



Certificate No: BPI/PR/Cert/7103B /BEN

B P International



Certificate of Excellence in Peer-Reviewing

awarded to

BENNY DJAJA

UNIVERSITAS TARUMANAGARA, INDONESIA

in recognition of an outstanding contribution to the quality of the book.

Date: 16-Dec-2023

B P International
Offices:

India: Guest House Road, Street no - 1/6, Hooghly, West Bengal, India (Reg. Address),
Diamond Heritage Building, 16, Strand Road, Kolkata, 700001 West Bengal, India (Corporate Address),
Tele: +91 7439016438 | +91 9748770553, Email: director@bookpi.org (Headquarters)

UK: 27 Old Gloucester Street London WC1N 3AX, UK, Fax: +44 20-3031-1429,
Email: director@bookpi.org (Branch office)
Website: www.bookpi.org

(Dr. M. Basumondal)
Chief Managing Editor
B P International

Research Article

LAND RESOURCE CHARACTERIZATION, SOIL RESOURCE MAPPING FOR FARM LEVEL LAND USE PLANNING – A CASE STUDY IN UPPER GANGETIC PLAINS

Abstract: Land resource characterization and detailed inventorization of soil resources for farm planning was carried out in block level in Haryana as a case study in upper Gangetic plains. Satellite data (IRS-P6, LISS-IV) interpretation in conjunction with legacy data and cadastral maps was undertaken using latest techniques identified broad physiographic units and generated base map for soil survey. Eleven soil series along with eighteen mapping units were identified as phases of soils series in six broad physiographic units. Soil-physiographic relationship was established during detailed soil resource mapping. Soils occur on old alluvial plain are very deep, well drained to moderately well drained, calcareous, loam/clay loam and classified as Fine loamy, Typic Haplustepts /Typic Haplustalfs, while on old alluvial plain with high water table (Salt affected soils) are very deep, imperfectly to poorly drained, calcareous, clay loam, saline-sodic in nature (Fine loamy, Natric Haplustepts/ Typic Halaquepts). Soils on very gently sloping reclaimed sand dunes are sandy/loamy sand, excessively to somewhat drained (Typic Ustipsamments). Soils were characterized, classified for their constraints/potentials and suitability for different uses were identified. The majority of soils are moderately alkaline, slightly saline, high in lime content, and low to medium in available nutrients. Salinity/sodicity, drainage, erosion and low fertility are the major constraints in the region. Resource database generated were interpreted and integrated in Geographical Information System (GIS) for several thematic information viz., landform, land use/land cover, soils, drainage, particle size, and soil salinity/sodicity. Suitable land use options were suggested for each parcel of land by considering its constraints/potentialities. Village level site-specific database was generated for farm planning which could be easily applicable for land use and management strategies in similar area of upper Gangetic plain.

Keywords: land resource characterization, soil resource mapping, Farm planning

Introduction

The land resources of the Upper Gangetic plain particularly in Haryana state are under severe strain due to competing demands of various land uses and increasing population pressure. The ever-increasing food requirement demands optimum utilization of land resources. The challenge before us is not only to increase the productivity per unit area but also to reduce the severity of degradation [1]. The situation needs immediate attention and correction. The problems and issues are site-specific and can be addressed effectively by having a thorough knowledge of the situation, and evolving location-specific solution [2]. This calls for detailed site-specific database on various land resources of the state in a specified period of time. The information available at present for most of the land resources is at smaller scale and not site or location specific. The site-specific farm level information on soil and other land resources can easily identify the constraints and potentials. This will help in evolving a rational, site-specific and viable land use options suitable for each and every farm and managing the scarce resources in a sustainable manner [3,4]. It will also help most of the developmental activities at district/state level. In central Haryana, the agrarian front is faced with multitudes of problems like water logging, salinity/sodicity, low fertility, mono-cropping system, fragmentations, declining factor productivity. [5,6] and soil erosion for the past few decades [7]. There has been an alarming rise in the water table during past two decades in some parts, and decline of water table reported in some other parts of the state [8]. The causative factors for the above problems exist at the grassroots level. The soil information of some districts of Haryana is available on small scale (1:50, 000) which do not seem to be effective for devising suitable land use plan for micro-level variations and the information on

detailed scale (1:12,500) is almost non-existent. Keeping this in view, the present study was undertaken to generate the detailed database of existing land resources and mapping of soils by using remote sensing and GIS techniques, to identify the problems and potentials of the area for various uses and to suggest sustainable land use options suitable for each and every land holding for achieving sustainable crop production, management and conservation of vital natural resources.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The case study was carried out as a representative site of upper Gangetic alluvial plain in Lakhan Majra block, Tahsil & district Rohtak, Haryana. It is bounded by districts Sonapat in North, Jind in North-west; tahsil Maham in west and is situated 15 km away from district head quarters Rohtak. General topography is inland drainage basin (Saucer shape topography) [8]. Sand dunes and reclaimed sand dunes are present in some parts of study area. The climate is semi-arid sub-tropical with dry summer and cold winters. Mean annual rainfall is 528 mm and maximum rainfall is recorded between the months of July to September brought by south west monsoon. Maximum temperature ranges between 40 to 45°C and minimum 3 to 6°C. The mean annual temperature is 24.5°C. The estimated mean annual summer temperature (MAST) is 29°C and mean annual winter temperature (MAWT) is 15°C. The relative humidity remains low up to 60 % during summer months but during rainy season it is recorded as high as up to >90%. The length of growing period (LGP), which indicates the availability of water for plant growth, is about three months in a year [Fig-1]. It starts from the end of July (33rd week) and continues up to the end of October (46th week).

However, the probability of getting the mean rainfall is only about 40 percent. Therefore, LGP remains less than 120 days in five out of 10 years in the area.




Fig-1 Water balance diagram, Lakhan Majra, Haryana

Methodology

Resource characterization for farm level planning was carried out by detailed characterization and mapping of all the existing land resources. Crops and cropping pattern data were collected from statistical departments [5] and village records. Soil resource characterisation and mapping was carried out by adopting the latest available procedures [10,11] by conducting detailed soil survey on 1:12,500 scale by using base maps prepared from Survey of India toposheets, cadastral maps (1:2640 and 1:4000 scale), demarcating field boundaries, Khasara/field numbers and interpretation of remote sensing data. The LANDSAT-LISS-IV, IRS-P6 satellite data was interpreted visually as well as digital analysis in conjunction with ground truth for identification of landform and land use using photo-interpretation key. Physiographic units were delineated. Google-earth data was also used for identification of landforms and land use. After ground truth, soil survey work was carried out by studying representative pedons (soil profiles) in different physiographic units for morphological properties, and horizon-wise soil samples were collected from representative soil profiles for laboratory characterization. Soils were grouped into different soil series and mapped as phases of soil series [12]. Soil samples were analyzed for physico-chemical properties by following standard procedures [13-15]. The soils were classified according to Soil Taxonomy [16]. On the basis of landform analysis, field surveys and laboratory studies, the soil resource map of Lakhan Majra Block was prepared on 1:12,500 scale. The soils were classified under different land capability as per standard procedures [17, 18]. The soil and climatic data were assessed to work out the suitability of soils for dominant crops grown in the area following a parametric approach [19]. The soil and other maps thus prepared were digitized using the ARC-GIS Software. Each mapping unit has been evaluated and interpreted for land capability, suitability for land use, fertility status of soils and generated information were integrated for suggesting optimum land use options for each parcel of land and maps were generated under GIS environment.

Results

Resource Characterization

Geologically the study area is tract of Indo-Gangetic alluvial plain ranging from Pleistocene to Recent in age with gentle slopes [20]. The general direction of the slope is from south west to north east and from north-west to south east. The elevation ranges from 219 to 228 m above mean sea level (MSL) [8]. The area is a part of inland drainage basin. Because of gently sloping to nearly level topography the drain water from higher elevation creates flood problems in the lower areas during rainy season. However, in order to avoid floods, drains have been dug out in these areas.

Physiography

The general physiography of the Block is nearly level to gently sloping old alluvial plain and gently sloping aeolian deposits (Sand dunes) in some localized areas.

The major physiographic units delineated are undulating sand dunes; very gently sloping reclaimed sand dunes; very gently sloping to nearly level upland plain; nearly level old alluvial plain; level old alluvial plain with high water table (saline patches); level old alluvial plain with concave relief (low-lying). The physiography map of the block is shown in the [Fig-2].




Fig-2 Physiography map

Land use / Land cover

Major land use has been identified after interpretation of remote sensing data coupled with field checks. About 89.6 % area is under intensive cultivation and about 8.3% area is under miscellaneous use while negligible area is under pasture/scrub lands. At present, no fallow lands occur in the study area while the cultivation of single cropping is also observed in the scraped/ stabilized sand dune areas. Some patches of dunes have been put under social forestry plantation. The natural vegetation is sparse, comprising few tree species, shrubs and herbs. The 2.2 % area is under cultivable wasteland mostly covered by bushy salt affected lands or sandy patches. The entire area is under cultivation of double cropping under assured irrigation. The net area sown is 6430 hectare (86.8 %),

Crops and cropping pattern

Rice-wheat/mustard, rice/pulses-sugarcane, pearl millet/pulses-wheat are the dominant cropping systems of the Block. Wheat, mustard and sugarcane are main *rabi* crops sown in the area while, paddy, pigeonpea, bajra, and sorghum are main *Kharif* crops. Vegetables like cauliflower, cabbage, bottle guards, lady finger, and tomato are grown in patches. Fodder crops and barley are also sown in patches. Few orchards of guava, amla (Gooseberry) and ber (*Zizyus*) are also found in packets. In upland areas of study area sorghum, pearl millet and cotton are the main crops.

Soil resources

Detailed soil resource inventory of the block was carried out and in total eleven soil series were identified and mapped into 18 mapping units as phases of soil series [Fig-3]. Soils occurring on undulating sand dunes are sandy, excessively drained and classified as Typic Ustipsamments. Loamy sand, somewhat excessively drained soils occur on very gently sloping reclaimed sand dunes (Typic Ustipsamments). These soils are neutral in reaction with low cation exchange capacity (CEC), and organic carbon [Table-1]. Very deep, well drained, calcareous, sandy loam soils (Coarse loamy, Typic Calcustepts/Typic Haplustepts) occur on old alluvial upland plain while soils on nearly level old alluvial plain are very deep, well drained to moderately well drained, calcareous, loam/clay loam and classified as Fine loamy, Typic Haplustepts/Typic Haplustafts. These soils are good in nutrient status.




Fig-3 Soil map of Lakhan Majra Block, Tahsil & District Rohtak, Haryana



Waterlogged soils in the study area



Salt affected soils in the study area

Soils of old alluvial plain with high water table (Salt affected soils) are very deep, imperfectly drained, calcareous, clay loam, saline-sodic in nature (Fine loamy, Natric Haplustepts) and very deep, poorly drained, calcareous, loam, saline soils (Fine loamy, Typic Halaquepts). These soils have gleyed color or characteristics associated with wetness such as mottling and Fe-Mn nodules, very strongly alkaline (pH >9) and moderate to strongly saline/sodic in nature. These soils have low permeability but high available water capacity, low in organic carbon as well as CEC. Soils of old alluvial plain with concave relief (low lying lands) are very deep, imperfectly drained, dark grayish brown, clay loam in texture and strongly alkaline (pH 8.9) and classified as Aquic Haplustepts. The lime nodules and Fe-Mn nodules are present in the soil control section due to stagnation of water and low permeability. These soils have gleyed colours or characteristics associated with wetness such as mottling and Fe-Mn nodules and are affected by slight salinity and sodicity. The available water capacity is high but low to medium in organic carbon and CEC.

Soil Degradation

The soil resource data and its interpretation indicated that about 8 % area has moderate to severe problem of soil erosion which is confined to undulating sand dunes and reclaimed sand dune areas respectively and need intensive reclamation measures like scrapping, land shaping, land leveling, bunding etc., to check soil erosion . Nearly 5.4 and 18.4% area are very strongly and strongly alkaline in nature whereas nearly 14% of TGA is affected by strong salinity and 5.4 % is moderately saline/moderately sodic. Saline groundwater is mainly responsible for development of salinity/sodicity by increasing salt concentration within the root zone through capillary rise during dry climate. These areas need addition of amendments like FYM and green manures to improve physical condition of soils. Soils of nearly 22 % of TGA are suffering from imperfect to poor drainage conditions. About 10.2 % area is affected by seasonal water logging due to presence of low-lying lands and some areas are also affected by fluctuating water table or persistence of high water table.

Land capability classification

The soil resource data have been interpreted for land capability classes. The land capability classification is an interpretative grouping of different soils mainly on the basis of inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environment factors. According to USDA, [17, 18] land capability classification (LCC) land is divided into eight classes from I to VIII and the classes may further be divided into subclasses depending on the number and severity of limitations. These limitations include erosion (e), wetness (w), soil root zone (s) and climate (c). The land capability grouping, their description and extent of occurrence are presented in [Table-2] and [Fig-4]. Nearly 50 % lands are grouped into class II, 32 % lands into class III, 13 % lands into class IV and 1 % into class VI. Soil salinity/sodicity, drainage and erosion are the major problems of the soil. The land capability classification serves as an important tool in land-use planning to show the relative suitability for soils for cultivation of crops, horticulture, pastures, forestry in addition to focusing the problems which need preventive measures [21-23].

Land Suitability

Land suitability analysis revealed that soils of old alluvial plains (30% area) are best suitable for agri-horti/floriculture with crops like wheat, potato, oilseeds, pulses [22, 23]. About 13 % lands (saline-sodic soils) suited for agri-pasture, paddy may be rotated with salt tolerant crops like barley, oat, mustard and forage crops. Nearly, 6 % area is marginally suitable lands (strongly saline) are best suited for silvi-pasture and salt tolerant tree species [21]. The salt affected wastelands may be the best option for non-agricultural uses and 10 % area under low-lying lands and in the vicinity of canals is suitable for Agri-floriculture. Rice-based cropping system is encouraged in these areas with oilseed, vegetable and floriculture in *rabi* seasons on residual moisture. Undulating sand dune areas (3%) may be stabilized by putting under silvi-pasture/horti-pasture. The reclaimed sand dune (5%) areas may be preferred to agro-forestry. Crops like *Kharif* pulses, oilseeds, vegetables and fodder crops can be grown. The viable and sustainable land use options were demarcated in [Fig-5].




Fig-4 Land capability map




Fig-5 Suggested land use map

Table-2 Land capability classification, area and extent

Land capability sub class	Description	Soil Units	Area ha (%)
IIc	Very good cultivable lands. All climatically adapted crops can be grown under irrigation	9, 10	1779(24.0)
IIse	Good cultivable lands include coarse loamy soils with slight erosion and low moisture and nutrient retentivity	7, 8	1465(19.8)
IIsw	Good cultivable lands include fine loamy soils with moderate problem of drainage and salinity	11	424(5.7)
IIIse	Moderately good cultivable lands include coarse loamy soils with slight erosion, presence of calcic layer, low moisture and nutrients retentivity	5, 6	570(7.7)
IIIws	Moderately good cultivable lands include fine loamy soils but crop choice is restricted due to waterlogging and stagnation of water in rainy season and slight problem of salinity/sodicity	17, 18	756(10.2)
IIIsw	Moderately good cultivable lands with fine loamy soils, imperfect drainage and moderate salinity/sodicity. Need drainage and gypsum application	12, 13, 14	1008(13.6)
IVsw	Fairly good lands with fine loamy soils. Soils have severe problem of drainage, strong salinity and need reclamation measures for cultivation of crops	15, 16	459(6.2)
IVse	Fairly good cultivable lands include sandy soils with problem of high permeability, very low AWC, low fertility and slight to moderate erosion	3, 4	384(5.2)
IVes	Fairly good lands with sandy soils having problem of moderate erosion, and droughtiness. Marginally suitable for crop cultivation and can be done only after leveling. Suitable for horti-pasture /agro-forestry	2	141(1.9)
Vles	Land unsuitable for arable farming including sandy soils with problem of severe erosion. Suitable for social forestry/ pasture /grazing	1	49(0.7)

Table-3 Physico-chemical properties of soils

Layers	Particle size distribution (%)			Textu- ralclass	Calcareous ess	Organic Carbon (%)	pH (1:2.5 H ₂ O)	EC* (dSm ⁻¹)	CEC* Cmol(p+)-kg ⁻¹	ESP* (%)	BS *(%)
	Sand (0.05-2.0mm)	Silt (0.02-0.05mm)	Clay (<0.02mm)								
Soils on sand dunes											
<i>(Tatauli - I –sandy, Typic Ustipsamments)</i>											
Surface	89.0	5.5	5.5	s	-	0.08	7.5	0.32	4.0	2	85
Sub-surface	92.80	4.43	2.8	s	-	0.04	7.3	0.24	1.7	4	86
Soils on reclaimed sand dunes											
<i>(NandalSeries -sandy, Typic Ustipsamments)</i>											
Surface	84.6	10.5	5.0	ls	-	0.11	7.3	1.10	3.2	4	92
Sub-surface	84.0	8.8	7.3	ls	-	0.11	7.6	0.74	3.8	3	90
Soils on old alluvial upland plain											
<i>(Sasroli- I series - Coarse-loamy, Typic Haplustepts)</i>											
Surface	68.1	16.9	15.0	sl	4.7	0.38	8.5	0.99	7.5	12	91
Sub-surface	65.4	18.4	15.2	sl	5.6	0.11	8.5	1.04	8.8	10	92
Soils on nearly level old alluvial plain											
<i>(Tatauli – II series –Fine-loamy, Typic Haplustepts)</i>											
Surface	58.5	29.1	12.5	sl	3.2	0.38	8.0	1.44	6.7	6	88
Sub-surface	44.0	39.6	16.4	l	7.4	0.18	8.6	0.97	11.6	11	87
<i>(Sasroli – II series –Fine-loamy, Typic Haplustalfs)</i>											
Surface	55.5	25.8	18.7	sl	5.5	0.49	8.3	0.94	10.5	8	85
Sub-surface	31.3	37.5	31.2	cl	4.6	0.15	8.1	0.55	17.8	12	85
Soils on old alluvial plain with high water table (salt affected soils)											
<i>Tatauli – III series –Fine-loamy, NatricHaplustepts</i>											
Surface	54.55	31.70	13.75	Sl	1.38	0.62	7.7	2.15	8.3	5	87
Sub-surface	35.56	34.06	30.38	cl	10.71	0.23	9.1	2.28	12.7	23	93
<i>Chiri – II - TypicHalaquepts</i>											
Surface	55.8	29.2	15.0	sl	7.38	0.23	8.2	4.9	8.3	9	91
Sub-surface	40.9	40.1	19.0	l/sl	16.15	0.11	8.6	3.9	9.0	14	92
Soils on concave relief (low-lying lands)											
<i>Sunderpurseries –Fine-loamy, Aquic Haplustepts</i>											
Surface	43.60	35.90	20.50	l	5.50	0.41	8.8	1.12	13.7	9	85
Sub-surface	32.16	33.04	34.8	cl	6.20	0.18	8.9	1.46	17.9	18	92

Where, Textural class: s-sand, ls- loamy sand, sl – sandy loam, cl- clay loam, l- loam.

*eEC- Electrical conductivity, CEC – Cation exchange capacity, ESP – Exchangeable sodium Percentage, BS- Base saturation

Land resources such as climate, present land use, soils and physiography etc. through remote sensing and GIS in combination with cadastral maps and Google Earth data helped to make an inventory of soil resources and assess their potentials for land use. The database thus generated for each village can be stored, processed and integrated in GIS environment for generation of interpretative information. It will be helpful for providing the exact nature and severity of water logging/salinization in the irrigated areas, and also provide the required site-specific database for farm level planning to manage natural resources [3, 23]. The basic information generated in this study can effectively be used as input for management and planning of natural resources at micro level planning and land use decision options to the farmers and its governing institutions.

Application of research: Land resources characterization and its assessment

provided their detailed site-specific problems & potentials. The detailed characterization of soils and mapping is helpful for providing the exact nature and severity of problems like erosion, salinization and water logging for its management. It also provides the site-specific database for farm planning to manage natural resources. The basic information generated in this study can effectively be used as input for management and planning of natural resources at micro level planning and land use decision options to the farmers and its governing institutions.

Research Category: Land resource characterization, Soil resource mapping

Sample Collection: in regular project activity.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- [1] Sharma P.D. (2003) *J. Indian Society of Soil Science*, 52, 314-331.
 [2] Natarajan A., Ramesh M., Srinivas S., Reddy R.S., and Velayuthum M.

- (2003) *Resource, Madras Agric., J.*, 90 (4-6), 197-206.
 [3] Natarajan A., Reddy R.S., Ramesh M., Krishnan P., Gajbhiye K.S., Velayuthum M., Murugappan V., Natarajan S., Shanmugasundaram V., and Farooque Ahmad (2003) *J. of Agricultural Resource Management.*, 2 (3 & 4). 50-58.
 [4] Sarkar A.K. (2005) *J. Indian Society of Soil Science*, 53, 435-447.
 [5] Anonymous, (2008) *Statistical Abstract of Haryana Publ. by Haryana Govt.*
 [6] Hooda R.S., Arya V.S., Anup kumar, Singh Ajeet., Khatri S.S., Sharma H., Dhanwantri K., and Sharma P.K. (2009) *Haryana Space Application Centre. Dept of science and Technology, Govt. of Haryana Publ. No. HARSAC/TR/02/09.*
 [7] Sachadeva C.B., Lal Tarsem., Rana K.P.C., Sehgal J.L. (1995) *Tech. Bull 44. NBSS Publ. Nagpur, India*, 1-59.
 [8] Anonymous (2007) *Groundwater Board Report-2007, Govt. of Haryana*, 110.
 [9] AIS & LUS (1970) *Soil Survey Manual, All India Soil Land Use Survey Organisation, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi*, 76.
 [10] Sehgal J.L., Saxena R.K., and Vadevelu S. (1989) *Field manual-soils resource mapping of different states., Tech. Bull. 13. NBSS Publ., Nagpur, India, 2nd edn.*
 [11] Soil Survey Staff (1951) *Soils Survey Manual: US Department of Agricultural (USDA). Handbook No. 18, Oxford Publ., New Delhi*,
 [12] Black C.A., Evans D.D., Ensminger L.E., White J.L. and Clark F.E. (1965) *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part I, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.*
 [13] Jackson M.L. (1973) *Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.*
 [14] Walkley A., and Black I.A. (1934) *An estimation of the methods for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil science*, 37, 29-38.
 [15] Sharma V.A.K., Krishnan P. and Budhial S.L. (1987) *Laboratory Manual. Tech bull., 14, NBSS Publ., Nagpur,*
 [16] Soil Survey Staff (2008) *Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 8th Edition. SCS, USDA, Washington, DC. USA.*
 [17] Klingebiel A. A. and Montgomery P. H. (1961) *Land capability classification. Agric. Handbook.*
 [18] Sys C., Van Ranst E. and Debaveye J. (1991) *Land Evaluation, Part 1 & 2, Agricultural Publication No. 7, General agriculture for development cooperation, Brussels, Belgium.*
 [19] Wadia D.N. (1975) *Geology of India. Tata Mcgraw Hill*, 582.
 [20] Sehgal J.L. (2002) *Pedology: Concept and Application. Kalyani publishers, New Delhi, 2nd edn.*
 [21] Walia C.S., Singh S.P., Dhankar R.P., Ram J., Kamble K.H. and Katiyar

D.K. (2010) *Current Science*, 98 (2).

- [22] Surya Jaya N., Singh S.P. and Jat R.S. (2012) *J. Soil and Crops*, 22 (2), 297-301.
 [23] Surya Jaya N., Sidhu G.S., Lal Tarsem, Walia C.S., Dharam Singh., Mahapatra S.K. and Sarkar D. (2012) *Tech bull. NBSS Tech. Bull. No. 1049, 138.*

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

Book Name:	Research Advances and Challenges in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_7103B
Title of the Manuscript:	LAND RESOURCE CHARACTERIZATION, SOIL RESOURCE MAPPING FOR FARM LEVEL LAND USE PLANNING – A CASE STUDY IN UPPER GANGETIC PLAINS
Type of the Article	book chapter

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To ensure a high-quality review, reviewers are rated on a 5-point scale and reviewers with an average of 3 or more points will be included in the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame. Please see details of the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame here:

One point is assigned for each of the following:

1. The reviewer responded to the review invitation within the requested time frame.
2. The reviewer reviewed the manuscript within the requested time frame.
3. The review addressed all aspects that were asked for in the reviewer guidelines.
4. The reviewer gave suggestions to improve the revised version
5. The review was written in a friendly and encouraging way.

Special note:

A research paper which has already been published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form. This is acceptable in the academic world.

This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.

International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, 10(16): 6975-6980, 2018.

Available: <https://bioinfopublication.org/pages/article.php?id=BIA0004490>

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, but beyond the scientific community, this article has more practical uses due to the nature of data & recommendations provided.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes. The title is quite a precise representation of the overall manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the manuscript is written in formal, academic, yet understandable language. Very minor correction on some typos might be necessary. Improvement could be made on the quality of the blurry pictures, especially the land mappings.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The sections are quite clearly & concisely presented, each relevant to serve the main purpose of the manuscript. A few closing statements might be helpful to briefly conclude for the readers' ease of understanding.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References are adequate, however more recent sources (<10 years) shall provide latest theories & considerations into the analysis presented in the article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No	
Do you think the article is plagiarized? If yes, please justify your answer and send us some proof.	No	

BPI Review Form 070520

Do you think a Disclaimer is required to explain the history of this manuscript? (As in most cases chapters of reference books are extended versions of previously published articles in some journals)	No	
---	----	--

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer:

<p>Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"</p> <p>I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer</p>
--

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p>Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)</p>	9

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.

Name of the Reviewer	BENNY DJAJA
Department of Reviewer	LAW
University or Institution of Reviewer	UNIVERSITAS TARUMANAGARA, JAKARTA
Country of Reviewer	INDONESIA
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Lecturer
Email ID of Reviewer	bennydjaja.bd@gmail.com
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer	+62 899 9990 513
5-8 Keywords regarding the expertise of the Reviewer	Notarial Law; Agrarian Law & Private Properties; Authentic Deeds; Contract Law; Data Protection; Marriage, Family, & Inheritance Law