabila Ayunda Martsa Buana (search?authors=Salsabila Ayunda Martsa Buana) , Ferdyanto Gunawan (search?authors=Ferdyanto Gunawan)

page 1269-1276

 Abstract : 91 |  PDF : 88

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35374/21370>)



SOCIAL IDENTITY AS A MODERATOR OF THE INFLUENCE OF ENTREPRENEURIAL SELF-EFFICACY ON

ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTION (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35375>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1277-1287 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1277-1287>)

Willy Salim (search?authors=Willy Salim) , Ida Puspitowati (search?authors=Ida Puspitowati)

page 1277-1287

 Abstract : 59 |  PDF : 68



[PDF \(https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35375/21371\)](https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35375/21371)

THE EQUITY FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE BEHAVIORAL LOYALTY OF GRABFOOD APPLICATION USERS: STUDY OF GEN Z CONSUMERS IN DKI JAKARTA (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35376>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1288-1301 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1288-1301>)

Nathan Indra Gotama (search?authors=Nathan Indra Gotama) , Sanny Ekawati (search?authors=Sanny Ekawati)

page 1288-1301

 Abstract : 104 |  PDF : 84



[PDF \(https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35376/21372\)](https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35376/21372)

DETERMINANTS OF BRAND LOYALTY AT XYZ COFFEE SHOP: A STUDY OF GEN Z IN JAKARTA (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35377>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1302-1310 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1302-1310>)

Cindy Nathania Liman (search?authors=Cindy Nathania Liman) , Sanny Ekawati (search?authors=Sanny Ekawati)

page 1302-1310

 Abstract : 165 |  PDF : 103



[PDF \(https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35377/21373\)](https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35377/21373)

INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL FACTORS AFFECTING AUDITOR'S ABILITY IN DETECTING FRAUDULANCE FROM THE ACCOUNTING STUDENT'S PERSPECTIVE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35378>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1311-1324 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1311-1324>)

Jhun Liong (search?authors=Jhun Liong) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1311-1324

 Abstract : 135 |  PDF : 107



[PDF \(https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35378/21374\)](https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35378/21374)

FINANCIAL DISTRESS AND SUSTAINABILITY REPORT: PERSPECTIVE FROM FOOD AND BEVERAGE INDUSTRY (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35379>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1325-1337 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1325-1337>)

Aurellia Aretha Agustine Widjaja (search?authors=Aurellia Aretha Agustine Widjaja) , Yanti Yanti (search?authors=Yanti Yanti)

page 1325-1337

 Abstract : 129 |  PDF : 124



[PDF \(https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35379/21375\)](https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35379/21375)

KEY DRIVERS OF FIRM VALUE IN INDONESIA'S PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE SECTOR (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35380>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1338-1349 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1338-1349>)

Gabriella Charissa Hartono (search?authors=Gabriella Charissa Hartono) , Yanti Yanti (search?authors=Yanti Yanti)

page 1338-1349

 Abstract : 101 |  PDF : 139



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35380/21376>)

THE FACTORS EFFECTING FIRM VALUE EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIA (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35381>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1350-1355 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1350-1355>)

Ni Gusti Ayu Komang Tri Suprilliana (search?authors=Ni Gusti Ayu Komang Tri Suprilliana) , Henryanto Wijaya (search?authors=Henryanto Wijaya)

page 1350-1355

 Abstract : 75 |  PDF : 84



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35381/21377>)

THE APPLICATION OF ENTREPRENEURIAL MOTIVATION AND SOCIAL MEDIA USE ON ENTREPRENEURIAL INTEREST AMONG GENERATION Z IN WEST JAKARTA (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35382>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1256-1265 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1256-1265>)

Sharyn Haliza (search?authors=Sharyn Haliza) , Oey Hannes Widjaja (search?authors=Oey Hannes Widjaja)

page 1256-1265

 Abstract : 83 |  PDF : 70



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35382/21378>)

THE EFFECT OF INTERNAL CONTROL AND INTERNAL AUDIT ON FRAUD PREVENTION IN PROCUREMENT OF GOODS/SERVICES IN THE GOVERNMENT OF ROKAN HULU DISTRICT (
<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35383>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1366-1378 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1366-1378>)

Urwatul Wutsqa (search?authors=Urwatul Wutsqa) , Andreas Bambang Daryatno (search?authors=Andreas Bambang Daryatno)

page 1366-1378

 Abstract : 122 |  PDF : 97

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35383/21379>)

CORPORATE SIZE IN MODERATE THE INFLUENCE OF CURRENT RATIO AND DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO ON INCOME SMOOTHING (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35445>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1379-1389 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1379-1389>)

Augustpaosa Nariman (search?authors=Augustpaosa Nariman) , Rini Tri Hastuti (search?authors=Rini Tri Hastuti) , Karin Flolinda (search?authors=Karin Flolinda) , Salva Sefika (search?authors=Salva Sefika)

page 1379-1389

 Abstract : 66 |  PDF : 63



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35445/21451>)

CORPORATE SIZE IN MODERATE THE INFLUENCE OF CURRENT PERCENTAGE AND DER PERCENTAGE ON INCOME SMOOTHING (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35446>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1390-1400 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1390-1400>)

Augustpaosa Nariman (search?authors=Augustpaosa Nariman) , Rini Tri Hastuti (search?authors=Rini Tri Hastuti) , Karin Flolinda (search?authors=Karin Flolinda) , Salva Sefika (search?authors=Salva Sefika)

page 1390-1400

 Abstract : 79 |  PDF : 51



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35446/21452>)

THE INFLUENCE OF BANKING HEALTH LEVELS BY USING RGEC METHOD ON BANKING FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35447>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1401-1409 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1401-1409>)

Lareina Wijaya (search?authors=Lareina Wijaya) , Elsa Imelda (search?authors=Elsa Imelda)

page 1401-1409

 Abstract : 74 |  PDF : 75


PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35447/21453>)

THE INFLUENCE OF PERCEIVED EASE OF USE AND PERCEIVED USEFULNESS ON FINTECH USER LOYALTY MODERATED BY TRUST (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35448>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1410-1421 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1410-1421>)

Yossy Afrian (search?authors=Yossy Afrian) , Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih (search?authors=Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih)

page 1410-1421

 Abstract : 366 |  PDF : 224

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35448/21454>)

FACTORS INFLUENCING PURCHASE INTENTION OF FRENCH FRIES 2000 (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35449>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1422-1432 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1422-1432>)

Nicolas Pratama Wijaya (search?authors=Nicolas Pratama Wijaya) , Richard Andrew (search?authors=Richard Andrew)

page 1422-1432

 Abstract : 69 |  PDF : 85



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35449/21455>)

UNVEILING THE ROLE IN SHAPING SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING IN THE MINING SECTOR (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35450>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1433-1444 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1433-1444>)

Chrystabelle Audrey Ardhani (search?authors=Chrystabelle Audrey Ardhani) , Yanti Yanti (search?authors=Yanti Yanti)

page 1433-1444

 Abstract : 61 |  PDF : 55



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35450/21456>)

ANALYSIS OF CAPITAL BUFFER, BOARD GENDER DIVERSITY, OWNERSHIP CONCENTRATION, AND INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONERS ON BANK STABILITY (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35451>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1445-1457 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1445-1457>)

Hendra Hendra (search?authors=Hendra Hendra) , Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih (search?authors=Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih)

page 1445-1457

 Abstract : 83 |  PDF : 75

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35451/21457>)



THE FACTORS EFFECTING FIRM PERFORMANCE EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIA (

<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35452>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1458-1465 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1458-1465>)

Audi Mishael (search?authors=Audi Mishael) , Henryanto Wijaya (search?authors=Henryanto Wijaya)

page 1458-1465

 Abstract : 81 |  PDF : 72

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35452/21458>)



USING OF THEORY OF ACCEPTANCE AND USE OF TECHNOLOGY IN THE ACCEPTANCE OF MOBILE BANKING APPS

(<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35455>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1466-1476 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1466-1476>)

Hendro Lukman (search?authors=Hendro Lukman) , Elsa Imelda (search?authors=Elsa Imelda) , Vannessa Maria Vianney (search?authors=Vannessa Maria Vianney) , Andrea Stephanie Darwis (search?authors=Andrea Stephanie Darwis) , Go Cecilia Claudia Pratama (search?authors=Go Cecilia Claudia Pratama)

page 1466-1476

 Abstract : 90 |  PDF : 72



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35455/21460>)

EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLES ON EMPLOYEE JOB PERFORMANCE - A CASE STUDY OF EMPLOYEES IN VIETNAMESE ENTERPRISES (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35456>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1476-1482 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1476-1482>)

Linda Lin-Chin Lin (search?authors=Linda Lin-Chin Lin) , Nguyen-Van Viet (search?authors=Nguyen-Van Viet)

page 1476-1482

 Abstract : 87 |  PDF : 96

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35456/21461>)



CEO BIAS, MORAL HAZARD AND ADVERSE SELECTION: A LITERATURE REVIEW ON RISK DYNAMICS IN DIGITAL BANKING IN INDONESIA, WITH A PITCHING RESEARCH APPROACH (

<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35389>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1483-1494 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1483-1494>)

Amerta Mardjono (search?authors=Amerta Mardjono) , Haris Maupa (search?authors=Haris Maupa) , Ignatius Roni Setyawan (search?authors=Ignatius Roni Setyawan)

page 1483-1494

 Abstract : 140 |  PDF : 103

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35389/21385>)

THE EFFECT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE QUALITY, LEVERAGE AND OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE ON FIRM PERFORMANCE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35457>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1495-1505 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1495-1505>)

Adinda Nariswari Herzani (search?authors=Adinda Nariswari Herzani) , Agustin Ekadjaja (search?

authors=Agustin Ekadjaja)

page 1495-1505

 Abstract : 77 |  PDF : 68



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35457/21462>)

DETERMINANTS OF BUDGET ABSORPTION MODERATED BY WORK PLAN AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35458>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1506-1521 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1506-1521>)

Apsari Maharani (search?authors=Apsari Maharani) , Sarwo Edy Handoyo (search?authors=Sarwo Edy Handoyo)

page 1506-1521

 Abstract : 118 |  PDF : 89



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35458/21463>)

THE EFFECT OF LIQUIDITY, SOLVENCY, ACTIVITY, AND PROFITABILITY ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF MANUFACTURING COMPANIES (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35459>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1522-1530 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1522-1530>)

Kensa Kensa (search?authors=Kensa Kensa) , Agustin Ekadjaja (search?authors=Agustin Ekadjaja)

page 1522-1530

 Abstract : 122 |  PDF : 95



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35459/21464>)

THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING SUSTAINABILITY REPORT DISCLOSURE AMONG IDX-LISTED HEALTH COMPANIES FOR THE PERIOD OF 2021-2023 (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35460>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1531-1544 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1531-1544>)

I Made Pahangga Palwaguna (search?authors=I Made Pahangga Palwaguna) , Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih (search?authors=Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih)

page 1531-1544

 Abstract : 111 |  PDF : 76



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35460/21465>)

THE IMPACT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' EXPERIENCE, EDUCATION, AND COMPENSATION ON INDONESIAN BANKS' FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35492>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1545-1556 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1545-1556>)

Sriwati Sriwati (search?authors=Sriwati Sriwati) , Verawati Verawati (search?authors=Verawati Verawati) , Herni Kurniawan (search?authors=Herni Kurniawan)

page 1545-1556

 Abstract : 55 |  PDF : 78



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35492/21497>)

THE ROLE OF CELEBRITY ATTRACTIVENESS AND CREDIBILITY IN INFLUENCING IMPULSIVE BUYING TENDENCY FOR UNIQLO (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35493>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1557-1567 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1557-1567>)

Cynthia Vanessa Mona (search?authors=Cynthia Vanessa Mona) , Louis Utama (search?authors=Louis Utama)

page 1557-1567

 Abstract : 101 |  PDF : 110

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35493/21498>)

THE IMPACT OF E-WOM QUANTITY AND E-WOM CREDIBILITY ON ONLINE PURCHASE INTENTIONS FOR GARNIER WITH BRAND IMAGE AS A MEDIATING FACTOR (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35494>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1568-1579 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1568-1579>)

Dominic Kenji Kurnia Surya (search?authors=Dominic Kenji Kurnia Surya) , Louis Utama (search?authors=Louis Utama)

page 1568-1579

 Abstract : 63 |  PDF : 68



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35494/21499>)

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ATTRIBUTES AND EARNINGS QUALITY: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF INDONESIAN BANKS (2019-2023) (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35495>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1580-1593 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1580-1593>)

Jhun Liong (search?authors=Jhun Liong) , Tiffany Yessica (search?authors=Tiffany Yessica) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1580-1593

 Abstract : 78 |  PDF : 63


PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35495/21500>)

THE EFFECT OF TAX AVOIDANCE, PROFITABILITY, LEVERAGE, AND COMPANY SIZE ON EARNINGS MANAGEMENT (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35496>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1594-1604 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1594-1604>)

Caroline Maretha Halim (search?authors=Caroline Maretha Halim) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1594-1604

 Abstract : 87 |  PDF : 81


PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35496/21501>)

FINANCIAL RATIO ANALYSIS TO MEASURE THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE REGIONAL GOVERNMENT OF GORONTALO DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2020-2023 (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35497>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1605-1621 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1605-1621>)

Edwin Heriyanto (search?authors=Edwin Heriyanto) , Andreas Bambang Daryatno (search?authors=Andreas Bambang Daryatno)

page 1605-1621

 Abstract : 43 |  PDF : 42



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35497/21502>)

HOW CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MODERATES THE LINK BETWEEN EARNINGS MANAGEMENT AND FIRM VALUE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35498>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1622-1635 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1622-1635>)

Nurainun Bangun (search?authors=Nurainun Bangun) , Khairina Natsir (search?authors=Khairina Natsir)

page 1622-1635

 Abstract : 58 |  PDF : 73



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35498/21503>)

PROFITCAPABILITY, NET WORKING CAPITAL, FIRM SIZE, AND LIQUIDITY FACTORS IN AFFECTING CASH HOLDING (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35499>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1636-1644 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1636-1644>)

Rini Tri Hastuti (search?authors=Rini Tri Hastuti) , Ardiansyah Ardiansyah (search?authors=Ardiansyah Ardiansyah) , Ellen Valeria (search?authors=Ellen Valeria) , Michelle Chang (search?authors=Michelle Chang)

page 1636-1644

 Abstract : 56 |  PDF : 46



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35499/21504>)

EARNING MANAGEMENT AND LEVERAGE AS FACTORS AFFECTING TAX AVOIDANCE MODERATED BY POLITICAL CONNECTIONS (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35500>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1645-1656 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1645-1656>)

Jessenia Lorreta Hariyanto (search?authors=Jessenia Lorreta Hariyanto) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1645-1656

 Abstract : 85 |  PDF : 56


PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35500/21505>)

FACTORS THAT AFFECT DIVIDEND POLICY DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35501>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1657-1669 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1657-1669>)

Evelyn Aristie (search?authors=Evelyn Aristie) , Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih (search?authors=Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih)

page 1657-1669

 Abstract : 43 |  PDF : 68

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35501/21506>)

THE INFLUENCE OF PROFITABILITY, FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, AND CSR-D PRACTICES ON STOCK RETURN (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35502>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1670-1677 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1670-1677>)

Jessica Hannah Kamu (search?authors=Jessica Hannah Kamu) , Agustin Ekadjaja (search?authors=Agustin Ekadjaja)

page 1670-1677

 Abstract : 77 |  PDF : 55



PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35502/21507>)

THE EFFECT OF INSTITUTIONAL OWNERSHIP, BOARD SIZE, AND INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONER ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35503>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1678-1689 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1678-1689>)

Diana Kristiani (search?authors=Diana Kristiani) , Agustin Ekadjaja (search?authors=Agustin Ekadjaja)

page 1678-1689

 Abstract : 115 |  PDF : 94

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35503/21508>)

THE INFLUENCE OF PROFITABILITY, INSTITUTIONAL OWNERSHIP, AND MANAGERIAL OWNERSHIP ON STOCK RETURN IN THE ENERGY SECTOR (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35504>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1690-1701 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1690-1701>)

Jessica Verlyn Aurora (search?authors=Jessica Verlyn Aurora) , Agustin Ekadjaja (search?authors=Agustin Ekadjaja)

page 1690-1701

📄 Abstract : 76 | 📄 PDF : 105

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35504/21509>)

THE IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, PROFITABILITY AND LIQUIDITY ON DIVIDEND POLICY IN NON-CYCLICAL SECTOR COMPANIES LISTED ON THE INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE FOR THE 2021-2023 PERIOD (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35505>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1702-1713 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1702-1713>)

Emilie Monique Bonal (search?authors=Emilie Monique Bonal) , Linda Santioso (search?authors=Linda Santioso)

page 1702-1713

📄 Abstract : 71 | 📄 PDF : 66

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35505/21510>)

THE EFFECTS OF QUALITY, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND CAREER MOTIVATION ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS' INTEREST IN TAKING THE CPA EXAM (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35506>)

DOI : 10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1714-1734 (<https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v3i3.1714-1734>)

Jesselyn Oeyardi (search?authors=Jesselyn Oeyardi) , Yanti Yanti (search?authors=Yanti Yanti)

page 1714-1734

📄 Abstract : 68 | 📄 PDF : 47

PDF (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/article/view/35506/21511>)

View All Issues ➔ (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/issue/archive>)

TOOLS

use APA style, download here



(<https://csl.mendeley.com/styleInfo/>)

styleId=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.zotero.org%2Fstyles%2Fapa)

Template



(<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1b14ZM9LhreVEtxDg2HL4BRiXJLwnku2s/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=113036177340782085542&rtpof=true&sd=true>)

PROFILE MENU

Contact

Editorial Team (</index.php/ijaeb/about/editorialTeam>)

Focus and Scope (</index.php/ijaeb/about#focusAndScope>)

Indexing



(<https://statcounter.com/p12907097/summary/>)

View My Stats (<https://statcounter.com/p12844469/?guest=1>)

ISSN

ISSN 2987-1972



(<https://issn.brin.go.id/terbit/detail/20230426411707807>)

ISSN PUSAT NASIONAL ISSN INDONESIA (<https://issn.brin.go.id/terbit/detail/20230426411707807>)

Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP

(<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/about/aboutThisPublishingSystem>)



Home (<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/index>) / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Hetty Karunia Tunjungsari 

Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia



Executive Editor

Linda Linchin Lin 

Kunshan University, Taiwan

Lai Yin Ling 

INTI International University, Malaysia

Managing Editor

Cokki Cokki 

Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia

Teoh Ai Ping 

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia


Masmira Kurniawati 

Airlangga University, Indonesia

Section Editor

Galuh Mira Saktiana 

Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia

Halim Putera Siswanto 

Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia

TOOLS

use APA style, download here



([https://csl.mendeley.com/styleInfo/?](https://csl.mendeley.com/styleInfo/?styleId=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.zotero.org%2Fstyles%2Fapa)

[styleId=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.zotero.org%2Fstyles%2Fapa](https://csl.mendeley.com/styleInfo/?styleId=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.zotero.org%2Fstyles%2Fapa))

Template



([https://docs.google.com/document/d/1b14ZM9LhreVETxDg2HL4BRiXJLwnku2s/edit?](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1b14ZM9LhreVETxDg2HL4BRiXJLwnku2s/edit?usp=sharing&oid=113036177340782085542&rtpof=true&sd=true)

[usp=sharing&oid=113036177340782085542&rtpof=true&sd=true](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1b14ZM9LhreVETxDg2HL4BRiXJLwnku2s/edit?usp=sharing&oid=113036177340782085542&rtpof=true&sd=true))

PROFILE MENU

Contact

Editorial Team (</index.php/ijaeb/about/editorialTeam>)

Focus and Scope (</index.php/ijaeb/about#focusAndScope>)

Indexing



(<https://statcounter.com/p12907097/summary/>)

View My Stats (<https://statcounter.com/p12844469/?guest=1>)

ISSN

ISSN 2987-1972



(<https://issn.brin.go.id/terbit/detail/20230426411707807>)

9 772987 197004

Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP

(<https://journal.untar.ac.id/index.php/ijaeb/about/aboutThisPublishingSystem>)

THE EFFECT OF INTERNAL CONTROL AND INTERNAL AUDIT ON FRAUD PREVENTION IN PROCUREMENT OF GOODS/SERVICES IN THE GOVERNMENT OF ROKAN HULU DISTRICT

Urwatul Wutsqa¹, Andreas Bambang Daryatno^{2*}

¹ Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: u.wutsqa@gmail.com

² Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Tarumanagara, Surabaya, Indonesia*
Email: andreasb@fe.untar.ac.id

*Corresponding Author

Submitted: 11-06-2025, Revised: 29-06-2025, Accepted: 02-07-2025

ABSTRACT

Fraud in procurement of goods and services in government institutions is a wrong action and harms state finances and disrupts good procurement principles. This fraud can occur in various phases, starting from the planning, implementation, to supervision stages, and the results actually cause state losses and have a negative impact on society. The purpose of this study is to analyze the impact of internal control and internal audit with inspection to prevent fraud in government procurement of goods and services. This study uses primary data with all respondents of the regional workforce (SKPD). This involves the procurement of goods and services in the government regulated by the Rokan Hulu region. The sample selection technique in this study uses the technique targeted purposive sampling, and SPSS statistical tool for data analysis. The results of this study indicate that internal control and internal audit in fraud prevention affect the procurement of goods and services. The impact of this study is expected to be the basis for improving the internal control system and reducing the risk of irregularities in the procurement of goods and services by conducting internal audits.

Keywords: *Internal Control, Internal Audit, Prevention Fraud, Procurement of Government Goods or Services*

1. INTRODUCTION

State Procurement of Goods and Services (PBJ) is an activity of procuring goods and services by ministries or institutions or local apparatuses funded by the APBN or APBD, and is a process of identifying needs regarding the transfer of work results. PBJ plays an important role in implementing national development at the central and regional levels to improve public services and increase domestic economic development. The implementation of PBJ is based on the procurement of national goods and services in 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 12, in relation to the procurement of government goods and services. Related to Procurement of Government Goods and Services in Presidential Regulation 2018 Presidential Regulation 2018 Presidential Regulation 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. 2018 Presidential Regulation No. which is regulated under 16. PBJ using the APBN or APBD has a high risk of fraud or cheating.

Based on data from Indonesian Corruption Watch (ICW) during 2019 to 2023, the fraudulent modes in PBJ that often occurred included fictitious activities or projects, totaling 277 cases and mark up as many as 50 cases. Fictitious activities or projects usually involve several parties in the PBJ process, so that the fictitious activities can be hidden. Actions mark up usually done when compiling HPS by increasing the price. Meanwhile, based on data from the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK), during 2004 to 2023, out of 1,512 corruption

cases handled by the KPK, 339 of them were corruption cases of procurement of goods or services. Based on the Audit Result Report (LHP) of the Audit Board of Indonesia (BPK) for 2005 to 2024, it is known that there were 422 audit findings from the BPK audit in the Rokan Hulu Regency Government. Of that number, 102 findings were related to procurement of goods and services. The problems that often occur are lack of work volume, non-compliance with work specifications, and expensive prices.

Action fraud in PBJ this can occur because PBJ implementers have access and authority over the PBJ process, including: the freedom to manage procurement control procedures directly, direct access to information on the implementation of the PBJ process and, indirect access to information and limited authority to budget implementation, so that it can carry out limited intervention in budget implementation. This authority is often misused so that fraudulent acts occur for personal or group interests. Therefore, measures are needed to prevent fraudulent acts, including internal control and implementation of internal audits.

Internal control is one of the efforts made to ensure that the entity's objectives can be achieved. Internal control covers all entity activities and must be implemented by all personnel starting from the highest level to the lowest level personnel. Internal control that is planned, prepared, and implemented properly will minimize the risks faced by the organization, one of which is the risk of fraudulent actions. Fraud prevention through internal control can be done by reducing pressure, opportunities and improving individual morale at every level in the organization (Soleman, 2013). In addition, a comprehensive internal control system that is implemented thoroughly and regularly in monitoring the activities of an organization is an important step to maintain and detect the risk of loss that can be caused by fraud (Handoyo and Bayunitri, 2021). Almost the same as the purpose of internal control, internal audits are conducted to improve achievements or values and to improve organizational performance. Internal audits that are well designed and implemented according to standards and carried out by professional and ethically compliant internal auditors will result in quality control and assurance. The results of internal audits can be used by management to improve organizational performance and as a consideration for conducting evaluations if things are found that are not appropriate, including fraudulent actions or fraud. Implementation of internal audit will increase the effectiveness of fraud prevention through the planning stage, testing stage, and monitoring (Hakim and Suryatimur, 2022).

Based on the discussion above and the high level of deviations in the procurement of goods and services, the author is very interested in conducting research with the aim of providing empirical evidence of the influence of internal control and internal audits on prevention of fraud in the procurement of goods and services at the Rokan Hulu Regency Government.

Internal control

In general, local governments in Indonesia use internal control based on COSO theory where internal control is divided into five control components, namely Reporting Objectives, Control Environment, Risk Assessment, Information Systems, and Control and Monitoring Activities. According to Government Regulation (PP) Number 60 of 2008 concerning the Government Internal Control System (SIPI). SIPI aims to provide adequate assurance for the achievement of effectiveness and efficiency in achieving the objectives of state governance, reliability of financial reporting, security of state assets, and compliance with laws and regulations.

According to previous researchers, internal control is defined as an action taken by an organization that aims to provide assurance that the organization's goals are achieved properly, namely those related to processes, reporting, and compliance (Redding et al., 2013). These actions must be carried out by all personnel in the organization starting from the board of directors, management, and all other personnel to the lowest level, in accordance with their respective authorities. Meanwhile, according to Mayangsari & Wandanarum (2013), internal control is the use of all company resources to improve, direct, control and supervise various activities with the aim of ensuring that the company's goals are achieved.

Audit internal

Internal audit is an independent, objective assurance, and consulting activity designed to add value and improve the operations of an organization (Institute of Internal Auditors). Internal audit functions as an assessment that is free from any or anyone's influence in an organization, both to review, review, study, and assess company activities to provide suggestions and improvements to management so that their responsibilities can be carried out effectively. Internal audit aims to assist all management in carrying out their responsibilities by analyzing assessments, suggestions, and providing comments or recommendations on the activities they examine (Agoes, 2017). Internal auditors play an important role in supporting management in achieving superior company profits and improving company performance. Internal audit helps management achieve superior performance by presenting a systematic approach to assessing and improving the effectiveness of internal controls and providing explanations during evaluations (Apandi & Nasution, 2022)

Government procurement of goods and services

PBJ is regulated based on Presidential Regulation (Perpres) Number 16 of 2018 concerning Government Procurement of Goods/Services as amended by Presidential Regulation Number 12 of 2021 concerning Amendments to Presidential Regulation Number 16 of 2018 concerning Government Procurement of Goods/Services. Government Procurement of Goods/Services (PBJ) is an activity Procurement of Goods/Services by Ministries/Institutions/Regional Apparatus funded by the APBN/APBD, the process of which starts from identifying needs, to handover of work results. In terms of PBJ implementation, it can be divided into two, namely PBJ which is carried out independently and/or through providers, where in general the PBJ process consists of three main activities, namely Procurement Planning, Preparation for Procurement of Goods or Services and, Implementation of Procurement of Goods or Services. The PBJ process can be filled with various interests of each subject or party involved in the procurement of goods or services. For that reason, the entire procurement process of goods/services must run objectively and independently.

Fraud in procurement of goods and services

Fraud can be interpreted as a deviation that is carried out with an element of intent in doing so, with the aim of obtaining benefits intentionally, by abusing a job/position or stealing assets/resources in the organization. In the discussion about fraud there is a theory known as "The Fraud Triangle" (Singleton, 2010), namely the theory that states that action fraud is caused by three things: Pressure (pressure), Chance (opportunity) and, Justification for action (rationalization). Almost the same, according to Tuanakotta (2012), causes of action fraud is fraud by need, fraud by greed, And fraud by opportunity. In other words, if fraud wants to be eliminated then eliminate the causative factors fraud the.

ACFE classifies fraud in general in three forms of behavior fraud each of which has sub-forms fraud which is known by the term "Fraud Tree", that is: Asset Misappropriation, Fraudulent Statement And, Corruption/Corruption. If associated with the theory fraud tree by ACFE, fraud in PBJ activities is usually a form of asset misappropriation and corruption. Form fraud in PBJ can be a criminal act or not. Data on handling of corruption cases by the KPK from 2004 to 2023 shows that there were 339 cases of corruption in the procurement of goods or services in Indonesia involving both the government (central and regional) and other parties (private or companies). Forms fraud In PBJ, what commonly occurs includes fictitious procurement, mark up price, lack of work volume, and non-conformity of specifications with the contract. In addition, fraud which also happens in PBJ is the act of bribery, which is intended to influence decisions regarding the selection or determination of providers.

Prevention fraud in procurement of goods/services

An act of fraud is usually triggered by an opportunity or chance that the perpetrator feels can be exploited, therefore it is necessary to take action to prevent such an opportunity. According to Puspitasari and Lukman (2021), the opportunity for fraud increases when there is a combination of weak internal controls and authority and access to owned assets and information. Internal control in government is believed to be useful in helping to prevent the occurrence of fraud. In addition to internal control, one way to prevent and minimize fraud is to improve the implementation of internal audits (Handoyo and Bayunitri, 2021), where the implementation of internal audits that are well planned and carried out according to standards will prevent fraudulent actions from occurring fraud.

Based on the background and theoretical basis as explained above, the framework of thought in this research is:




Figure 1. Thinking Framework

The influence of internal control on prevention fraud

Internal control is one of the efforts made to ensure that the entity's objectives can be achieved. Internal control covers all entity activities and must be implemented by all personnel starting from the highest level to the lowest level personnel. Internal control that is planned, prepared, and implemented properly will minimize the risks faced by the organization, one of which is the risk of fraudulent actions. Several previous studies on the influence of internal control on preventing criminal acts fraud Among them, Soleman (2013) showed that Internal control has a positive effect on prevention fraud, where fraud prevention is carried out through internal control by reducing pressure, opportunities and improving individual morale at every level in the organization. The same thing is shown by the results of research by Handoyo and Bayunitri (2021) that internal control has an effect on prevention fraud, where a comprehensive internal control system is implemented comprehensively and regularly in monitoring the activities of an organization, is an important step to maintain and detect the risk of loss that can be caused by fraud. Based on the description, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: Internal control has a positive effect on prevention efforts fraud procurement of goods or services.

The impact of internal audit on prevention fraud

Internal audits are conducted to improve achievement or value and to improve organizational performance. Internal audits that are well designed and implemented according to standards and carried out by professional and ethically compliant internal auditors will result in quality control and assurance. Internal audit results can be used by management to improve organizational performance and as a consideration for conducting evaluations if inappropriate matters are found, including fraudulent actions or fraud. The results of previous research on the influence of internal audits on prevention fraud, as conducted by Handoyo and Bayunitri (2021) which shows that internal audits have an effect on fraud prevention, where one way to prevent and minimize fraud is to improve the implementation of internal audits. Likewise, research by Hakim and Suryatimur (2022) shows that internal audit increases the effectiveness of fraud prevention through the planning stage, testing stage, and monitoring. Meanwhile, research by Lonto et al. (2023) shows that the higher the independence of the internal auditor function, the better the audit quality and the impact on the effectiveness of internal audit in preventing fraud. Based on this description, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H2: Internal audit has a positive effect on prevention efforts fraud procurement of goods/services.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

Method study

The population in this study was all State Civil Apparatus (ASN) of Regional Work Units (SKPD) within the Rokan Hulu Regency Government, while the sample used was ASN involved in PBJ activities in 29 SKPDs and internal auditors at the Rokan Hulu Regency Inspectorate. The sampling method used in this study was the method purposive sampling, based on the following criteria:

- 1) ASN involved in PBJ activities in SKPD with significant budget and realization;
- 2) ASN involved in PBJ activities in SKPD which were previously found in the BPK LHP;
- 3) ASN who are auditors at the Inspectorate who have experience conducting audits for at least 2 years.

Data collection techniques

The data collected in this study were primary data using questionnaires to respondents who met the sample criteria. The questionnaire was distributed via google form. Measurement of each variable using a Likert scale. According to Sekaran and Bougie (2017), likert scale designed to examine how strongly subjects agree or disagree with statements on the following scale:

Table 1. Likert scale

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4

Data analysis techniques

Data analysis is a systematically arranged stage in searching and finding field notes, interview transcripts, and other materials collected by researchers. Through this technique, researchers are expected to be able to present data systematically and gain additional knowledge from the data studied so that they can reach accurate conclusions.

Research instrument test

Research instrument testing is done to measure and ensure good and appropriate measuring instruments. Research instruments are tools or facilities used to collect data so that they can work more efficiently, the results can be good, and therefore easier to process. Research instrument testing is as follows:

1) Validity test

Validity test is a research instrument used to estimate what will be measured. A measuring instrument is said to be valid if the instrument in question can measure accurately. Validity testing is carried out using the r table at a level of 0.05. If $r_{\text{count}} > r_{\text{table}}$ then it can be declared valid and vice versa if $r_{\text{count}} < r_{\text{table}}$ then the question item or statement on the questionnaire is asked invalid.

2) Reliability test

Reliability test is a measure of the stability and persistence or consistency of respondents in answering questions related to research variables presented in the form of statements and compiled into a questionnaire or survey. Reliability test can be measured using statistics with techniques Cronbach's Alpha with an alpha criterion of 0.60. When the value alpha or $R_{\text{count}} > 0.60$ then the variable instrument is declared reliable, and vice versa if the value alpha or $R_{\text{count}} < 0.60$ then the variable instrument is not reliable. So the variable instrument can be said to be reliable when tested and still produces the same data.

Classical assumption test

1) Normality test

Normality test is a test used to test whether the residual value of the regression result is normally distributed or not. The regression model is declared good if the residual value produced is normally distributed. There are two methods to see whether the residual is normally distributed or not, namely as follows:

a. Method graphics

Using the graphical method, the normality test can be seen by looking at the distribution of data on the diagonal source of the P-P normal graph. Plot of regression standardized residual. The final result or final decision is if the points are spread around the line and follow the diagonal line, then the residual value is said to be normal.

b. Test method one sample kolmogorov smirnov

Test method One Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov used to determine whether the data distribution is normal, poisson, uniform, or exponential. The residual is said to be normal if the significant value is more than 0.05.

Multicollinearity test

Multicollinearity test is used to determine whether or not there is a correlation between independent variables in a regression model. If there is a correlation between independent variables, then a multicollinearity problem is found. In a regression model, it is said to be good if there is no correlation between independent variables. Multicollinearity testing is done by understanding the VIF value of the model obtained based on output multiple linear regression. Measurement of multicollinearity test, namely if the tolerance value is greater than 0.10 and the VIF value is below 10 ($VIF < 10$), then the regression model does not show symptoms of multicollinearity, and vice versa if the VIF value > 10 then the regression model shows symptoms of multicollinearity. heteroscedasticity. In this study, the heteroscedasticity test uses a graphical method test, namely by looking at the points of the regression graph and the glejser test. The heteroscedasticity test can be measured through a regression model test

which if it shows a significant constant value > 0.05 then it is declared to have passed the test and there is no heteroscedasticity or is valid to be used as a prediction tool. And vice versa, if the regression model test shows a significant value < 0.05 then it is declared to have failed the test or there is heteroscedasticity and is not valid to be used as a prediction tool.

Heteroscedasticity test

The heteroscedasticity test is a test done to find out if there is a search inequality from the residuals of the linear regression model that has been observed. The regression equation is said to be good when there are no symptoms

Multiple Linear Regression

In regression analysis, variables are divided into two groups, namely independent variables (free variables) as predictive variables that are more than one in number which are generally symbolized by (X1 and X2) and dependent variables (bound variables) as response variables symbolized by (Y). The regression equation in this study can be formulated as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2 X_2 + e$$

Information:

- Y = dependent variable (Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services)
- a = Constant
- b1, b2 = Regression coefficient
- X1 = Internal Control
- X2 = Audit Internal
- e = Standard error

Model test (f test)

The f test is used to see whether the regression model used has an effect on the dependent variable or not. If the significant number of the f test < 0.05 then the research hypothesis is accepted, meaning that there is an effect or relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable. Conversely, if the significant number of the f test > 0.05 then the hypothesis is rejected, meaning that there is no significant effect or relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable. Then it can also be seen from comparing the calculated f value with the table f value. If the calculated f $< f$ table then H0 accepted, and H1 is rejected, and if the calculated f value $>$ tabular f then H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted.

Hypothesis Testing (t-Test)

To test H1 and H2 conducted with the t-test, namely to see whether the independent variable has a partial or individual effect on the dependent variable. The level of significance of the t-test uses a significance of 5% (0.05). If the significance value ≤ 0.05 means that the research hypothesis is accepted, because the independent variable or independent variable has a partial effect on the dependent variable or dependent variable. Conversely, if the significance value of the t-test ≥ 0.05 then the research hypothesis is rejected, because the independent variable does not have a partial effect on the dependent variable. Then it can also be seen from when t count $>$ t table it means Ha accepted and H0 rejected. On the other hand when t count $<$ t table it means Ha rejected and H0 accepted. with paired sample t-test. Previously, a normality test was carried out. The normality test was carried out using a statistical test. Kolmogorov-Smirnov. Data is said to be normally distributed if the Z values skewness and Zkurtosis is between the critical values of -2.58 and 2.58 (at $\alpha=0.01$) or between -1.96 and 1.96 (at $\alpha=0.05$) (Ghozali, 2005).

Test Coefficient Determination (R²)

The coefficient of determination test (R²) is a test used to determine the extent of the contribution of the independent variable or free variable to the dependent variable or dependent variable. The higher the coefficient of determination test, the higher the influence on the dependent variable. The value of the coefficient of determination is between 0 (zero) and 1 (one). If the value of the coefficient of determination approaches 1 (one), then the influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable is stronger. This means that all independent variables have the data needed by researchers to guess the dependent variable. Meanwhile, if the value of the coefficient of determination is small, approaching 0 (zero) or less than one, then the ability to explain the influence or changes in the dependent variable is limited.

The coefficient of determination test is used to determine how much the independent variable contributes to the dependent variable. In this study, the coefficient of determination test is used to determine how much the independent variable, namely knowledge and policy, contributes to the dependent variable, namely the implementation of green banking behavior. The following is the formula for the coefficient of determination test:

$$R^2 = r^2 \times 100\%$$

Information:

R² = Coefficient of Determination

r² = Correlation coefficient

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 2. Normality Test Results *One Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test*

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test		Unstandardized Residual
N		80
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	1.92245727
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.080
	Positive	.080
	Negative	-.074
Test Statistic		.080
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.200 ^{c,d}
a. Test distribution is Normal.		
b. Calculated from data.		
c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.		
d. This is a lower bound of the true significance.		

Based on the results of the data test above, it appears that the significant value *Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed)* is 0.200 > 0.05, so it is in accordance with the basis for decision making in the normality test *Kolmogorof-Smirnov* then it can be concluded that the data is normally distributed.

Multicollinearity Test

Multicollinearity test, namely if the tolerance value is greater than 0.10 and VIF is below 10 (VIF < 10), then the regression model does not show symptoms of multicollinearity.

Table 3. Multicollinearity Test Results

Coefficients ^a							
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1 (Constant)	9.557	4.256		2.245	.028		
X1	.425	.057	.625	7.498	.000	.483	2.070
X2	.197	.056	.294	3.534	.001	.483	2.070

a. Dependent Variable: Y

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the tolerance value in this study is greater than 0.10 and the VIF value is below 10 which meets the requirements of good multicollinearity. So it can be concluded that in the variables of Internal Control (X1) and Internal Audit (X2) there are no symptoms of multicollinearity.

Heteroscedasticity test

In this study, the heteroscedasticity test was carried out using the test glazes. In heteroscedasticity testing using the test glazes that is, if the significance value > 0.05 then there is no symptom of heteroscedasticity, and vice versa if the significance value < 0.05 then there is a symptom of heteroscedasticity. A good regression model is if there is no heteroscedasticity. The heteroscedasticity test in this study can be presented as follows:

Table 4. Heteroscedasticity Test

Coefficients ^a					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1 (Constant)	1.151	2.984		.386	.701
X1	.005	.040	.020	.123	.902
X2	-.002	.039	-.009	-.057	.955

a. Dependent Variable: ABS_RES

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the significance value of the variable, namely Internal Control (X1) is 0.902 and the significance value of the Internal Audit variable (X2) is 0.955, which means it is greater than 0.05. So it can be concluded that there is no heteroscedasticity symptom in both variables.

Multiple linear regression analysis

Results Multiple linear regression using the SPSS test tool as follows:

Table 5. Multiple Linear Regression

Coefficients ^a							
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1 (Constant)	9.557	4.256		2.245	.028		
X1	.425	.057	.625	7.498	.000	.483	2.070
X2	.197	.056	.294	3.534	.001	.483	2.070

a. Dependent Variable: Y

Based on the multiple linear regression table above, it can be seen that the results of the regression analysis obtained a constant value of 9.557, a coefficient for the Internal Audit

variable (X1) of 0.425 and an Internal Control variable (X2) of 0.197. Based on these results, the regression equation model obtained is as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + e$$

$$Y = 9.557 + 0.425 X_1 + 0.197 X_2 + e$$

Based on the multiple linear regression equation model above, it can be interpreted as follows:

- 1) The constant value (a) of 9.557 means that if the Internal Control variable (X1) and the Internal Audit variable (X2) have a value of zero, then the Fraud Prevention variable for Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) has a value of 9.557.
- 2) The coefficient value (b₁) Internal Control regression (X1) is 0.425, meaning that if the Internal Control regression coefficient (X1) increases by one unit, the Goods/Services Procurement Fraud Prevention variable (Y) will increase by 0.0425 units.
- 3) The coefficient value (b₂) Internal Audit regression (X2) is 0.197, meaning that if the Internal Audit regression coefficient (X2) increases by one unit, the Goods/Services Procurement Fraud Prevention variable (Y) will increase by 0.197 units.

Model test (f test)

ResultsThe F test uses the SPSS test tool as follows:

Table 6. Results of the f-test

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	838.228	2	419.114	110.531	.000 ^b
	Residual	291.972	77	3.792		
	Total	1130.200	79			

a. Dependent Variable: Y
 b. Predictors: (Constant), X2, X1

Based on the table above, the significance value of the variables Internal Audit (X1) and Internal Control (X2) on the Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) is $0.000 < 0.005$ and the calculated f value is $110.531 > 3.12$. This means that there is a positive and significant influence between the variables Internal Control (X1) and Internal Audit (X2) on the Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) together or simultaneously.

Hypothesis test (t-test)

Based on the results of data processing using the SPSS test tool, the following t-test results were obtained:

Table 7. t-Test Results

Coefficients ^a					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1 (Constant)	9.557	4.256		2.245	.028
X1	.425	.057	.625	7.498	.000
X2	.197	.056	.294	3.534	.001

a. Dependent Variable: Y

Referring to the table above, each variable of the t-test can be explained as follows:

The Influence of Internal Control (X1) on Fraud Prevention in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y)

Based on the table above, the significance value of the Internal Control variable (X1) on the Fraud Prevention variable for Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) is $0.000 < 0.05$ and the calculated t value is known to be $7.498 > 0.67773$, meaning that Internal Control (X1) has a positive and significant effect on Fraud Prevention for Procurement of Goods/Services (Y), so it can be concluded that H_1 accepted. These results are in accordance with research by Hermiyetti (2010) and Hidayati and Mulyadi (2017) which show that internal control has a significant effect on the occurrence of fraud procurement of goods/services. This means that well-designed and implemented internal control will increase the prevention of fraud in procurement of goods/services.

The Influence of Internal Audit (X2) on Fraud Prevention in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y)

Based on the table above, the significance value of Internal Audit (X2) on the variable of Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) is $0.001 < 0.05$ and the calculated t value is $3.534 > 0.67773$, meaning that Internal Audit (X2) has a positive and significant effect on Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y), so it can be concluded that H_2 accepted. These results are consistent with the theory in Handoyo and Bayunitri's research (2021) which shows that internal audits have an effect on fraud prevention, where one way to prevent and minimize fraud is to improve the implementation of internal audits. This means that the implementation of internal audits that are well planned and carried out according to standards can help prevent fraud in procurement of goods/services.

Determinant Coefficient Test (R2)

Based on the results of data processing using the SPSS test tool, the results of the determination coefficient (R2) test were as follows:

Table 8. Determinant Coefficient (R²)

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.861 ^a	.742	.735	1.947

a. Predictors: (Constant), X2, X1

Based on the table above, the R square value is 0.742, this means that the variables of Internal Control (X1) and Internal Audit (X2) are able to influence the Prevention of Fraud in Procurement of Goods/Services (Y) by 74.2%, while the remaining 25.8% is influenced by other variables that were not examined in this study. In other words, prevention efforts fraud in the procurement of goods/services, this can be done by implementing internal control and internal audits.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the research results above, it can be concluded that internal control and internal audit have a positive impact on prevention efforts fraud in government procurement of goods/services, with the following results:

H1: Internal control has a positive effect on prevention fraud. This means that well-designed and implemented internal controls will increase the prevention of fraud occurring in procurement of goods/services. These results are in accordance with research by Hermiyetti (2010) and Hidayati and Mulyadi (2017).

H2: Internal audit has a positive effect on prevention fraud. This means that the implementation of internal audits that are well planned and carried out according to standards can help with prevention efforts in procurement of goods/services. Handoyo and Bayunitri's research (2021) shows that internal audits have an impact on fraud prevention, where one way to prevent and minimize fraud is to improve the implementation of internal audits.

This study is limited to testing only two variables that influence prevention efforts in procurement of goods/services. Therefore, the researcher suggests that further research examines other variables that may have an influence on prevention fraud in government procurement of goods/services, for example organizational culture, application of laws and regulations, moral and religious value factors, and so on.

The results of this study are expected to provide an overview or basis for designing an action to reduce or even prevent the occurrence of fraud in government procurement of goods/services, especially for government elements that are directly related to the implementation of procurement activities of goods/services. In addition, this research is expected to add to the literature in similar research.

REFERENCES

- Agoes, S. (2017). *Auditing: Petunjuk praktis pemeriksaan akuntan oleh akuntan publik*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Apandi, A., & Nasution, N. (2022). Peran audit internal terhadap efektivitas pengendalian intern perolehan aset tetap (Studi kasus BPRS Harta Insan Karimah Bekasi). *Jurnal Akuntansi STEI Indonesia*, 5(2), 1–8.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2018). *Report to the nations: 2018 global study on occupational fraud and abuse – Asia-Pacific edition*.
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). (1994). *Internal control – Integrated framework*. New York: AICPA's Publication Division.
- Handoyo, B. R. M., & Bayunitri, B. I. (2021). The influence of internal audit and internal control toward fraud prevention accounting department. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v3i1>.
- Hakim, L. N., & Suryatimur, K. P. (2022). Efektivitas peran audit internal dalam pencegahan fraud. *JIAKES*, 10(3), 523–532.
- Hermiyetti. (2010). Pengaruh penerapan pengendalian internal terhadap pencegahan fraud pengadaan barang. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing*, 14(2).
- Hidayati, N., & Mulyadi, J. M. V. (2017). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi fraud dalam kegiatan pengadaan barang. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Perpajakan (JRAP)*, 4(2).
- Lonto, M. P., Sukoharsono, E. G., Baridwan, Z., & Prihatiningtias, Y. W. (2023). The effectiveness of internal audit for fraud prevention. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal (AABFJ)*, 17(3).
- Mayangsari, & Wandanarum. (2013). *Auditing: Pendekatan sektor publik dan privat*. Jakarta: Media Bangsa.

- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia. (2008). Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 60 Tahun 2008 tentang Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah.
- Presiden Republik Indonesia. (2018). Peraturan Presiden Nomor 16 Tahun 2018 tentang Pengadaan Barang/Jasa Pemerintah.
- Presiden Republik Indonesia. (2021). Peraturan Presiden Nomor 12 Tahun 2021 tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Presiden Nomor 16 Tahun 2018 tentang Pengadaan Barang/Jasa Pemerintah.
- Puspitasari, M., & Lukman, R. P. (2021). Peluang fraud pejabat pembuat komitmen (PPK) atas pengadaan barang dan jasa pemerintahan. *Conference on Economic and Business Innovation Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 1(1).
- Redding, K. F., Sobel, P. J., & Head, M. J. (2013). *Internal auditing: Assurance & advisory services* (3rd ed.). United States of America: The Institute of Internal Auditors Research Foundation (IIARF).
- Singleton, T. W. (2010). *Fraud auditing and forensic accounting* (4th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Soleman, R. (2013). Pengaruh pengendalian internal dan good corporate governance terhadap pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia (JAAI)*, 17(1), 57–74.
- Tuanakotta, T. M. (2012). *Akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.